



National Institute for Public Health  
and the Environment  
*Ministry of Health, Welfare and Sport*

**Methodology for the  
calculation of emissions from  
product usage by consumers,  
construction and services.**

RIVM Report 2020-0041  
A. Visschedijk et al.





National Institute for Public Health  
and the Environment  
*Ministry of Health, Welfare and Sport*

## **Methodology for the calculation of emissions from product usage by consumers, construction and services.**

RIVM report 2020-0041

## Colophon

© RIVM 2020

Parts of this publication may be reproduced, provided acknowledgement is given to: National Institute for Public Health and the Environment, along with the title and year of publication

DOI 10.21945/RIVM-2020-0041

A. Visschedijk (author), TNO  
J.A.J. Meesters (author), RIVM  
M.M. Nijkamp (author), RIVM  
W.W.R. Koch (author), TNO  
B.I. Jansen (author), TNO  
R. Dröge (author), TNO

### Contact:

Margreet van Zanten  
Milieu en Veiligheid\Centrum Milieukwaliteit\Data, Milieu en Omgeving  
Margreet.van.Zanten@rivm.nl

This report has been compiled by order and for the account of the Directorate-General for the Environment and International Affairs, within the framework of the project Emission Registration M/240107, 'Netherlands Pollutant Release & Transfer Register'.

This is a publication of:  
**National Institute for Public Health  
and the Environment**  
P.O. Box 1 | 3720 BA Bilthoven  
The Netherlands  
[www.rivm.nl/en](http://www.rivm.nl/en)

## Synopsis

### **Methodology for the calculation of emissions from product usage by consumers, construction and services.**

Every year, the Netherlands reports, both nationally and internationally, on the pollutants that are released into the air due to the use of products. These pollutants include solvents from cosmetics, air fresheners, aerosols, paints and the substances released when wood is burned and fireworks set off.

The Netherlands Pollutant Emission Register calculates what pollutants, and how much of them, end up in the air. RIVM has now updated and described the methods used by the Netherlands Pollutant Emission Register. These methods are adjusted every year according to the most recent scientific insights.

The emission data is available to the public via the website [emissieregistratie.nl](https://emissieregistratie.nl). It is used for reports that are mandatory under international treaties such as the Kyoto Protocol, the EU Emission ceilings (NEC Directive) and the Convention on Long-range Transboundary Air Pollution (CLRTAP). These reports also form the basis for the international reviewers who validate the Dutch reports to the EU and UN.

Keywords: emission, product use, greenhouse gases, air pollution



## Publiekssamenvatting

### **Methodiekrapport voor de berekening van emissies uit productgebruik in de sectoren consumenten, bouw en diensten.**

Nederland rapporteert elk jaar nationaal en internationaal welke verontreinigende stoffen in de lucht terechtkomen door het gebruik van producten. Het gaat bijvoorbeeld om oplosmiddelen uit cosmetica, luchtverfrissers, spuitbussen, verf, en stoffen die vrijkomen bij het stoken van hout en het afsteken van vuurwerk.

De Nederlandse Emissieregistratie berekent om welke stoffen het gaat en hoeveel ervan in de lucht vrijkomt. Het RIVM heeft nu de methoden die de Nederlandse Emissieregistratie gebruikt, geactualiseerd en beschreven. De methoden worden elk jaar bijgesteld volgens de meest actuele wetenschappelijke inzichten.

De emissiegegevens zijn openbaar via de website [emissieregistratie.nl](https://emissieregistratie.nl). De gegevens worden gebruikt voor de rapportages die vanwege internationale verdragen verplicht zijn, zoals het Kyoto-protocol, de EU-Emissieplafonds (NEC-Directive) en de Convention on Long-range Transboundary Air Pollution (CLRTAP). Deze rapportage vormt ook de basis voor de (internationale) reviewers die de Nederlandse rapportages aan de EU en VN valideren.

Kernwoorden: emissie, productgebruik, broeikasgassen, luchtverontreiniging





## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction — 13</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>General assurance and quality control (QA/QC) — 15</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Emissions of greenhouse gases — 17</b>
<b>4</b>	<b>Emissions of air pollutants — 19</b>
<b>5</b>	<b>Aerosol cans (CRF 2.G.3.b) — 25</b>
5.1	Description emission source — 25
5.2	Calculation — 25
5.3	Uncertainty and Quality checks — 25
5.4	Spatial allocation — 26
5.5	References — 26
5.6	Version, dates and sources — 26
<b>6</b>	<b>Air Fresheners — 27</b>
6.1	Description of emission source — 27
6.2	Calculation — 27
6.3	Uncertainty — 30
6.4	Spatial allocation — 30
6.5	References — 30
6.6	Version, dates and sources — 30
6.7	Annex I: Emission rates derived from air freshener product information data — 31
6.8	Annex II EPHECT Survey data — 32
<b>7</b>	<b>Adhesive products — 35</b>
7.1	Description of the emission source — 35
7.2	Calculation — 35
7.3	Uncertainty and Quality — 37
7.4	Spatial allocation — 38
7.5	References — 38
7.6	Version, data and sources — 38
<b>8</b>	<b>Anaesthesia (CRF 2.G.3.a) — 39</b>
8.1	Description emission source — 39
8.2	Calculation — 39
8.3	Uncertainty and Quality checks — 40
8.4	Spatial allocation — 40
8.5	References — 40
8.6	Version, dates and sources — 40
<b>9</b>	<b>Burning Candles (CRF 2.D.2) — 41</b>
9.1	Description emission source — 41
9.2	Calculation — 41
9.3	Uncertainty and Quality checks — 42
9.4	Spatial allocation — 43
9.5	References — 43
9.6	Version, dates and sources — 43

<b>10</b>	<b>Car Products — 45</b>
10.1	Description of emission source—45
10.2	Calculation — 45
10.3	Uncertainty — 47
10.4	Spatial allocation — 48
10.5	References — 48
10.6	Version, dates and sources — 49
<b>11</b>	<b>Carbolineum treated wood — 51</b>
11.1	Description of the emission source — 51
11.2	Calculation — 51
11.3	Uncertainty — 52
11.4	Spatial allocation — 52
11.5	References — 52
11.6	Version, date, sources — 52
<b>12</b>	<b>CFCs from refrigerators and freezers — 53</b>
12.1	Description emission source — 53
12.2	Calculation — 53
12.3	Uncertainty — 54
12.4	Spatial allocation — 54
12.5	References — 55
12.6	Version, dates and sources — 55
<b>13</b>	<b>Cleaning Products — 57</b>
13.1	Description of the emission source — 57
13.2	Calculation — 57
13.9	Version, data, sources — 62
<b>14</b>	<b>Construction sites — 63</b>
14.1	Description of emission sources — 63
14.2	Calculation — 63
14.3	Uncertainty — 64
14.4	Spatial allocation — 64
14.5	References — 64
14.6	Versions, dates and sources — 64
<b>15</b>	<b>Cosmetics for personal care — 65</b>
15.1	Description emission source — 65
15.2	Calculation — 65
15.3	Uncertainty — 66
15.4	Spatial allocation — 66
15.5	References — 66
15.6	Version, dates and sources — 66
<b>16</b>	<b>Crematoria — 67</b>
16.1	Description emission source — 67
16.2	Calculation — 67
16.3	Quality codes — 70
16.4	Spatial allocation — 70
16.5	References — 70
16.6	Version, dates and sources — 70

<b>17</b>	<b>Degassing of groundwater (CRF 2.G.4) — 71</b>
17.1	Description emission source — 71
17.2	Calculation — 71
17.3	Uncertainty and Quality checks — 72
17.4	Spatial allocation — 72
17.5	References — 72
17.6	Version, dates and sources — 72
<b>18</b>	<b>Fireworks (CRF 2.G.4) — 73</b>
18.1	Description emission source — 73
18.2	Calculation — 73
18.3	Uncertainty and Quality checks — 76
18.4	Spatial allocation — 77
18.5	References — 77
18.6	Version, dates and sources — 78
<b>19</b>	<b>Human ammonia emissions from transpiration and breathing — 79</b>
19.1	Description emission source — 79
19.2	Calculation — 79
19.3	Uncertainty and Quality checks — 81
19.4	Spatial allocation — 81
19.5	References — 82
19.6	Version, dates and sources — 82
<b>20</b>	<b>Leather maintenance products and office supplies — 83</b>
20.1	Description emission source — 83
20.2	Calculation — 83
20.3	Quality codes — 83
20.4	Spatial allocation — 83
20.5	References — 84
20.6	Version, dates and sources — 84
<b>21</b>	<b>Meat preparation and charcoal use (CRF 1.A.4.b) — 85</b>
21.1	Description emission source — 85
21.2	Calculation — 85
21.3	Uncertainty and Quality checks — 86
21.4	Spatial allocation — 87
21.5	References — 87
21.6	Version, dates and sources — 87
<b>22</b>	<b>Paint — 89</b>
22.1	Description emission source — 89
22.2	Calculation — 89
22.3	Uncertainty — 91
22.4	Spatial allocation — 91
22.5	References — 91
22.6	Version, dates and sources — 92
<b>23</b>	<b>PCP pressure treated wood — 93</b>
23.1	Description emission source — 93
23.2	Calculation — 93
23.3	Uncertainty — 94
23.4	Spatial allocation — 94

23.5	References — 94
23.6	Version, dates and sources — 94
<b>24</b>	<b>Petrol stations — 95</b>
24.1	Description emission source — 95
24.2	Calculation — 95
24.3	Uncertainty — 97
24.4	Spatial allocation — 97
24.5	References — 97
24.6	Version, dates and sources — 97
<b>25</b>	<b>Residential combustion, Wood stoves and Fireplaces (CRF 1.A.4.b) — 99</b>
25.1	Description emission source — 99
25.2	Calculation — 99
25.3	Uncertainty and Quality checks — 100
25.4	Spatial allocation — 101
25.5	References — 101
25.6	Version, dates and sources — 101
<b>26</b>	<b>Shooting — 103</b>
26.1	Description emission source — 103
26.2	Calculation — 103
26.3	Quality codes — 103
26.4	Spatial allocation — 103
26.5	References — 103
26.6	Version, dates and sources — 104
<b>27</b>	<b>Wholesale Business in fuel and remaining mineral oil products — 105</b>
27.1	Description emission source — 105
27.2	Calculation — 105
27.3	Uncertainty — 106
27.4	Spatial allocation — 106
27.5	References — 106
27.6	Version, dates and sources — 106
<b>28</b>	<b>Smoking of cigarettes and cigars — 107</b>
28.1	Description emission source — 107
28.2	Calculation — 107
28.3	Uncertainty — 108
28.4	Spatial allocation — 108
28.5	Reference — 109
28.6	Version, dates and sources — 109
<b>29</b>	<b>Service stations, anti-corrosive treatment — 111</b>
29.1	Description emission source — 111
29.2	Calculation — 111
29.3	Uncertainty — 112
29.4	Spatial allocation — 112
29.5	Reference — 112
29.6	Version, dates and sources — 112

<b>30</b>	<b>Manure from Domestic animals — 113</b>
30.1	Description emission source — 113
30.2	Calculation — 113
30.3	Uncertainty and Quality checks — 114
30.4	Spatial allocation — 114
30.5	References — 115
30.6	Version, dates and sources — 115
<b>31</b>	<b>Pesticides, domestic and non-agricultural use — 117</b>
31.1	Description emission source — 117
31.2	Calculation — 117
31.3	Uncertainty — 118
31.4	Spatial allocation — 118
31.5	References — 118
31.6	Version, dates and sources — 118
<b>32</b>	<b>Dry cleaning of clothing and textiles — 119</b>
32.1	Description emission source — 119
32.2	Calculation — 119
32.3	Uncertainty — 120
32.4	Spatial allocation — 120
32.5	References — 120
32.6	Version, dates and sources — 121
<b>33</b>	<b>Industrial cleaning of clothing and textiles — 123</b>
33.1	Description emission source — 123
33.2	Calculation — 123
33.3	Uncertainty — 124
33.4	Spatial allocation — 124
33.5	References — 124
33.6	Version, dates and sources — 124
<b>34</b>	<b>Fumigation of transports — 125</b>
34.1	Description emission source — 125
34.2	Calculation — 125
34.3	Uncertainty — 125
34.4	Spatial allocation — 126
34.5	References — 126
34.6	Version, dates and sources — 126
<b>35</b>	<b>Preserved wood — 127</b>
35.1	Description emission source — 127
35.2	Calculation — 127
35.3	Uncertainty — 134
35.4	Spatial allocation — 134
35.5	References — 134
35.6	Version, date and sources — 135
<b>36</b>	<b>Refrigerator foam — 137</b>
36.1	Description emission source — 137
36.2	Calculation — 137
36.3	Uncertainty — 137
36.4	Spatial allocation — 138
36.5	Reference — 138

36.6	Version, dates and sources — 138
<b>37</b>	<b>Tanker truck cleaning — 139</b>
37.1	Description emission source — 139
37.2	Calculation — 139
37.3	Uncertainty — 139
37.4	Spatial allocation — 139
37.5	References — 139
37.6	Version, date and sources — 140
<b>38</b>	<b>Accidental fires — 141</b>
38.1	Description emission source — 141
38.2	Calculation — 141
38.3	Uncertainty and quality checks — 142
38.4	Spatial allocation — 143
38.5	References — 143
38.6	Version, dates and sources — 144
<b>39</b>	<b>Bonfires (CRF 5.C.2) — 145</b>
39.1	Description emission source — 145
39.2	Calculation — 145
39.3	Uncertainty and Quality checks — 148
39.4	Spatial allocation — 149
39.5	Reference: — 149
39.6	Version, dates and sources — 149
<b>40</b>	<b>Degreasing new vehicles — 151</b>
40.1	Description emission source — 151
40.2	Calculation — 151
40.3	Uncertainty — 151
40.4	Spatial allocation — 152
40.5	References — 152
40.6	Version, dates and sources — 152
<b>41</b>	<b>Quality indication — 153</b>

## 1 Introduction

This document reports on the methods of calculating emissions caused by consumers and some small trade and service companies within the taskforce WESP (Taskforce on product usage by consumers, construction and services). Both greenhouse gas (GHG) and air pollutant emissions are calculated with these methods.

This document is only available online and is updated yearly if necessary. The emissions described in this document are part of the Dutch emission inventory (Dutch PRTR).

For more information, please check the website

<http://www.emissieregistratie.nl/ERPUBLIEK/bumper.en.aspx>.

The emissions calculated by the WESP taskforce are largely caused by product uses which are mainly emitted to air. The emissions to the compartment water are calculated by the MEWAT taskforce. The emissions caused by industrial production, waste management and energy production are reported by the ENINA taskforce.

This document describes the background of the process causing the emissions as well as the method of data collection, the source of the emission factors and other important information. It is important to give a description of the method because, in some cases, the calculation is based on a single measurement or data from a single year. The base year needs to be corrected or interpreted to arrive at an estimation method for the total period.

One of the purposes of this document is to provide information on emission estimations. The WESP only calculates direct emissions caused by the process or product use; these are included in this report. Environmental effects such as acidification, greenhouse gas effect or ozone layer depletion are not considered. The waste produced, the amount of energy used, and the resources needed for production or the described process are not also included. Waste management and energy used are calculated on a country scale by the ENINA taskforce. The emissions caused by the production of the products used are calculated in other parts of the Dutch emission inventory, but only if production occurs in the Netherlands.

In the method description, we also explain how the spatial allocation occurs; this describes how the emissions are geographically distributed throughout the Netherlands. This is based on the location where the emissions are assumed to take place. For example, if a product is mainly used by consumers, the distribution is based on the number of people living in a certain area. The spatially distributed emissions are used as input for the (air quality) models calculating the concentrations of the substances in the environment. This is then used to get an estimation of the environmental quality in the Netherlands.

The following chapters have been modified or added in this 2020 version of the method report (besides the smaller modifications like references):

- Chapter 7 Adhesive products (minor improvements in methodology)
- Chapter 18 Fireworks (CRF 2.G.4) (Emission factor of NO<sub>x</sub> added)
- Chapter 20 Leather maintenance products and office supplies (new activity data from 2004 onwards)
- Chapter 25 Residential combustion, Wood stoves and Fireplaces (CRF 1.A.4.b) (improved emission model)
- Chapter 28 Smoking of cigarettes and cigars (new activity data)
- Chapter 38 Accidental fires (new activity data for car fires and new dioxin EF for house fires)

Appendix A gives an explanation of the quality indicators used in the tables in this report. The indicators are A till E, with A being the highest and E the lowest.



## 2 General assurance and quality control (QA/QC)

In accordance with the basic work agreements within the Dutch emission registration, the responsible work package leader checks that:

1. the basic data are well documented and adopted (check for typing errors, use of the correct units, and correct conversion factors);
2. the calculations have been implemented correctly;
3. assumptions are consistent and specific parameters (e.g. activity data) are used consistently;
4. complete and consistent data sets have been supplied.

Any actions that result from these checks are noted on an 'action list' by the ER secretary. The work package leaders carry out these actions and they communicate by e-mail regarding these QC checks, actions and results with the ER secretary. When adding a new emission year, the task forces perform a trend analysis in which data from the new year are compared with data from the previous year. The work package leader provides an explanation if the increase or decrease of emissions exceeds the minimum level of 5% at sector level, or 0.5% at national level. These explanations are also sent by e-mail to the ER secretary by the work package leaders. The ER secretary keeps a logbook of all these QC checks and trend explanations and archives all relevant e-mails. This shows explicitly that the required checks and corrections have been carried out.

Based on the results of the trend analysis and the feedback on the control and correction process ('action list'), the Working Group on Emissions Monitoring (WEM) advises the institute representatives (Deltares on behalf of Rijkswaterstaat, Statistics Netherlands (CBS) and Netherlands Environmental Assessment Agency (PBL)) to approve the dataset. The ER project leader at RIVM formally approves the dataset on receipt of an e-mail by the institute representatives in which they give their approval. Furthermore, all changes of emissions in the whole time series as a result of recalculations are documented in CRF table 8(b).



### 3 Emissions of greenhouse gases

This report also provides the methodology descriptions of greenhouse gas emissions reported in the national greenhouse gas inventory. The relevant emission sources are presented in the following table, including a reference to the chapter and the CRF code.

<b>CRF</b>	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Emission source code</b>	<b>Emission source (English)</b>	<b>Emission source (Dutch)</b>
1.A.4.b	21	0801801	Charcoal use for barbecuing	Houtskoolverbruik door consumenten: barbecuen
	25	T012200	Residential combustion, wood stoves and fire places	Vuurhaarden consumenten, sfeerverwarming woning
2.D.2	9	0801000	Burning candles	Branden van kaarsen
2.G.3.a	8	9310100	Solvent and other product use: anaesthesia	Oplosmiddel- en ander productgebruik: anesthesie, narcosegas
2.G.3.b	6	0811301	Solvent and other product use: sprays	Oplosmiddel- en ander productgebruik: spuitbussen, drijfgas/oplosmiddel, consumenten
2.G.4	18	0801700	Fireworks at New Year's Eve	Afsteken vuurwerk
	17	0850000	Degassing of groundwater, production of drinking water	Ontgassen drinkwater
5.C.2	39	0801400	Bonfires	Vreugdevuren

Emissions from the use of compost by consumers are described in the methodology report for agriculture (Lagerwerf et al, 2019: Methodology for estimating emissions from agriculture in the Netherlands).

In the next table, an overview is provided on the Tier used to calculate the emissions and the source of the emission factor.

GREENHOUSE GAS SOURCE AND SINK CATEGORIES	CO <sub>2</sub>		CH <sub>4</sub>		N <sub>2</sub> O	
	Method applied	Emission factor	Method applied	Emission factor	Method applied	Emission factor
<b>1. Energy</b>						
A. Fuel combustion						
4. Other sectors	T1	D	T1	D	T1	D
<b>2. Industrial processes</b>						
D. Non-energy products from fuels and solvent use	T1	D				
G. Other product manufacture and use	T1	CS	T1	CS	T1	CS, D
<b>5. Waste</b>						
C. Incineration and open burning of waste						
2. Open burning of waste	T1	D	T1	D	T1	D

D = default emission factors from the guidebook;

CS = Country specific emission factor;

T1 = Tier 1 methodology;

## 4 Emissions of air pollutants

This report provides the methodology descriptions of air pollutant emissions reported under the LRTAP convention in the Informative Inventory Report (IIR). The relevant emission sources are presented in the following table, including a reference to the chapter and the NFR code.

<b>NFR</b>	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Emission source code</b>	<b>Emission source (English)</b>	<b>Emission source (Dutch)</b>
1A4bi	21	0801800	Meat preparation	Vleesbereiden: Bakken, braden en barbecuen
	21	0801801	Charcoal use for barbecuing	Houtskoolverbruik door consumenten: barbecuen
	25	T012200	Residential combustion, wood stoves and fire places	Vuurhaarden consumenten, sfeerverwarming woning
1B2aiv	24	8920900	NACE 47.3: gas stations, spills tank refill	SBI 47.3: Benzinstations, lekverliezen vullen autotank
	24	8920901	NACE 47.3: gas stations, vapour expel - tank refill	SBI 47.3: Benzinstations, verdrijvingsverliezen - autotanks
	24	8920902	NACE 47.3: gas stations, vapour expel - storage tanks	SBI 47.3: Benzinstations, verdrijvingsverliezen - opslagtanks
	27	8921100	NACE 46.71: wholesale trade in fuels and other mineral oil products	SBI 46.71: Groothandel in brandstoffen en overige minerale olieproducten
2D3a	15	0801100	Solvent and other product use: cosmetics	Oplosmiddel- en ander productgebruik: Cosmetica en artikelen voor persoonlijke verzorging, consumenten
	10	0802300	Solvent and other product use: car products	Oplosmiddel- en ander productgebruik: Autoproducten, consumenten

<b>NFR</b>	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Emission source code</b>	<b>Emission source (English)</b>	<b>Emission source (Dutch)</b>
	31	0802400	Solvent and other product use: domestic pesticides	Oplosmiddel- en ander productgebruik: NMVOS huishoudelijke bestrijdingsmiddelen
	20	0802800	Solvent and other product use: leather maintenance products	Oplosmiddel- en ander productgebruik: Leer- en meubelonderhoud
	7	0802901	Solvent and other product use: glues	Oplosmiddel- en ander productgebruik: Lijmen, consumenten
	13	0803000	Solvent and other product use: detergents	Oplosmiddel- en ander productgebruik: Schoonmaakmiddelen, consumenten
	20	0820600	Solvent and other product use: office products	Oplosmiddel- en ander productgebruik: kantoorartikelen, consumenten
	36	0890401	Solvent and other product use: foam, applied in residential refrigerators	Oplosmiddel- en ander productgebruik: diffusie isolatieschuim koelkast/diepvriezer consumenten
2D3d	22	0119800	Solvent and other product use: road-paint rural areas	Oplosmiddel- en ander productgebruik: Wegenverf buiten bebouwde kom
	22	0129800	Solvent and other product use: road-paint urban areas	Oplosmiddel- en ander productgebruik: Wegenverf binnen bebouwde kom
	22	0802200	Solvent and other product use: paint in construction	Oplosmiddel- en ander productgebruik: Verfgebruik bouw
	22	0802201	Solvent and other product use: paint by consumers	Oplosmiddel- en ander productgebruik: Verfgebruik consumenten

<b>NFR</b>	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Emission source code</b>	<b>Emission source (English)</b>	<b>Emission source (Dutch)</b>
	6	0803100	Solvent and other product use: air fresheners	Oplosmiddel- en ander productgebruik: Luchtverfrissers, consumenten
	22	8920800	NACE 45.2: specialised restoration of cars (painting and lacquering)	SBI 45.2: Gespecialiseerde reparatie van auto's (verven en lakken)
2D3f	33	8922100	NACE 96.012: washing and (dry-) cleaning and dye-works (> 10 employees)	SBI 96.012: Chemische wasserijen en ververijen (> 10 werknemers)
	32	8922200	NACE 96.012: washing and (dry-) cleaning and dye-works (< 10 employees)	SBI 96.012: Chemische wasserijen en ververijen (< 10 werknemers)
2D3i	23	0010300	Solvent and other product use: PCP pressure treated wood, stock	Oplosmiddel- en ander productgebruik: Emissie gevelbetimmering
	9	0801000	Burning candles	Branden van kaarsen
	28	0801001	Smoking cigars	Roken van sigaren
	28	0801002	Smoking cigarettes	Roken van sigaretten
	15	0801101	Solvent and other product use: cosmetics	Oplosmiddel- en ander productgebruik: Cosmetica en artikelen voor persoonlijke verzorging. HDO
	18	0801700	Fireworks at New Year	Afsteken vuurwerk
	10	0802301	Solvent and other product use: car products	Oplosmiddel- en ander productgebruik: Autoprodukten, HDO
	11	0802500	Solvent and other product use: carbolized wood	Oplosmiddel- en ander productgebruik: Gecarboliseerd hout, consumenten
	11	0802501	Solvent and other product use: carbolized wood	Oplosmiddel- en ander productgebruik:

NFR	Chapter	Emission source code	Emission source (English)	Emission source (Dutch)
				Gecarbolineumd hout, landbouw
	11	0802600	Solvent and other product use: carbol like wood preservatives	Oplosmiddel- en ander productgebruik: Gebruik carbolineum, consumenten
	11	0802601	Solvent and other product use: carbol like wood preservatives	Oplosmiddel- en ander productgebruik: Gebruik carbolineum, landbouw
	7	0802900	Solvent and other product use: glues	Oplosmiddel- en ander productgebruik: Lijmen, bouw
	13	0803001	Solvent and other product use: detergents	Oplosmiddel- en ander productgebruik: Schoonmaakmiddelen, HDO
	35	0804000	Solvent and other product use: creosote pressure treated wood, new	Oplosmiddel- en ander productgebruik: gecreosoteerd hout in de bouw, consumenten
	35	0804001	Solvent and other product use: creosote pressure treated wood, new	Oplosmiddel- en ander productgebruik: gecreosoteerd hout in de bouw, HDO
	35	0804002	Solvent and other product use: creosote pressure treated wood, new	Oplosmiddel- en ander productgebruik: gecreosoteerd hout in de bouw, landbouw
	35	0804003	Solvent and other product use: creosote pressure treated wood, new	Oplosmiddel- en ander productgebruik: gecreosoteerd hout in de bouw, verkeer en vervoer
	35	0804100	Solvent and other product use: creosote pressure treated wood, stock	Oplosmiddel- en ander productgebruik: opstand van gecreosoteerd hout in de bouw, consumenten



<b>NFR</b>	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Emission source code</b>	<b>Emission source (English)</b>	<b>Emission source (Dutch)</b>
	35	0804101	Solvent and other product use: creosote pressure treated wood, stock	Oplosmiddel- en ander productgebruik: opstand van gecreosoteerd hout in de bouw, HDO
	35	0804102	Solvent and other product use: creosote pressure treated wood, stock	Oplosmiddel- en ander productgebruik: opstand van gecreosoteerd hout in de bouw, landbouw
	35	0804103	Solvent and other product use: creosote pressure treated wood, stock	Oplosmiddel- en ander productgebruik: opstand van gecreosoteerd hout in de bouw, verkeer en vervoer
	37	0811200	Industrial cleaning of road tankers	Reinigen van tankauto's
	31	0812400	Solvent and other product use: domestic pesticides	Oplosmiddel- en ander productgebruik: NMVOS niet landbouw bestrijdingsmiddelen
	20	0820601	Solvent and other product use: office products	Oplosmiddel- en ander productgebruik: kantoorartikelen, HDO
	36	0890400	Solvent and other product use: foam of refrigerators in waste dumps	Oplosmiddel- en ander productgebruik: diffusie isolatieschuim koelkast/diepvriezer afvalfase
	29	8920700	NACE 45.1: service stations, anti-corrosive treatment	SBI 45.1: Garagebedrijven, antiroest beh.
	34	E800000	Solvent and other product use: fumigation of transports	Oplosmiddel- en ander productgebruik: ontsmetten transporten
2H3	14	0802302	Building and construction sites	Stofemissies bouwplaatsen

<b>NFR</b>	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Emission source code</b>	<b>Emission source (English)</b>	<b>Emission source (Dutch)</b>
5C1bv	16	8922001	NACE 96.032: crematories, mortuaries and cemeteries	SBI 96.032: Crematoria, mortuaria en begraafplaatsen
5C2	39	0801400	Bonfires	Vreugdevuren
5E	38	0801200	House fires	Woningbranden
	38	0801300	Car fires	Autobranden
	12	0890200	Solvent and other product use: scrapping of refrigerators	Oplosmiddel- en ander productgebruik: afdanken koelkast/diepvriezer
6A	19	0801600	Other sources and sinks: human transpiration and breathing	Transpiratie en ademen
	30	0802000	Manure from domestic animals	Huisdieren mest

## 5 Aerosol cans (CRF 2.G.3.b)

This section describes the emission of nitrous oxide from aerosol cans.

Process description	Emission source code	CRF code	Sector
Aerosol cans	0811301	2.G.3b	Consumers

### 5.1 Description emission source

Nitrous oxide (N<sub>2</sub>O) is used as a propelling agent in aerosol cans (for example, cans of cream).

#### *Contribution to the national emission*

The contribution of this source to the total national N<sub>2</sub>O emission was 0.82% in 2013.

### 5.2 Calculation

For the complete time series, emissions are calculated as follows:

Emission = Activity data x Emission factor

Activity data = Number of N<sub>2</sub>O containing aerosol cans sold

Emission factor = N<sub>2</sub>O emission per aerosol can

#### *a) Activity data*

The Dutch Association of Aerosol Producers (NAV) reports data on the annual sales of N<sub>2</sub>O-containing spray cans. Since the 2014 submission, the annual sales have been based on real sales figures instead of estimated sales. As a result of these improved activity data, the N<sub>2</sub>O emissions have been recalculated for the whole time series.

#### *b) Emission factor*

The EF for N<sub>2</sub>O from aerosol cans is estimated to be 7.6 g/can (based on data provided by one producer) and is assumed to be constant over time.

### 5.3 Uncertainty and Quality checks

For N<sub>2</sub>O emissions, the uncertainty is estimated to be approximately 50 per cent based on expert judgement. Uncertainty in the activity data of N<sub>2</sub>O use is estimated to be 50 per cent and that of the EF to be less than 1 per cent (the assumption is that all gas is released).

#### **Quality checks**

There are no sector specific quality checks performed. For the general QA/QC, see chapter 2.

#### 5.4 Spatial allocation

The emissions of consumers are spatially allocated in the Netherlands based on population density.

Emission source/process	Allocation-parameter
Aerosol cans	population density.

Details available via

[http://www.emissieregistratie.nl/erpubliek/misc/documenten.aspx?ROO T=Algemeen \(General\)\Ruimtelijke toedeling \(Spatial allocation\)](http://www.emissieregistratie.nl/erpubliek/misc/documenten.aspx?ROO T=Algemeen (General)\Ruimtelijke toedeling (Spatial allocation))

#### 5.5 References

The Dutch Association of Aerosol Producers (NAV).

#### 5.6 Version, dates and sources

Version: 1.3

Date: September 2015

Contact:

Administrator	Organisation	E-mail address
E. Honig	RIVM/ER	erik.honig@rivm.nl

## 6 Air Fresheners

This section describes NMVOC emissions from consumer air fresheners.

Process description	Emission source code	NFR code	Sector
Air freshener	0803100	2D3d	Consumers

### 6.1 Description of emission source

Air fresheners are used indoors to hide unpleasant odours and to fill the room with a pleasant scent. The ingredients include volatile organic compounds (VOCs) which enter the atmosphere as they travel from indoor to outdoor air. VOC Emission is calculated for the use of passive, electric and combustible air fresheners.

### 6.2 Calculation

Emissions from the use of air fresheners are calculated as follows:

Emission = Activity data x Emission Factor

Activity data = number of households in the Netherlands

Emission factor = kg NMVOC emission from air fresheners averaged per household

#### a) Activity data

The number of households in the Netherlands are reported annually by the Dutch Central Bureau of Statistics (CBS) ([www.cbs.nl](http://www.cbs.nl)).

#### b) Emission factor

The emission factor is calculated as sum of three separate emission factors derived for combustible, electric and passive air freshener products.

$$EF = EF_{\text{combustible}} + EF_{\text{electric}} + EF_{\text{passive}}$$

The emission factor per air freshener product is calculated as the emission rate of the product (g per hour) multiplied by the fraction of households using the products, the number of air fresheners present in the households that use the product, and the duration per year in which the product is used (hours per year).

$$EF_{\text{product}} = e_{\text{NMVOC per product}} \times FR_{\text{households using AF product}} \times N_{\text{AF product samples per household}} \times t_{\text{use}}$$

The emission rate (g per hour) of an air freshener product is calculated by multiplying the weight of the product (g) by the weight fraction of the product that is NMVOC ( $g_{\text{NMVOC per product}}$ ) divided by the product exhaustion time (hour), i.e. the duration at which all NMVOC has left the product.

$$e_{\text{NMVOC per product}} = (\text{Product Weight} \times WF_{\text{NMVOC}}) / t_{\text{Exhaustion}}$$

The VOC emissions per household are calculated per type of air freshener based on the use patterns described in the EPHECT (“Emissions, Exposure Patterns and Health Effects of Consumer Products in the EU”) survey report (EPHECT, 2012), and product information of the most used brands of air fresheners reported in material safety data sheets (MSDSs). The EPHECT project is a European collaborative project co-funded by the European Union, in which important information has been gathered about the use of products by European consumers (EPHECT, 2015). The EPHECT survey was conducted in 2012 (EPHECT, 2012) and published in 2015 (Dimitroulopoulou et al., 2015a, b; Trantallidi et al., 2015); it includes survey data that describe the declared consumer use patterns of 4335 respondents including the use and non-use of air fresheners across Europe. Emission rates are calculated from the product information given in the MSDSs (SC Johnson, 2014; 2016a-e).

<b>AF class</b>	<b>AF Products included</b>	<b>households using AF class using product<sup>A</sup> (%)</b>	<b>Product samples in households using products<sup>A,B</sup> (number)</b>	<b>Product Weight<sup>B</sup> (g)</b>	<b>Weight fraction NMVOCs (g per g)<sup>B</sup></b>	<b>Product Exhaustion time (hour)<sup>B</sup></b>	<b>Product use (hours per year)<sup>B</sup></b>	<b>NMVOC Emission factor (g per year per household)</b>
Combustible	Scented candles	22.6 <sup>A</sup>	1.7	96	0.025	27	219	4.4
Electric	Active evaporators	22	2.14	38.6	0.76	1440	4061	38.9
Passive	Passive evaporator	20	1.62	170	0.01	1440	8760 <sup>C</sup>	3.4
<b>Total</b>								<b>46.7</b>

A = see EPHECT, 2012

B = see Annex I

C = continuous emission (24 hours/day)

An emission factor of 46.7 g NMVOC per household per year has been calculated for the consumer use of air fresheners.

### 6.3 Uncertainty

Substance	Activity data	Emission factors	Emission
NM VOC	E	C	E

### 6.4 Spatial allocation

The emissions of consumers are allocated in the Netherlands based on population density. Details are available at [http://www.emissieregistratie.nl/erpubliek/misc/documenten.aspx?ROO T=Algemeen \(General\)\Ruimtelijke toedeling \(Spatial allocation\)](http://www.emissieregistratie.nl/erpubliek/misc/documenten.aspx?ROO T=Algemeen (General)\Ruimtelijke toedeling (Spatial allocation)).

### 6.5 References

- CBS. [http://statline.cbs.nl/statweb/publication/?vw=t&dm=sInI&pa=37%20975&d1=0-5,10,15,19&d2=0&d3=0,5,10,15,\(l-4\)-&hd=151214-1158&hdr=t&stb=g1,q2](http://statline.cbs.nl/statweb/publication/?vw=t&dm=sInI&pa=37%20975&d1=0-5,10,15,19&d2=0&d3=0,5,10,15,(l-4)-&hd=151214-1158&hdr=t&stb=g1,q2)
- Dimitroulopoulou C., Lucica E., Johnson A., Ashmore M.R., Sakellaris I., Stranger M. and Goelen E. 2015a. EPHECT I: European household survey on domestic use of consumer products and development of worst-case scenarios for daily use. *Science of the Total Environment* 2015, 536, 880-889.
- Dimitroulopoulou C., Trantallidi M., Carrer P., Efthimioua G.C., Bartzis J.G. 2015b. EPHECT II: Exposure assessment to household consumer products. *Science of the Total Environment* 2015, 536, 890-902.
- EPHECT (Emissions, exposure patterns and health effects of consumer products in the EU). 2012. Authored by Johnson A. and Lucica E. Survey on Indoor Use and Use Patterns of Consumer Products in EU Member States. Survey report. EPHECT, Ipsos, Paris. <https://esites.vito.be/sites/ephect/>
- SC Johnson. 2014a. Material Safety Data Sheet GLADE® CANDLE APPLE CINNAMON. MSDS Number 350000023244
- SC Johnson. 2016a. Material Safety Data Sheet GLADE® CANDLE BLUE ODYSSEY. MSDS Number 350000022876
- SC Johnson. 2016b. Material Safety Data Sheet GLADE® CANDLE CASHMERE WOODS. MSDS Number 350000023246
- SC Johnson. 2016c. Material Safety Data Sheet GLADE® CANDLE HAWIIAN BREEZE. MSDS Number 350000027860
- SC Johnson. 2016d. Material Safety Data Sheet GLADE® CANDLE PURE VANILLA JOY. MSDS Number 350000023248
- SC Johnson. 2016e. Material Safety Data Sheet GLADE® 2 IN1 CANDLE SUNNY DAYS® & CLEAN LINEN. MSDS Number 350000023275
- Trantallidi, M., Dimitroulopoulou, C., Wolkoff, P., Kephelopoulos, S. and Carrer, P. 2015. EPHECT III: Health risk assessment of exposure to household consumer products. *Science of the Total Environment*. 2015, 536, 903-913

### 6.6 Version, dates and sources

Version 1.0

Date: January 2018



Contact:

Emission expert	Organisation	E-mail adress
J. Meesters	RIVM	joris.meesters@rivm.nl

## 6.7 Annex I: Emission rates derived from air freshener product information data

Table AI 1. Product information data: scented candles

Product Sample	Sample Weight (g)	Exhaustion Time (h)	VOC Fraction (%)
Glade® 2 In 1 Candle - Sunny Days® & Clean Linen® - Jan 2014	96.3	30	1.5
Glade® 2 In 1 Candle - Vanilla Passionfruit & Hawaiian Breeze® - Aug 2013	96.3	20	2.9
Glade® Candle - Apple Cinnamon - Jan 2014	96.3	30	0.6
Glade® Candle - Blooming Peony & Cherry™ - Aug 2014	96.3	28	2.8
Glade® Candle - Blue Odyssey™ - June 2013	96	28	3.4
Glade® Candle - Hawaiian Breeze® (Large Jar) - Feb 2015	96.3	20	4.1
Glade® Candle - Pure Vanilla Joy™ - Jan 2014	96.3	30	4
Glade® Candle Cashmere Woods® - Aug 2013	96.3	30	1
Average*1	96.3	27	2.54

\*1 Average calculated from rows above

Table AI 2. Product information data: electric air fresheners

Product Sample	Sample Weight (g)	Exhaustion Time (h)	VOC Fraction (%)
Glade Plugins® Scented Oil - Blooming Peony & Cherry™ - Oct 2014	38.1	1440	84.4
Glade Plugins® Scented Oil - Clean Linen™ - Dec 2013	38.8	1680	72
Glade Plugins® Scented Oil - Hawaiian Breeze® - July 2014	37.9	1440	69.6
Glade Plugins® Scented Oil - Lavender & Vanilla - Dec 2013	37.4	1440	59.5
Glade Plugins® Scented Oil - Pure Vanilla Joy™ - Mar 2015	40.9	1440	95.2
Average*1	38.6	1440	76.14

\*1 Average calculated from rows above

Table AI 3. Product information data: passive Air Fresheners

Product Sample	Sample Weight (g)	Exhaustion Time (h)	VOC Fraction (%)
Glade® Solid Air Freshener - Clean Linen™ - Mar 2015	170	1440	1.5
Glade® Solid Air Freshener - Hawaiian Breeze® - Mar 2015	170	1440	0.5
Average*1	170	1440	1

\*1 Average calculated from rows above

## 6.8 Annex II EPHECT Survey data

According to EPHECT, the average duration of scented candle being lit per occasion is, '85.6 minutes, ranging from 67 minutes in Spain and Italy to just over 120 minutes in Sweden.'

Table A II1. Average use frequency of combustible air fresheners calculated from EPHECT (2012) survey data

Multiple choice answer	% of respondents	Frequency per year	(% of respondents) X (Frequency per year)
At least once a day	19%	365	69.35
Several times a week	31%	104	32.24
Once a week	15%	52	7.8
Once every two weeks	9%	26	2.34
Once a month	9%	12	1.08
Less than once a month	12%	6	0.72
Weighted average (hours per year)			119.5

The average number of hours per day the consumer lights scented candles is then calculated as 85.6 minus X 153.4 per year = 219 hours per year.

Table A II2. Average use frequency of electric plug-in air fresheners calculated from EPHECT (2012) survey data

Multiple choice answer	% of respondents	Frequency per year	(% of respondents) X (Frequency per year)
At least once a day	40%	365	146
Several times a week	26%	208	54.08
Once a week	10%	52	5.2
Once every two weeks	3%	26	0.78
Once a month	7%	12	0.84
Less than once a month	8%	6	0.48
Weighted average (hours per year)			207

Table A II3. Average duration of electric air fresheners plugged in per occasion, excluding the population who permanently leave the device on

Multiple choice answer	% of respondents	Hours per plug-in occasion	(% of respondents) X (Hours per plug-in occasion)
Less than 1 hour	21%	0.5	0.105
Between 1 and 6 hours	28%	3.5	0.98
Between 6 and 12 hours	9%	9	0.81
Between 13 and 24 hours	2%	19	0.38
Between 25 and 48 hours	1%	36.5	0.365
Between 2 and 3 days	1%	60	0.6
More than 3 days	1%	72	0.72
Weighted average (hours per year)			3.96

The average use frequency of electric plug-in air fresheners is 207 times per year, whereas the average duration per occasion is 3.96 hours, excluding those consumers who permanently plug in the device. The number of hours per year is then  $3.96 \times 207 = 820$  hours. However, the device is permanently plugged in at 37% of the households, so that on weighted average, the number of hours for all households in which an electric air freshener is plugged is  $820 + 37\% \times 24 \times 365 = 4061$  hours

Table A II 4. The use of in-house air fresheners per room

Room	% of respondents claiming to have at least one electric freshener in the room
Living/dining room	60%
Bathroom	32%
Bedroom	30%
Hallway	29%
Kitchen	24%
WC	23%
Closet/Storage room	9%
Other room in the house	7%
Average number of in-house <sup>A</sup> air fresheners	2.14

A: calculated as the sum of the % of respondents claiming to have at least one electric freshener in the rooms, divided by 100%.



## 7 Adhesive products

This section describes the emissions of substances from the use of adhesives in consumer glue and the use of adhesives in the construction sector.

Process description	Emission source code	Sector	NFR code
Adhesives	0802900	Construction sector	2D3i
Adhesives	0802901	Consumers	2D3a

### 7.1 Description of the emission source

Volatile organic compounds (VOCs) are used as substance ingredients in adhesive products such as glues available on the consumer market, or adhesive products used in the construction sector. These VOCs are released to the air during the application of adhesive products.

### 7.2 Calculation

*Emission calculation for the period 1990 – 2003:*

The total VOC emissions between 1990-2000 from adhesive products were estimated by interpolating monitoring data points (Table 1). The contribution of emissions from adhesives in consumer products and the construction sector is quantified according to the MilieuMonitor (1997) and KWS (2002) data. Annual emissions for the period after 2000 are set equal to the estimated emissions for the year 2000. Activity or monitoring data for the years 2001-2003 is not available, so that the VOC emissions for those years are set equal to the emissions in the year 2000.

Emissions of individual VOCs from adhesive use in consumer products and the construction sector are calculated as the total VOC emission from adhesives multiplied by the contribution of consumer products, or the contribution construction sector (Table 1) multiplied by the weight fraction of the individual VOC in the emission profile (Table 2).

Table 1. VOC emission for adhesives in consumer products and the construction sector

Year	VOC Emission from adhesives (kt)	Contribution adhesives in consumer products (%) <sup>*A</sup>	Contribution adhesives in construction sector (%) <sup>*A</sup>	Data collection VOC emission
1981	3.95	19.6	36.0	Monitoring (CEA, 1994)
1990	3.84	19.6	36.0	Linear interpolation 1981-1991
1991	3.83	19.6	36.0	Monitoring (KWS, 2002)
1992	3.73	19.6	36.0	Linear interpolation 1991-1995
1993	3.62	19.6	36.0	Linear interpolation 1991-1995
1994	3.52	19.6	36.0	Linear interpolation 1991-1995
1995	3.41	19.6	36.0	Monitoring (KWS, 2002)
1996	3.37	18.7	34.0	Linear interpolation 1995-1998
1997	3.33	17.8	32.0	Linear interpolation 1995-1998
1998	3.30	17.0	30.0	Monitoring (KWS, 2002)
1999	3.30	17.0	30.0	Emission 1998
2000	1.58	17.0	30.0	Monitoring (KWS, 2002)

A: The remaining fraction of the total emission refers to emission from industrial sources

Table 2. Profile of individual substance weight fractions of VOC emissions from adhesives in consumer products and in the construction industry

Substance	Pollutant code	Weight fraction of VOC emission from consumer product adhesives	Weight fraction of VOC emission in construction sector adhesives
propane	1031	0.099	0.070
isobutene	1042	0.099	0.070
Mixture C2-C10	1201	0.093	0.089
methyleenchloride	1303	0.036	
trichloro-ethane	1338	0.090	0.133
monohydroxy compounds	1629	0.047	0.051
esters kp <150 C	1653	0.093	0.103
propanon-2	1680	0.047	0.051
butanon-2	1681	0.233	0.256
dimethylether	1721	0.025	0.024
toluene	2502	0.140	0.153

*Emission calculation for the period from 2004 onwards*

Total VOC emissions in the years 2004-2014 are calculated by multiplying the consumer use and professional use (table 3) with an emission factor. Individual VOC emissions are estimate by multiplying the total VOC emission with the fraction of the substance expressed in the emission profile (Table 2). VOC emissions for the years after 2014 are estimated by extrapolating the VOC emissions in 2014 with the annual growth in the market value of the European adhesive and sealant industry.

The activity data refer to the volume of adhesive products and sealants in The Netherlands. A volume of 1240 kt is available for wide dispersive use as adhesive products and sealants in Europe in 2014 (FEICA, 2018a). The European market value of the adhesive and sealants industry in Europe in 2014 was 13.4 billion euro (FEICA, 2015 from which the Netherlands had a 2% market share (FEICA, 2015). The volume of the wide dispersive use of adhesives and sealants in The Netherlands in 2014 is estimated to be 1240 kt X 2% = 24.8 kt. A fraction of 29% of this 24.8kt is used by consumer and 71% by craftsmen and professionals in the construction sector (FEICA, 2015). The wide dispersive use of adhesives and sealants is calculated for the years 2004-2014 with 2014 as index year and assuming linear proportionality to the European market value of the industry (FEICA 2015).

$$\text{consumer use (kt)} = 1240 \text{ kt} \times 2\% \times \frac{\text{Market value Europe}}{13.4} \times 29\%$$

$$\text{professional use (kt)} = 1240 \text{ kt} \times 2\% \times \frac{\text{Market value Europe}}{13.4} \times 71\%$$

Table 3. Activity data for adhesives and sealants

Year	Market value adhesive and sealant industry Europe in billion euros (FEICA, 2015).	Wide dispersive use in The Netherlands (kt)	Consumer use adhesive and sealants in The Netherlands (kt)	Professional use in construction sector The Netherlands (kt)
2004	12.3	22.8	6.7	16.1
2005	12.7	23.5	6.9	16.6
2006	13.1	24.2	7.1	17.1
2007	11.7	21.7	6.3	15.3
2008	11.2	20.7	6.1	14.7
2009	10.8	20.0	5.9	14.1
2010	12.7	23.5	6.9	16.6
2011	12.6	23.3	6.8	16.5
2012	12.8	23.7	6.9	16.8
2013	13.1	24.2	7.1	17.1
2014 <sup>A</sup>	13.4	24.8	7.3	17.5

A: 2014 is the index year

In the year 2000 the VOC content in adhesive product is determined to be 2.6% on average (KWS, 2000). The emission factor for the total of VOC is therefore set to 0.026 for both emission by consumer use and

professional of adhesive and sealants. The emission profile of individual VOCs is given in Table 2.

### 7.3 Uncertainty and Quality

Substances	Activity data	Emission factors	Emission
VOC			D

### 7.4 Spatial allocation

Spatial allocation of emissions is based on population density.

### 7.5 References

- FEICA, 2015. Fédération Européenne des Industries de Colles Adhesifs. 2015. The European Adhesive and Sealant Industry Facts & Figures 2014. Publication N° FMI-EX-E08-018.
- FEICA, 2018. Fédération Européenne des Industries de Colles Adhesifs. 2018. Specific Environmental Release Categories (SPERCs) for the widespread use of adhesives, sealants and construction chemical products. Background Document. Brussels. January 2018
- KWS. 2002. KWS 2000 Eindrapportage Final Report
- MilieuMonitor. 1997. Publicatierreeks emissieregistratie/MilieMonitor. Nr. 37b, maart 2003: elektronische actualisatie: van Nr. 37 juli 1997.

### 7.6 Version, data and sources

Version 1.1  
Date: January 2020  
Contact:

Emission expert	Organization	E-mail adress
J. Meesters	RIVM	joris.meesters@rivm.nl



## 8 Anaesthesia (CRF 2.G.3.a)

This section describes the emissions of N<sub>2</sub>O used as an anaesthetic.

Process description	Emission source code	CRF code	Sector
Anaesthesia	9310100	2.G.3.a	Trade and services

### 8.1 Description emission source

Nitrous oxide (N<sub>2</sub>O), commonly known as laughing gas, is still used as an anaesthetic. In addition to N<sub>2</sub>O, other anaesthetics are in use in the Netherlands, most commonly halothane, desflurane, enflurane and sevoflurane. It is not known how much of these anaesthetics are used, and because of their decomposition, how much is exhaled again.

#### *Contribution to the national emission*

This emission is not a key source of greenhouse gases.

The contribution of this source to the total national N<sub>2</sub>O emission was <0.5% in 2009.

### 8.2 Calculation

For the complete time series, the emissions are calculated as follows:  
Emission = Activity data x Emission factor

Activity data = Amount of N<sub>2</sub>O sold for anaesthesia

Emission factor = N<sub>2</sub>O emission from anaesthesia

This is a tier 1 methodology. The methodology is consistent with the IPCC 2006 Guidelines.

#### *a) Activity data*

The amount of nitrous oxide sold in the Netherlands would be the best measure for the activity data. Therefore since 2011, all companies known to sell nitrous oxide as anaesthesia to the Dutch market are asked to report their annual sales to the Dutch market. The total of these sales results in the total amount of nitrous oxide used as an anaesthetic in the Netherlands.

In the years prior to 2010, and after that year occasionally, an estimate was made based on the sales of the major supplier. In years where sales were not reported by all companies, the total was estimated based on the sales of the other companies; based on the estimated market share of the largest company selling nitrous oxide as an anaesthetic. If nitrous oxide is sold as a mixture with oxygen, only the nitrous oxide is calculated as sales.

In 2018 N<sub>2</sub>O use as an anaesthetic had decreased by 95% compared to 1990.

#### *b) Emission factor*

The emission factor for nitrous oxide sold in the Netherlands is 1kg per kg nitrous oxide. All nitrous oxide sold in a certain year is considered to

be emitted after use in the same year. This emission factor is consistent with the 2006 IPCC guidelines.

### 8.3 Uncertainty and Quality checks

In those cases where all companies report their sales, the uncertainty in the activity data is caused by stock changes on the consumer side (mainly hospitals). Those differences are considered to be negligible. If, on the other hand, not all companies provide their sales, the uncertainty can be as much as 25%. The uncertainty in emission factor is 0%, because all N<sub>2</sub>O will be exhaled over time. Both the uncertainty in activity data and in emission factor are based on expert judgement.

#### *Quality codes*

Substance	Activity data	Emission factor	Emission
N <sub>2</sub> O	B	A	B

#### *Quality checks*

There are no sector specific quality checks performed. For the general QA/QC, see chapter 2.

### 8.4 Spatial allocation

Consumer emissions are spatially allocated in the Netherlands based on the number of beds per hospital.

Emission source/process	Allocation-parameter
Anaesthesia	Number of beds per hospital

Details available via

[http://www.emissieregistratie.nl/erpubliek/misc/documenten.aspx?ROO T=Algemeen \(General\)\Ruimtelijke toedeling \(Spatial allocation\)](http://www.emissieregistratie.nl/erpubliek/misc/documenten.aspx?ROO T=Algemeen (General)\Ruimtelijke toedeling (Spatial allocation))

### 8.5 References

Written (or in early years oral) data on sales from companies selling anaesthetics.

### 8.6 Version, dates and sources

Version: 1.3

Date: Jan 2020

Contact:

Administrator	Organisation	E-mail address
A.J.H. Visschedijk	TNO	antoon.visschedijk@tno.nl

## 9 Burning Candles (CRF 2.D.2)

This section describes the emissions as a result of the burning of candles.

Process description	Emission source code	CRF code	NFR code	Sector
Burning of candles	0801000	2D2	2D3i	Consumers

### 9.1 Description emission source

Within households and in some catering industries, candles are burned to create a pleasant ambiance. Burning candles results in the emission of several substances, for example particulate matter and PAHs.

#### *Contribution to the national emission*

This emission is not a key source of greenhouse gases.

The contribution of this source to the national emissions of benzo(ghi)pyrene is <10%. For benzo(a)pyrene, the contribution is about 1%.

### 9.2 Calculation

For the complete time series, the emissions are calculated as follows:  
Emission = Activity data x Emission factor

Activity data = Amount of candles burned in kg

Emission factor = Emission per kg candle

This is a tier 1 methodology. The methodology is consistent with the IPCC 2006 Guidelines.

#### *a) Activity data*

The activity data consist of two parts: national statistics on the number of inhabitants of the Netherlands (Dutch bureau for statistics), and the amount of candles burned per person in the Netherlands.

The amount of candles burned per person is retrieved from information by a major supplier to the Dutch market (Bolsius). Up to 2009 the amount of candles burned per inhabitant was retrieved from [www.bolsius.nl](http://www.bolsius.nl). From 2010, it has been based on expert judgement by a representative from a company selling candles.

#### *b) Emission factor*

The emission factors for burning candles are dependent on the type of candle burned. Both tea lights and regular (gothic) candles have been taken into account, both estimated at 50% usage. Less-used candle types, e.g. beeswax candles, are not considered to be relevant for this calculation.

The CO<sub>2</sub> emission factor, given in g/MJ candle, is multiplied by a heating value of 42.7 MJ/kg. Both the CO<sub>2</sub> emission factor and the heating value for candles are derived from the Dutch fuel list 2015 (Zijlema, 2019). All other emission factors are mainly calculated based on EPA 2001.

Substance	EF	Unit
Benzo(ghi)pyrene	0.278	mg/kg candle
Benzo(a)pyrene	0.150	mg/kg candle
VOC	928	mg/kg candle
CO <sub>2</sub>	73.3	g/MJ candle
PM <sub>10</sub>	0.872	mg/kg candle
PM <sub>2.5</sub>	0.872	mg/kg candle
Pb	1.56	mg/kg candle
Zn	0.127	mg/kg candle

### 9.3 Uncertainty and Quality checks

The uncertainty of both the activity data and the CO<sub>2</sub> emission factor are determined in the report on uncertainties in greenhouse gas emissions by Olivier (2009). The uncertainty in activity data is estimated to be 100%. The uncertainty in the emission factor for CO<sub>2</sub> is estimated at 20%.

For the other substances (not greenhouse gases), the uncertainty was not determined. Instead, the reliability of the data is qualitatively indicated in the table below with codes A-E (see Appendix A).

The number of inhabitants in the Netherlands is accurately known, but the amount of candles burned per inhabitant is a rough estimate based on data from one manufacturer. Therefore, the activity data are relatively unsure and rated with a D.

The emission factors are retrieved by combining different sources to improve the reliability. However, since these sources did not take different candle types into account, the emission factors are rated with a C.

#### Quality codes

Substance	Activity data	Emission factor	Emission
Benzo(ghi)pyrene	D	C	D
Benzo(a)pyrene	D	C	D
VOC	D	C	D
CO <sub>2</sub>	D	C	D
PM <sub>10</sub>	D	C	D
PM <sub>2.5</sub>	D	C	D
Pb	D	C	D
Zn	D	C	D

#### Quality checks

There are no sector specific quality checks; for the general QA/QC, see chapter 2.

## 9.4 Spatial allocation

The emissions of consumers are spatially allocated in the Netherlands based on the population density, following the assumption that most candles are burned in residential areas/households.

Emission source/process	Allocation-parameter
Burning of candles	Population density

Details available via

[http://www.emissieregistratie.nl/erpubliek/misc/documenten.aspx?ROO T=Algemeen \(General\)\Ruimtelijke toedeling \(Spatial allocation\)](http://www.emissieregistratie.nl/erpubliek/misc/documenten.aspx?ROO T=Algemeen (General)\Ruimtelijke toedeling (Spatial allocation))

## 9.5 References

CBS, Dutch Bureau of Statistics, [Statline](#), annual data on the number of inhabitants.

EPA; January 2001, Candles and incense as potential sources of indoor air pollution: Market analysis and Literature review; EPA-600/R-01-001.

Fine M. Philip, Glen R. Cass, Bernd R.T. Simoneit; Characterization of fine particle emissions from burning church candles; Environmental Science & Technology vol. 33, NO. 14, 1999.

Gruijter H.J. de, A.J.G. van Rossum; De Kaars; Lesbief KNCV/NVON; first edition 1983.

Information on the amount of candles burned, <http://bolsius.nl>.

Molder, R. te, Metadata gegevensbeheer emissieregistratie: beschrijving gegevens t.b.v ruimtelijke verdeling van emissies, PBL, Bilthoven, jaarlijks, intern document.

Olivier, J.G.J., Brandes, L.J. and te Molder, R.A.B., 2009, Uncertainty in the Netherlands' greenhouse gas emissions inventory, PBL publication 500080013.

Vebeka BV; Information on sizes and burning times:, <http://vebeka.nl>.

Zijlema, P.J., 2019; Nederlandse lijst van energiedragers en standaard CO2 emissiefactoren.

## 9.6 Version, dates and sources

Version: 1.3

Date: May 2015

Contact:

Administrator	Organisation	E-mail address
A.J.H.Visschedijk	TNO	antoon.visschedijk@tno.nl



## 10 Car Products

This section describes NMVOC emission from car products.

Process description	Emission source code	NFR code	Sector
Car products	0802300	2D3a	Consumers
Car products	0802301	2D3i	Trade and services

### 10.1 Description of emission source

NMVOC emission is estimated from the use of car products to maintain and clean company and private cars. Windscreen fluid is considered to contribute 70% of NMVOC emissions (ER, 2017), whereas other car products such as car wax, plastic cleaners, and cockpit sprays together comprise 30% of the emissions. Four different sources of emission are defined:

1. Windscreen fluid used on private cars.
2. Windscreen fluid used on company cars.
3. Other car products used on private cars.
4. Other car products used on company cars.

### 10.2 Calculation

Emissions from the use of windscreen fluids are calculated as follows:

Emission = Activity data x Emission Factor

#### ***Windscreen fluids***

Activity data = total number of kilometres driven by automobiles in the Netherlands

Emission factor = kg NMVOC emission per driven kilometre in the Netherlands

#### *a) Activity data*

Data describing the number of driven kilometres by automobiles in the Netherlands are collected from the website of the Dutch Central Bureau for Statistics (CBS, 2017).

#### *b) Emission factor*

Data describing the market volumes of methanol, ethanol, and isopropanol as main ingredients in car windshield fluids in Finland for the years 2002-2014 (Table 1) became publically available in 2015 (ECHA 2015a,b,c). The market volumes of these substances in windscreen fluids sold in the Netherlands have been estimated from the Finnish market volumes by correcting for the number of kilometres driven in the Netherlands and Finland as well as the number of frost days in the Netherlands and Finland. Finland's climate is colder than the Netherlands, so relatively more windshield fluid is used for the purpose of de-icing. According to the USEPA, the use of windshield fluids at local temperatures above 0°C is about equal to 23% of windshield use at local temperatures below 0°C (USEPA, 1996; 2012). Finland has about 233 days of frost per year (Plantmaps.com), whereas the Netherlands

only has 38 days of frost annually (KNMI, 2017). Therefore, the following correction factor for the difference in climate between Finland and the Netherlands has been applied:

$$\begin{aligned} correction_{climate} &= \frac{frost\ days_{Netherlands} + non\ frost\ days_{Netherlands} \times 0.23}{frost\ days_{Finland} + non\ frost\ days_{Finland} \times 0.23} \\ &= \frac{38 + 327 \times 0.23}{233 + 132 \times 0.23} = 0.43 \end{aligned}$$

Following on from this, the emissions of methanol, ethanol and isopropanol used in windscreen fluids per driven kilometre in the Netherlands are estimated as follows:

$$\frac{emission_{Netherlands}}{kilometers_{Netherlands}} = \frac{market\ volume_{Finland}}{kilometers_{Finland}} \times correction_{climate}$$

Table 1 includes the market volumes of methanol, ethanol and isopropanol in windscreen fluid in Finland (ECHA, 2015b), the number of kilometres driven by automobiles in Finland (Liikenneviraston Tilastoja, 2017) and the estimated emission per driven kilometre in the Netherlands (g/km).

Year	Kilometres driven in Finland (million km /y)	Finnish market volumes (t/y)			Estimated emission per kilometre in The Netherlands (g/km)		
		Methanol	Ethanol	Isopropanol	Methanol	Ethanol	Isopropanol
2002	31271	1326	3474	4323	0.02	0.05	0.06
2003	32211	1565	4061	4106	0.02	0.05	0.05
2004	33004	904	5606	3043	0.01	0.07	0.04
2005	33854	1334	4743	1995	0.02	0.06	0.03
2006	34473	1745	5061	2811	0.02	0.06	0.04
2007	34780	1358	5095	2617	0.02	0.06	0.03
2008	35661	1127	5952	1927	0.01	0.07	0.02
2009	35557	1246	6594	2892	0.02	0.08	0.03
2010	35868	1748	6353	1187	0.02	0.08	0.01
2011	36234	2559	7707	1746	0.03	0.09	0.02
2012	36740	935	4382	702	0.01	0.05	0.01
2013	36607	1819	6465	920	0.02	0.08	0.01
2014	36567	1422	4621	73	0.02	0.05	0.00
Average	34833	1468	5393	2180	0.018	0.066	0.028

The origin of the proportion of emissions from car products is assigned as follows: 60% from consumers and 40% by trades and services (ER, 2017). The emission factors for consumers and trades and services are calculated by multiplying the average estimated emission per kilometre in the Netherlands (g/km) over the period of 2002-2014 (Table 1) with these proportions (Table 2).



NMVOG in windscreen fluid	Emission factor consumers (g/km)	Emission factor trades and services(g/km)
Methanol	0.011	0.07
Ethanol	0.040	0.027
Isopropanol	0.017	0.011

### **Other car products**

Activity data = amount of NMVOG in car products other than windscreen fluid sold in the Netherlands.

Emission factor = emission per kg NMVOG in car products.

#### *a) Activity data*

In 1997, bureau CREM conducted a study using car product monitoring data for the years 1994 and 1996 (CREM, 1997). The monitoring data originated from questionnaires filled in producers and suppliers of car products. In 1998, a recall survey was conducted by telephone in which the data for 1997 was established. The recall contained information from 26 companies (18 companies in the 1997 survey and 8 new companies). It was estimated that these 26 companies cover 80% of the market. The companies provided sales data of car products and the average amount of NMVOG these products contained. This information was then used to determine the NMVOG emissions from car products.

The 1997 monitoring data (CREM 1998) are still used for calculating NMVOG. The data from CREM (1998) is the most recent information available that refers to car products other than windscreen fluid.

#### *b) Emission factor*

NMVOG totals are recalculated to individual substances using an average car product profile. The profiles were established for car products by TNO (1992) in cooperation with the car products branch.

Substance in car product profile	factor
Propane	0.12
Isobutane	0.12
Monohydroxyverbindingen	0.54
Dimethyl ether	0.03
Hydrocarbon. mixture. c2-c10 <25% aromatic.	0.18

These totals include the emissions from windscreen fluids. Windscreen fluid is considered to contribute 70% of the NMVOG emission (ER, 2017). Therefore, the NMVOG totals have been multiplied by 30% to correct for this, so that only emissions from the use of car products other than windscreen are estimated. Furthermore, the emissions from other car products have been divided into the sectors of consumers ( $\approx$  60%) and of trade and services (mostly garages  $\approx$  40%).

## **10.3 Uncertainty**

The uncertainty level of activity data for windscreen fluids is considered low, since the number of kilometres driven in the Netherlands is well known, therefore it is qualified with a B. The translation of the emission factors from Finland to the Dutch situation is more uncertain, therefore

qualified with a D. Both the emission factor and the activity data for the other car products are outdated, therefore the uncertainty is considered high and qualified with an E.

Since the NMVOC from windscreen products is about 70% of the emissions, the overall quality of the activity data is estimated with a D. The quality of the emission factors is qualified with a D.

#### 10.4 Spatial allocation

The emissions of consumers and trade and services are allocated in the Netherlands based on population density. Details are available at [http://www.emissieregistratie.nl/erpubliek/misc/documenten.aspx?ROO T=Algemeen \(General\)\Ruimtelijke toedeling \(Spatial allocation\)](http://www.emissieregistratie.nl/erpubliek/misc/documenten.aspx?ROO T=Algemeen (General)\Ruimtelijke toedeling (Spatial allocation))

#### 10.5 References

- CBS, (1998 en latere jaren) Statistiek Motorvoertuigen, CBS, Heerlen.
- CBS, Dutch Central Bureau for Statistics. 2017.  
<http://statline.cbs.nl/Statweb/publication/?DM=SLNL&PA=80302ned&D1=a&D2=a&D3=6,19,I&HDR=T&STB=G1,G2&VW=T>  
consulted October 3<sup>rd</sup> 2017
- Chemiewinkel UvA, 1999, Herziening Milieukeur Autoreinigingsmiddelen, Conceptrapportage, augustus 1999, Amsterdam.
- CREM, 1997, NMVOS-emissies auto-onderhoudsproducten, CREM, Amsterdam (niet openbaar).
- CREM, december 1998, VOS-emissies auto-onderhoudsproducten, herhaalmeting 1997, CREM, Amsterdam (niet openbaar).
- Emission Registration (ER), 2009. Netherlands Emission Registry. Data on 2009 available from [www.emissieregistratie.nl](http://www.emissieregistratie.nl)
- Emission Registration (ER), 2017. Methods used for the Dutch Emission Inventory. Product usage by consumers, construction and services 2017
- European Chemicals Agency (ECHA). 2015a. Annex XV restriction report proposal for a restriction substance name: methanol.
- European Chemicals Agency (ECHA). 2015b Comments and response to comments on Annex XV restriction dossier submitted by Poland on 16/01/2015 public consultation on Annex XV report started on 18/03/2015.
- European Chemicals Agency (ECHA). 2015c. Committee for Risk Assessment (RAC) Committee for Socio-economic Analysis (SEAC) Opinion on an Annex XV dossier proposing restrictions on Methanol ECHA/RAC/RES-O-0000006324-78-01/F ; ECHA/SEAC/ RES-O-0000001412-86-100/F
- European Chemicals Agency (ECHA). 2017  
<https://echa.europa.eu/previous-consultations-on-restriction-proposals/-/substance-rev/7202/term> consulted October 3<sup>rd</sup> 2017
- KWS2000/InfoMil, 1998, Jaarverslag 1996-1997, InfoMil, Den Haag.
- Liikenneviraston Tilastoja. 2017 Tietilasto 2016 Vägstatistik Finnish Road Statistics. 4/2017

## 10.6 Version, dates and sources

Version: 2.0

Date: January 2018

Contact:

<b>Administrator</b>	<b>Organisation</b>	<b>E-mail address</b>
J. Meesters	RIVM	Joris.Meesters@rivm.nl

Note: Since 1999, no new data has become available on emission variables and emission factors of car products other than windscreen fluids. Therefore, the data used may be outdated.



## 11 Carbolineum treated wood

This section describes emissions resulting from Carbolineum treated wood.

Process description	Emission source code	NFR code	Sector
Carbolineum treated wood	0802500	2D3i	Consumers
Carbolineum treated wood	0802501	2D3i	Agriculture
Carbolineum use	0802600	2D3i	Consumers
Carbolineum use	0802601	2D3i	Agriculture

Carbolineum is a pesticide that is brushed on wooden surfaces in order to prevent woodworm and moulds from affecting wooden constructions in housings, gardens and agriculture. The use of carbolineum for treatment of wood in contact with groundwater has been prohibited since 1999, and as a consumer product since 2001 (KWS, 2002).

### 11.1 Description of the emission source

Emission from carbolineum is released via two processes:

- Emissions from wood that has been treated with carbolineum in the past. Carbolineum contains VOCs and PAHs that evaporate to outdoor air or leach to soil from the surfaces of the treated wood.
- Emissions during the treatment of wood with carbolineum (VOC).

### 11.2 Calculation

The emissions of VOCs and PAHs from carbolineum treated wood are calculated by multiplying activity rates (AR) with respective emission factors (EF).

Emission = AR X EF

#### a) Activity data

Four different activity rates are considered:

- the area of carbolineum treated wood (treated in the past) for consumer use (m<sup>2</sup>)
- the area of carbolineum treated wood (treated in the past) for agricultural purposes (m<sup>2</sup>)
- the volume of carbolineum used by consumers during treatment (t.y<sup>-1</sup>)
- the volume of carbolineum for agricultural purposes during treatment (t.y<sup>-1</sup>).

It is assumed that 25% of the total volume of carbolineum used is for agricultural purposes and 75% for consumer use. It is also assumed that 25% of the total area of treated wood is for agricultural purposes and 75% for consumer. The total volumes of carbolineum used as well as the total surface area of carbolineum treated wood before 2001 are

taken from Infomil (KWS, 2002). The use of carbolineum has been prohibited since 2001, so no use activity and no emissions since then from treatment with carbolineum. The surface area of the carbolineum treated wood standing from earlier years is assumed to be reduced each year by 20%, which implies that 5 years after the prohibition of the carbolineum, there is no longer any carbolineum treated wood remaining, and therefore no longer any emissions occur from this source.

#### b) Emission factors

The emission factors for PAHs and VOCs released to the environment during treatment, as well as those for evaporation and leaching from the surface of treated wood is summarised in Table 1. The emission factors apply both to consumer use and agricultural use.

Table 1 Emission factors for carbolineum use and carbolineum treated wood.

Substance	kg emission per million kg carbolineum used	kg emission per million m <sup>2</sup> treated wood
Naphthalene	0.02	0.0001
Anthracene	0.0005	0.00006
Fenanthene	0.015	0.0011
Fluoranthene	0.002	0.00049
Benzo(a)-anthracene	0.00027	0.000055
Chrysene	0.000026	0.00001
Benz(k)-Fluoranthene	2.9E-07	1.1E-07
Benzo(a)-pyrene	1.4E-06	5.70E-07
Benz(ghi)-Perylene	3.1E-07	1.20E-07
Pyrene	3.1E-07	1.2E-07
NMVOG	0.25	-

### 11.3 Uncertainty

Source	Activity data	Emission factors	Emission
Carbolineum use	D	C	D
Carbolineum treated wood	D	C	D

### 11.4 Spatial allocation

Spatial allocation of emissions is based on population density.

### 11.5 References

KWS 2000 eindrapportage, Infomil, Den Haag 52.

### 11.6 Version, date, sources

Version: 1.0

Date: March, 2018

Contact:

Task group leader	Organization	E-mail address
J. Meesters	RIVM	joris.meesters@rivm.nl

## 12 CFCs from refrigerators and freezers

This section describes the emissions of chlorofluorocarbons (CFCs) as a result of the leakages in the refrigerant system and the processing of discarded refrigerators and freezers.

Process description	Emission source code	NFR code	Sector
Discarding refrigerators and freezers	0890200	5E	Consumers

### 12.1 Description emission source

Since 1995, the production and sale of refrigerators and freezers (R/F) using chlorofluorocarbons as refrigerant has been prohibited in the European Union. However, given an average lifetime of at least 15 years, R/F equipment using CFCs is still in use, and significant numbers are discarded annually. In the Netherlands, discarded R/F equipment is collected and processed by specialised companies which remove and destroy the CFCs still present in the equipment. Still, in some cases the CFCs have leaked to the environment before the equipment is discarded and processed. This emission source represents these leakage emissions of CFCs and possible processing inefficiencies which lead to the emission of CFCs to the environment.

Before the year 2000, a share of the discarded R/F units were exported to Eastern Europe and Africa. However, from 1999, the export of old R/F equipment has been prohibited.

#### *Contribution to the national total emission*

For trichlorofluoromethane (CFC-12), the contribution of the discarded refrigerators and freezers is <25%.

### 12.2 Calculation

Emissions are calculated as follows:  
Emission = Activity data x Emission factor

Activity data = number of refrigerators and freezers that use CFCs as refrigerant that are discarded annually and that are not exported abroad.  
Emission factor = CFC emission per unit of CFC R/F equipment

#### *a) Activity data*

To estimate the number of CFC R/F equipment discarded annually from 1990 to 2030, a combination of multiple data is needed. First, the number of R/F units put on the market between 1960 and 1994 in the Netherlands was extracted from CBS data. These R/Fs are assumed to all use CFC-12 as refrigerant. The average amount of CFC refrigerant present per unit is estimated at 165 grams (Brouwer & Hulskotte, 1995).

The Weibull distribution is used to estimate the number of CFC R/F units discarded annually. Based on data from CBS, the average lifetime of a

refrigerator is estimated at 16.4 years and of a freezer at 18.6 years. Furthermore, the shape of the Weibull function is 2.2 for refrigerators and 1.3 for freezers (Magalini et al., 2014).

These calculations have resulted in an annual number of discarded CFC R/F units from 1990 to 2030. However, between 1990 and 1999, a share of the discarded units was exported and therefore did not cause emissions in the Netherlands. From 1990 to 1998, the share of discarded units was assumed to be 20%; in 1999 the share was assumed to be 10%. This ratio has been applied to the number of discarded CFC R/F units from 1990 to 1999 to estimate the number of CFC R/F units that were annually processed in the Netherlands. From 2000 onwards, the number of discarded CFC R/F units is assumed to be equal to the number of CFC R/F units processed.

Since the year 2013 no CFC R/F equipment is estimated to be in use in the Netherlands.

#### *b) Emission factor*

The emission factor is estimated by subtracting the average amount of CFC refrigerant recovered from processed R/F units, from the average amount of CFC refrigerant used in CFC R/F units (Brouwer & Hulskotte, 1994).

165 gram – 60 gram = 105 gram/unit.

This calculation was verified by dividing the amount of recovered CFCs by the number of units processed according to the CFK 'aktieprogramma' (action programme) (1994) and subtracting this from the current amount of CFCs, which resulted in an emission factor of 103 grams/unit. Furthermore, the Flanders Environment Agency (MIRA, 2010) reports an average CFC recovery percentage of 33%, which results in an EF of 101 grams per unit.

Substance	EF	Unit
CFC-12	0.105	kg/RF unit

### 12.3 Uncertainty

The uncertainties of both the activity data and the emission factors have not been determined.

Only rough estimates are available for the number of CFC R/F units present, discarded or exported in the Netherlands. Therefore, the activity data has a significant level of uncertainty, rated D.

The emission factor was verified by combining different sources, improving the reliability. It is therefore rated C.

#### **Quality codes**

Substance	Activity data	Emission factor	Emission
CFC-12	D	C	D

### 12.4 Spatial allocation

The emissions of consumers are spatially allocated in the Netherlands based on population density.



<b>Emission source/process</b>	<b>Allocation-parameter</b>
Discarding refrigerators and freezers	Population density

Details available from:

[http://www.emissieregistratie.nl/erpubliek/misc/documenten.aspx?ROO T=Algemeen \(General\)\Ruimtelijke toedeling \(Spatial allocation\)](http://www.emissieregistratie.nl/erpubliek/misc/documenten.aspx?ROO T=Algemeen (General)\Ruimtelijke toedeling (Spatial allocation))

## 12.5 References

Brouwer, J.G.H & Hulskotte, J.H.J. (1995). *Verwerking afgedankte koelapparatuur*. RIVM rapprtnr. 772414004

CFK aktieprogramma (1994). *Jaarrapportage 1993*. Kenmerk CFK.CIE.94A558

Magalini, F., F. Wang, J. Huisman, R. Kuehr, C.P. Baldé, V. v. Straalen, M. Hestin, L. Lecerf, U. Sayman and O. Akpulat (2014). *Study on collection rates of waste electrical and electronic equipment (WEEE). Preliminary version, subject to final verification*

MIRA (2010). *Achtergronddocument 2010 Aantasting van de ozonlaag*.

## 12.6 Version, dates and sources

Version: 1.1

Date: Jan 2020

Contact:

<b>Administrator</b>	<b>Organisation</b>	<b>E-mail address</b>
A.J.H.Visschedijk	TNO	antoon.visschedijk@tno.nl



## 13 Cleaning Products

This section describes the emission from the use of cleaning products.

Process description	Emission source code	NFR categorycode	Sector
Solvent and other product use: detergents	0803000	2D3a	Consumers
Solvent and other product use: detergents	0803001	2D3i	Trades and services

### 13.1 Description of the emission source

VOCs are applied in cleaning products because of their fat dissolving capacities. The largest fraction of these VOCs is being released to the air during or after the use of the cleaning products. The emissions described here are related to consumer uses, institutional users and cleaning companies. Industrial cleaning products are considered by the task group ENINA.

### 13.2 Calculation

The consumer use of cleaning products leads to emission of VOCs. Surface cleaners, polishes, dishwashing detergents and laundry detergents are considered the most important VOC emission sources from cleaning products (McDonald et al., 2018). The VOC emissions from these products in The Netherlands are calculated by multiplying their use volumes with their emission factors assigned (McDonald et al., 2018). Spot remover, methylated spirit, hand disinfectant, washing petrol, carpet cleaner, hand disinfectant are also considered, but the VOC emission of these products are extrapolated from monitoring data in the early 1990's (Motivaction, 1996).

#### 13.2.1 Consumer activity data

The activity data refers to Dutch use volumes of the cleaning products from which VOC emission is recognized for surface cleaners, polishes, dishwashing detergents and laundry detergents. The use volumes per Dutch household are determined for these products from the EPHECT consumer use survey data (EPHECT, 2012; Meesters et al., 2018) from which a weighted mean is derived for the frequency in which the product is used ( per year), the amount of product that is used per event (g), and the fraction of households actually using the product (%). As such, the average annual use volume per household is calculated by multiplying these values (Table 1).

Table 1 Consumer activity data per product category

Product category	Cleaning Products	Use Frequency (per year)	Used amount (g per event)	Fraction of households using product (%)	Average annual used amount per household (kg per year)*1
Surface cleaners	All-purpose-cleaner cream	56	7.0	14	0.05
	All-purpose-cleaner liquid	114	50.2	65	3.71
	All-purpose-cleaner-spray	94	4	38	0.14
	Bathroom cleaner gel	64	7.1	8	0.03
	Bathroom cleaner liquid	86	51.0	52	2.26
	Bathroom cleaner spray	76	5.1	39	0.15
	Floor cleaner liquid	95	62.9	59	3.52
	Floor cleaner spray	48	4.2	10	0.02
	Floor cleaner wipes	44	14.8	7	0.04
	Glass cleaner liquid	47	49.5	32	0.73
	Glass cleaner spray	47	5.1	50	0.12
	Glass cleaner wipes	49	14.8	5	0.04
	Kitchen cleaner cream	86	6.1	17	0.09
	Kitchen cleaner liquid	117	45.8	51	2.72
	Kitchen cleaner spray	114	4.8	42	0.23
	<b>Total</b>				<b>13.86</b>
Polishes and waxes	Floor polish liquid	51	72.8	11	0.41
	Floor polish spray	51	72.8	5	0.18
	Floor polish wipe	51	72.8	3	0.10
	Furniture polish spray	40	4.8	24	0.05
	Furniture polish liquid	40	4.8	14	0.03
	Furniture polish wipe	40	4.8	8	0.02
	<b>Total</b>				<b>0.78</b>
Dishwashing detergents	Manual dishwash liquid*2	500	5.6	83	<b>2.34</b>
Laundry detergents	Liquid laundry detergents*3	365	75	37	<b>10.16</b>

\*1 Inclusive fraction of households not using the cleaning product

\*2 Manual dishwash liquid represents total VOC emission of dishwash detergents (Wooley et al., 1990)

### 13.3 Consumer cleaning product emission factors

The emission factors referring to the release of VOCs from cleaning products to ambient atmosphere (Table 2) are taken from McDonald et al (2018). They represent the total of an extensive number of VOC species in which differences in their volatility is accounted for by determining the volatilization fraction per species VOC per cleaning product (McDonald et al., 2018).

Table 2. VOC emission factor per cleaning product (McDonald et al., 2018)

Cleaning product category	VOC emission factor (g emitted per kg product used)
Surface cleaners*1	78
Dishwashing detergents*2	8.5
Laundry detergent *3	1.1
Polishes and waxes*4	420

\*1: Surface cleaners refer to products with recommended use to treat household surfaces including glass, metals, plastics, tiles, stoves, cabinets, vanities, windows, toilets, showers, sinks, floors, and ovens (Singer et al., 2006).

\*2: Dishwashing detergents refer to manual dishwashing liquids (Wooley et al., 1990)

\*3: Laundry detergent refer to liquid products for machine wash (Wooley et al., 1990)

\*4: Polishes and waxes for treatment of household surfaces of which emission behavior is assumed similar to adhesives and coatings (McDonald et al., 2018).

### 13.4 Consumer cleaning product monitoring data

The inventory of cleaning products from which emission is extrapolated from monitoring data includes t spot remover, methylated spirit, hand disinfectant, washing petrol, carpet cleaner, hand disinfectant (Motivaction, 1996; InfoMil, 2002; NVZ, 2004).

Table 3. Monitored and calculated VOC emission from consumer use of cleaning products (Motivaction 1996; InfoMil 2004; NVZ, 2004)

Year	VOC emission per cleaning product (t/y)							Reference
	Methylated spirit	Spot remover	Window cleaner	Hand disinfectant	Carpet cleaner	Washing petrol	Total	
1990	1226	6	39	5	63	762	<b>2101</b>	<b>Motivaction, 1996</b>
1991	1226	6	39	5	63	762	<b>2101</b>	
1992	1226	6	39	5	63	762	<b>2101</b>	
1993	1206	6	70	5	8	742	<b>2037</b>	
1994	1206	6	70	5	8	742	<b>2037</b>	
1995	1388	1	94	8	4	762	<b>2257</b>	<b>InfoMil, 2001</b>
1996	-	-	-	-	-	-	<b>2255</b>	
1997	-	-	-	-	-	-	<b>2277</b>	
1998	-	-	-	-	-	-	<b>1830</b>	
1999	-	-	-	-	-	-	<b>1889</b>	<b>NVZ 2004; Nielsen, 2001</b>
2000	1177	200	185	58	16	325	<b>1961</b>	
2001	968	192	89	56	15	325	<b>1645</b>	
2002	768	210	105	48	12	325	<b>1468</b>	
2003	750	251	181	38	27	325	<b>1572</b>	

The emission of VOCs per household (Motivaction, 1996, RIVM, 2018). The extrapolation is done by setting 2003, the last year for which monitoring data is available, as index year by dividing the VOC emission from these products in 2003 with the number of households in 2003.

Table 4. VOC emission of cleaning products per household in 2003

Product	VOC emission g per year per household in 2003
Spot remover	36
Methylated spirit	107
Hand disinfectant	5
Washing petrol	46
Carpet cleaner	4
<b>Total</b>	<b>199</b>

### 13.5 Calculation of VOC emission from consumer cleaning products

The emission of VOCs from cleaning products in The Netherlands is calculated by multiplying the emission per household with the number of households in The Netherlands:

$$E_{household} \times N_{household}$$

The VOC emission per household is calculated as the VOC emission derived from the monitoring data plus the sum of the amounts (Q) of the cleaning products used multiplied with their emission factors (EF) of the cleaning products:

$$E_{household} = E_{monitor} + Q_{surf.clean.} \times EF_{surf.clean.} + Q_{polish.} \times EF_{polish.} + Q_{dish} \times EF_{dish} + Q_{laundry} \times EF_{laundry}$$

The emissions of the individual substances are calculated by multiplying the emission volumes given above with a emission profile expressing the weight fraction of the substance per ton emission of VOCs (Table 3).

Table 5. Profile of VOC emissions from cleaning products

VOC Substance	Weight fraction of VOC emission from cleaning products (g/g)
Propane	0.02284
Isobutane	0.02284
Methylene	0.005726
Trichlor-ethane	0.004466
Monohydroxy-components	0.700948
Butanone2	0.009348
Dimethylether	0.009559

Ammonia is also considered to be a cleaning product. It is assumed that each household use 1 liter of ammonia per year, so that the estimated emission is 1kton for the year 1990 (RIVM, 1994). The years after 1990 are corrected based on the number of households according to the CBS housing growth index.

### 13.6 Trades and services

The VOC emissions and in trades and services (Table 5) are estimated by combing different data sources used: (a) the monitoring data collected for the period 1990-1995 (Motivaction, 1996), (b) estimated emission volumes calculated by multiplying an emission factor with

activity data for the period 1997-1999 (InfoMil, 2001) and 2000-2003 (NVZ, 2004; Nielsen, 2001).

Table 6. Monitored and calculated VOC emission from the use of cleaning products in trades and services (Motivaction 1996; InfoMil 2004; NVZ, 2004)

Year	VOC emission per cleaning product (t/y)						Reference
	Professional disinfectant	Window cleaner	Hand disinfectant	Carpet cleaner	Washing petrol	Total	
1990	-	156	1476	117	762	2511	<b>Motivaction, 1996</b>
1991	-	156	1476	117	762	2511	
1992	-	156	1476	117	762	2511	
1993	-	344	1508	103	742	2697	
1994	-	344	1508	103	742	2697	
1995	-	178	1829	36	762	2805	
1996	-	-	-	-	-	3520	<b>InfoMil, 2001</b>
1997	-	-	-	-	-	3542	
1998	-	-	-	-	-	3095	
1999	-	-	-	-	-	3154	
2000	1265	198	669	46	325	2503	<b>NVZ 2004; Nielsen, 2001</b>
2001	1265	184	449	32	325	2255	
2002	1265	172	419	16	325	2197	
2003	1265	159	436	19	325	2204	

### Uncertainty and Quality

Substance	Activity data	Emission factors	Emission
NM VOC	B	C	C

### 13.7 Spatial allocation

Spatial allocation of emissions is based on population density.

### 13.8 References

- EPHECT (Emissions, Exposure Patterns and Health Effects of Consumer Products in the EU). 2012. Authored by Johnson A. and Lucica E. Survey on indoor use and use patterns of consumer products in EU Member States. Survey report. EPHECT, Ipsos, Paris.  
<https://esites.vito.be/sites/ephect/>
- Kruschwitz A., Karle A., Schmitz A. and Stamminger R. 2014. Consumer laundry practices in Germany. International Journal of Consumer Studies, 28, 3, 265-277
- McDonald, B.C., De Gouw, J.A., Gilman, J.B., Jathar, S.H., Akherati, A., Cappa, C.D., Jimenez, J.L, Lee-Taylor, J.Hayes, P.L, McKeen, S.A., Cui, Y.Y., Kim, S.-W., Gentner, D.R., Isaacman-VanWertz, G., Goldstein, A.H., Harley, R.A., Frost, G.J., Roberts, J.M., Ryerson, T.B., Trainer, M. 2018. Volatile chemical products emerging as largest petrochemical source of urban organic emissions. Science 2018, 359, 760-764

- Meesters, J.A.J., Nijkamp, M.M, Schuur, A.G., Te Biesebeek, J.D. 2018. Cleaning Products Fact Sheet  
Default parameters for estimating consumer exposure – Updated version 2018. RIVM Report 2016-0179
- Ramirez-Martinez A., Wesoleka N., Morisseta T., Coyata C., Parent-Massina D. and Roudota A.-C. 2014. Exposure to dishwashing liquid assessed in university students from Brest vity: A preliminary study—a first approach to household products exposure in France. *Human and Ecological Risk Assessment*, 20, 1608–1628
- Singer, B.C., Destailats, H., Hodgson, A.T., Nazaroff, W.W. 2006. Cleaning products and air fresheners: emissions and resulting concentrations of glycol ethers and terpenoids. *Indoor Air*, 2006, 16, 179-191
- Wooley, J., Nazaroff, W.W., Hodgson, A.T. 1990. Release of Ethanol to the Atmosphere During Use of Consumer Cleaning Products. 1990, 40:8, 1114-1120

### 13.9 Version, data, sources

Version 1.0

Date: January 2019

Contact:

Emission expert	Organization	E-mail adress
J. Meesters	RIVM	joris.meesters@rivm.nl



## 14 Construction sites

This section describes the emissions of particulate matter from construction sites.

Process description	Emission source code	NFR code	Sector
Building and construction sites	0802302	2H3	Trades and services

### 14.1 Description of emission sources

Particulate matter < 10 µm (PM10) and < 2.5 µm (PM 2.5) is released as fine dust as a consequence of different work activities at construction sites such as milling, drilling, grinding, chopping, sawing, and blistering rocks, bricks or concrete, as well as dust suspension from ground movement and heavy transport of debris and construction works.

### 14.2 Calculation

Emissions are calculated as follows:

Emission = Activity data X Emission Factor

Activity data = index number production revenues of the Dutch construction sector (1997=100)

Emission factor PM 10 = Reported emission of 10,620 kg per index point

Emission factor PM2.5 = 3540 kg per index point

#### a) Activity data

The activity data refers to the production revenues of Dutch construction with the year 1997 as baseline with 100 index point. Index numbers for the years 1990-1999 are derived from CBS data that is unfortunately no longer available. The index numbers for the years 2000-2009 are derived from the prognostic reports of TNO (TNO, Bouw en Ondergrond 2005;2007;2008-2009) and the Dutch Ministry of Housing, Spatial Planning, and Environment (VROM, 2001; 2002), whereas the indexes for the years 2010-2017 are taken from the website of CBS (CBS, 2018). All index numbers are based on the production revenues of the Dutch construction sector expressed in currency (fl or €) corrected for economic de-inflation and inflation.

#### b) Emission factor PM10

The emission factor for PM10 is calculated by dividing the estimated emission of 1062 t PM10 in the year 1997 (Haskoning, 2000) divided by the index number of 100. The 1062 t emission per year is calculated as the sum of the monitored PM10 emissions from (i) ground, water and road, (ii) civil and utility, (iii) demolition, and (iv) finish construction sites (Haskoning, 2000). As such, the emission factor for PM10 is 1062 t divided by 100 index point: 10,620 kg per index point.

*c) Emission factor PM2.5*

It is assumed that 1/3 of the weight of PM10 comprises PM2.5. As such, the emission factor of PM2.5 is equal to the emission factor of PM10 multiplied by 1/3: 3540 kg per index point.

### 14.3 Uncertainty

Substance	Activity data	Emission factors	Emission
PM10, PM2.5	C	D	D

### 14.4 Spatial allocation

Spatial allocation is based on population density.

### 14.5 References

CBS. 2018. <http://statline.cbs.nl/StatWeb/publication/default.aspx?DM=SLNL&PA=81808ned>

Haskoning. 2000. Diffuse emissies van fijn stof door (semi-)industriële activiteiten. Ministry of VROM, The Hague

TNO, Bouw en Ondergrond. 2005. Bouwprognoses 2005-2010. Rapport I&R. 2005-23

TNO, Bouw en Ondergrond. 2007. Bouwprognoses 2006-2011. TNO rapport 2007-D-R0975-A

TNO, Bouw en Ondergrond. 2008. Bouwprognoses 2007-2012. TNO rapport 2007-D-R0158/A

TNO, Bouw en Ondergrond. 2009. Bouwprognoses 2009-2014. TNO rapport 034-DTM-2009-04560

VROM. 2001. Bouwprognoses 2002-2007.

VROM, 2002. Bouwprognoses 2003-2008.

### 14.6 Versions, dates and sources

Version :1.1

Date: February 2018

Contact:

Administrator	Organisation	Email address
Joris Meesters	RIVM	Joris.meesters@rivm.nl

## 15 Cosmetics for personal care

This section describes the emissions of NMVOC resulting from cosmetics and personal care products. The section does not describe the emission of NMVOC.

Process description	Emission source code	NFR code	Sector
Cosmetics for personal care	0801100	2D3a	Consumers
Cosmetics for personal care	0801101	2D3i	Trade and services

### 15.1 Description emission source

Cosmetics products for personal care contain NMVOC which are emitted to the air during and after use. This includes products used by consumers and hairdressing salons, barbershops, and beauty parlours. The cosmetics for personal consist of a wide range of products: hairsprays, deodorants, eau de toilette/perfumes, nail polish/remover, aftershave and miscellaneous. In 2012, the emissions were ascribed to consumers for 96%, and to trades and services for 4%. This distribution shifted from 90% to 10% in 2004, to 96% and 4% in 2012. This is based on a communication from the NCV (Dutch Cosmetics Association; 2004 2012).

In 2004, hairspray was with ~65% the main NMVOC contributor of the cosmetics group, followed by deodorants ~29%. However, in 2012, the two main contributors exchanged positions. Now deodorants contribute to ~54% (6.41 kton) and hairstyling products to ~35% (4.14 kton). According to the NCV, there have been no major changes of NMVOC concentration in products since 1996. Only within the group deodorant has there been an increase of the use of NMVOC-rich deodorant sprays. The increase of NMVOC emission is ascribed to the increased use of hairspray (flexible hairspray) and deodorant spray (roller sticks replaced by aerosol cans). Ultimately this has led to an increase in NMVOC from cosmetics.

#### *Contribution to the national emission*

The contribution of NMVOC by cosmetics for personal care is ~33.6% of the consumer NMVOC total, and 4.6% of the trades and services NMVOC total (ER 2011). The contribution of cosmetics to the Dutch total NMVOC emission is ~7.7% (ER 2011).

### 15.2 Calculation

The calculation of NMVOC emission cosmetics and personal care products is based on market shares surveillance of these products, annually published by the NCV. In the past the NCV themselves estimated the emission of NMVOC for the years 1997, 2002 and 2003 (NCV 1998, 2003 and 2004). Since 2004 the market shares corrected

with the annual Dutch central price index were used to estimate the emission.

In 2013 the NVC, on request of the ER, again estimated NMVOC emissions from cosmetics and personal care products for both consumers and trades and services (NVC 2013). Based on this latest NVC estimation it's concluded that the annual published NVC report contains sufficient information to estimate the NMVOC emission for deodorants, hair sprays, scents, decorative products, shaving products and miscellaneous products. The emissions estimated for these product classes are used as indexes for the coming years. The 2012 index is derived by dividing the product emissions of 2012 with the product market volumes (€) in 2012. Emissions in the next years are then calculated by multiplying the product market volumes with the 2012 indexes. The ER estimated a sum of 11.76 kton for consumers while NVC estimated 11.7 kton. The NMVOC total is in its turn split up into the individual substances, using an average profile, established by TNO and the Dutch Cosmetics Association in 1992.

### 15.3 Uncertainty

The uncertainties of the emission calculation have not been quantified.

#### *Quality codes*

Substance	Activity data	Emission factors	Emission
NMVOC	B	C	C

### 15.4 Spatial allocation

The emissions of consumers and trade and services are allocated in the Netherlands based on population density. Details are available at [http://www.emissieregistratie.nl/erpubliek/misc/documenten.aspx?ROO T=Algemeen \(General\)\Ruimtelijke toedeling \(Spatial allocation\)](http://www.emissieregistratie.nl/erpubliek/misc/documenten.aspx?ROO T=Algemeen (General)\Ruimtelijke toedeling (Spatial allocation))

### 15.5 References

Annual reports NCV., [www.NCV-cosmetica.nl](http://www.NCV-cosmetica.nl)

CBS statline: [www.statline.cbs.nl](http://www.statline.cbs.nl)

VOC emission cosmetics. Personal communication W.A. Pfeifer. Director Dutch Cosmetics Association (NCV) 2004.

VOC emission cosmetics. Personal communication Dr. ir. R.T.H. van Welie technical director Dutch Cosmetics Association (NCV) 2013.

### 15.6 Version, dates and sources

Version: 2.0

Date: January 2019

Contact:

Administrator	Organisation	E-mail address
J. Meesters	RIVM	Joris.Meesters@rivm.nl

## 16 Crematoria

This section describes the emissions of dioxin, mercury and PM<sub>10</sub> resulting from cremation of human remains. The section does not describe the emissions of NO<sub>x</sub>, SO<sub>x</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub>.

Process description	Emission source code	NFR code	Sector
Crematoria	8922001	5C1bv	Trade and services

### 16.1 Description emission source

In the Netherlands there are 71 crematoria for human remains (LEV 2012). In 2011, 59% of the deceased were cremated.

#### *Contribution to the national emission*

Crematoria were responsible for the emission of 2.8% of the national mercury emission (ER 2012).

Crematoria were responsible for the emission of <<1% of the national dioxin emission (ER 2009).

Crematoria were responsible for the emission of <<1% of the national PM<sub>10</sub> emission (ER 2009).

### 16.2 Calculation

Emissions are calculated as follows:

Emission = Activity data (-NeR) x Emission factor (-NeR) + Activity data (+ NeR) x Emission factor (+NeR)

Activity data (-NeR) = amount of cremations (in crematoria not yet in compliance with NeR)

Activity data (+NeR) = amount of cremations (in crematoria in compliance with NeR)

Emission factor (-NeR) = emission per cremation (in crematoria not yet in compliance with NeR)

Emission factor (+NeR) = emission per cremation (in crematoria in compliance with NeR)

#### *a) Activity data*

During cremation of human remains, substances are emitted; the most relevant substances are NO<sub>x</sub>, SO<sub>x</sub>, CO<sub>2</sub>, mercury, dioxin and fly ash (PM<sub>10</sub>).

#### *Emission of NO<sub>x</sub>, SO<sub>x</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub>*

The emissions are based on emission factors per cremated human. The emissions of the three substances were added to the other burning emissions of the sector trade and services. The ENINA task group is responsible for the calculation and reporting of the burning emission from trade and services.

*Other emissions*

The emissions are determined by multiplying the number of cremations in the Netherlands with emission factors. The number of cremations in the Netherlands is provided by the LVC (National Association Crematoria); data can be downloaded from the LVC home page.

Year	Deceased		Cremations	
	absolute	absolute	% total	% with NeR
1950	75,580	1,520	2	0
1980	114,279	39,947	35	0
1990	128,790	57,130	44	0
2000	140,527	68,700	49	5
2005	136,402	70,766	52	18
2010	135,895	77,465	57	75*
2011	135,516	78,599	58	86**

\* interpolation using year 2011

\*\* calculation based on accurate list crematoria with NeR (LVC 2012)

*b) Emission factor***Mercury**

The calculations of mercury are based on a study by Tauw Milieu (1997). Tauw made a list of amalgam sales in the past and combined it with the KUB model (1992). The KUB calculated an emission factor for mercury per age category. The emission factors are 1.15 in 1995, 1.37 in 2000 and 1.73 in 2010. In 2011, a factor of 1.73 g mercury per cremation was used. It is assumed that all the mercury in the amalgam is emitted to the air during cremation.

*Implementation of the NeR measures*

According to the cremations report ("crematies") (WESP 1996) 2150 m<sup>3</sup> smoke-fume is generated per cremation resulting in 0.43 g Hg/cremation. However, measurements resulted in concentrations far below 0.43 g Hg/cremation (between 0.001 and 0.004 mg/m<sup>3</sup>).

Assumption: emission of mercury with NeR = 0.05 mg/m<sup>3</sup> ≈

**0.1 g Hg/cremation.**

Emission Hg (-NeR) (kg) = cremation (-NeR) x Hg emission factor (-NeR)

Emission Hg (+NeR) (kg) = cremation (+NeR) x Hg emission factor (+NeR)

Emission Hg total (kg) = Emission Hg (-NeR) (kg) + Emission Hg (+NeR) (kg)

**PM10 (fly ash)**

The emission factor for fly ash is **100g/cremation** (WESP 1996).

*Implementation of the NeR measures*

The NeR measures require the use of special filters (cloth or electrostatic filters) which reduce the emission of fly ash. According to WESP (1996), the emission for fly ash using cloth filters is 25 g/cremation.

Measurements in Geleen showed concentrations of <6 mg/m<sup>3</sup>, or 13 g

per cremation. Measured data from Bilthoven showed even lower values,  $<0.7 \text{ mg/m}^3$ .

Assumption: emission fly ash with NeR = **10 g/cremation**.

Emission fly ash (-NeR) (kg) = cremation (-NeR) x fly ash emission factor (-NeR)

Emission fly ash (+NeR) (kg) = cremation (+NeR) x fly ash emission factor (+NeR)

Emission fly ash total (kg) = Emission fly ash (-NeR) (kg) + Emission fly ash (+NeR) (kg)

### ***Dioxins***

The emission factor for dioxins is 4 ug I-TEQ per cremation. The 4 ug I-TEQ/cremation is based on measurements made in 1991 of the smoke fumes from three crematoria (Bremmer et al, 1993).

#### *Implementation of the NeR measures*

For dioxins, the emissions with NeR measures are also lower.

Measurements performed by TNO showed  $0.024 \text{ ng/m}^3 = 0.052 \text{ ug I-TEQ/cremation}$  at Geleen and  $0.013 \text{ ng/m}^3 = 0.028 \text{ ug I-TEQ/cremation}$  at Bilthoven.

According to measurements by the EEFS (European branch-organisation) lower values are possible.  $0.1 \text{ ng/m}^3$  (or  $0.2 \text{ ug I-TEQ/cremation}$ ) is the modern German limit (27e BImSchV) for installation with filters.

Assumption: emission dioxins with NeR = **0.2 ug I-TEQ/cremation**.

Emission Dioxins (-NeR) (kg) = cremation (-NeR) x Dioxin emission factor (-NeR)

Emission Dioxins (+NeR) (kg) = cremation (+NeR) x Dioxin emission factor (+NeR)

E. Dioxins total (kg) = Dioxin emission (-NeR) (kg) + Dioxin emission (+NeR) (kg)

#### *Measures influencing the calculation*

Since July 1998, new crematoria or new ovens in existing crematoria must comply to the NeR with respect to mercury ( $0.2 \text{ mg/m}^3$ ).

Therefore, the emissions of dioxins and fly ash are lower. According to the LVC information (2012), 57 of the 71 crematoria now comply with the NeR. The 57 crematoria with NeR accounted for 86% of the cremations. At the end of 2012, all the crematoria must be in compliance with the NeR. From this date onwards, only the lower emission factors are used.

### 16.3 Quality codes

Substance	Activity data	Emission factors	Emission
Dioxins	A	B	B
Fly as	A	B	B
Mercury	A	B	B

### 16.4 Spatial allocation

The emissions of the crematoria are assigned to the locations of the crematoria (SBI 96.032) in the Netherlands according to the ratio of employees.

Details available via

[http://www.emissieregistratie.nl/erpubliek/misc/documenten.aspx?ROO T=Algemeen \(General\)\Ruimtelijke toedeling \(Spatial allocation\)](http://www.emissieregistratie.nl/erpubliek/misc/documenten.aspx?ROO T=Algemeen (General)\Ruimtelijke toedeling (Spatial allocation))

### 16.5 References

ER, 2009. Netherlands Emission Registry. Data on 2009 available from [www.emissieregistratie.nl](http://www.emissieregistratie.nl)

NeR op [www.infomil.nl](http://www.infomil.nl), november 2006

LEV 2012. <http://www.lvc-online.nl/>

RIVM, 1993, Bremmer, H.J., et al, november 1993, Emissies van dioxine in Nederland, RIVM, rapportnr 770501003, Bilthoven

Tauw Milieu 1997, Onderzoek naar kwikemissies van crematoria en beschikbare RGRtechnieken, B Hoekstra, R3517616 Tauw Milieu, Deventer, mei 1997

TNO 1996, Smit, E.R., 1996, Massabalans en emissies van in Nederland toegepaste crematieprocessen, TNO-rapport TNO-MEP-R96/059.

WESP 1996, J.G.Elzenga, WESP document Crematoria (H7), 1996.

### 16.6 Version, dates and sources

Version: 1.1

Date: November 2013

Contact:

Administrator	Organisation	E-mail address
J. Meesters	RIVM	Joris.Meesters@rivm.nl



## 17 Degassing of groundwater (CRF 2.G.4)

This section describes emissions from the degassing of groundwater.

Process description	Emission source code	CRF code	Sector
Degassing groundwater	0850000	2.G.4	Drinkwater companies

### 17.1 Description emission source

A part of the Dutch drink water is produced from ground water. Gasses dissolved inground water are released during processing, including methane. Shallow groundwater extraction for use in agriculture or on construction sites is not included in this document, as this water contains no methane.

#### *Contribution to the national emission*

This emission is not a key source of greenhouse gases

The contribution of this process to the national methane emission was <0.3% in 2009.

### 17.2 Calculation

For the complete time series, the emissions are calculated as follows:  
Emission = Activity data x Emission factor

Activity data = Amount of groundwater produced

Emission factor = Emission per m<sup>3</sup> groundwater

This is a tier 1 methodology. The methodology is not provided within the IPCC 2006 Guidelines.

#### *a) Activity data*

The amount of groundwater extracted for drink water purposes is used as activity data. The data are annually retrieved from VeWin, the Dutch society for (drink) water producing companies. Only the amount of groundwater is taken into account.

In the year 2009, this resulted in 676 million m<sup>3</sup>.

#### *b) Emission factor*

The emission factor for degassing groundwater was calculated for the year 1990 by dividing the estimated methane emissions (2000 tons) by the amount of extracted groundwater (810 million m<sup>3</sup>), as reported by van den Born (1990).

Substance	EF	Unit
CH <sub>4</sub>	2469	kg/ million m <sup>3</sup> groundwater

### 17.3 Uncertainty and Quality checks

The activity data for the degassing of drink water from ground water are derived from the statistics of VeWin (Dutch association for water win companies). It is estimated that the uncertainty is 10% at most, based on expert judgement.

The uncertainty of the emission factor for methane (50%) is derived from 'Olivier, 2009'.

#### Quality codes

Substance	Activity data	Emission factor	Emission
CH <sub>4</sub>	A	D	C

#### Quality checks

No sector specific quality checks were performed. For the general QA/QC, see chapter 2.

### 17.4 Spatial allocation

Consumer emissions are spatially allocated in the Netherlands based on population density. Although this might not be completely accurate, it may be the best assumption.

Emission source/process	Allocation-parameter
Degassing of groundwater	Population density

Details available via

[http://www.emissieregistratie.nl/erpubliek/misc/documenten.aspx?ROO T=Algemeen \(General\)\Ruimtelijke toedeling \(Spatial allocation\)](http://www.emissieregistratie.nl/erpubliek/misc/documenten.aspx?ROO T=Algemeen (General)\Ruimtelijke toedeling (Spatial allocation))

### 17.5 References

Born, G.J. van den, A.F. Bouwman, J.G.J. Olivier, R.J. Swart, 1991, The emission of greenhouse gases in the Netherlands, RIVM-rapport 222901003.

VeWin, vereniging voor waterwinbedrijven. <http://www.vewin.nl/>

### 17.6 Version, dates and sources

Version: 1.3

Date: Jan 2020

Contact:

Administrator	Organisation	E-mail address
A.J.H.Visschedijk	TNO	antoon.visschedijk@tno.nl

## 18 Fireworks (CRF 2.G.4)

This section describes the emissions from fireworks. This refers specifically to consumer fireworks set off on New Year's Eve, since only professional fireworks are permitted on other occasions. Other amounts are considered to be negligible.

Process description	Emission source code	CRF code	NFR code	Sector
Fireworks	0801700	2.G.4	2D3i	Consumers

### 18.1 Description emission source

On New Year's Eve, inhabitants of the Netherlands are allowed to set off fireworks. These include both 'firecrackers' and 'ornamental' types of firework, with an estimated 15% / 85% ratio. When setting off fireworks, various gasses and metal substances are emitted, depending on the type of firework.

#### *Contribution to the national emission*

This emission is not a key source of greenhouse gases

The contribution of fireworks to the total national emission of particulate matter was <5% in 2009. However, the emission of some metal components contributes considerably to the national total. Fireworks may contain, among other substances, antimony, barium and strontium. For these metals, fireworks are the main source of process emissions, emissions to the soil, and emissions to the sewer system.

### 18.2 Calculation

The emissions are calculated as follows:  
Emission = Activity data x Emission factor

Activity data = Amount of fireworks lighted in kg

Emission factor = Average emission per kg fireworks

This is a tier 1 methodology. The methodology is not provided within the IPCC 2006 Guidelines.

#### *a) Activity data*

In 2009, an estimated 17.3 million kg of fireworks were set off on New Year's Eve.

In order to calculate the amount of fireworks, the difference between import and export each year is taken into account. These statistics are derived from the national statistics agency (CBS). As the difference between imported and exported fireworks does not need to be set off in the same year, consecutive years have been averaged according to the following rule:

$$\text{Year2} = (\text{Year1} + 2 * \text{Year2} + \text{Year3}) / 4$$

There is a bias in the statistics, since smaller companies are not included and the import of illegal fireworks is not accounted for. To compensate

for this bias, the amount calculated from the statistics is multiplied by a factor 1.7. This is based on expert judgement (estimated total fireworks divided by the CBS reported amount). Prior to 1996, the CBS also provided information on the smaller companies, therefore in those years; the statistics were multiplied by 1.316 to correct for illegal fireworks.

#### *b) Emission factors*

The emission factors for all gaseous substances caused by fireworks are derived from Brouwer et al. (1995), Croteau et al. (2010) and OVK (2014) and include CO<sub>2</sub>, CO, CH<sub>4</sub>, H<sub>2</sub>S, SO<sub>2</sub>, N<sub>2</sub>O and PM<sub>10</sub>. The emission factor of NO<sub>x</sub> is derived from chapter 2G (table 3.14) of the EMEP/EEA Guidebook (2019)'.

<b>Substance</b>	<b>EF</b>	<b>Unit</b>	
CO <sub>2</sub>	43250	kg/ million kg fireworks	Brouwer et al, 1995
CO	6900	kg/ million kg fireworks	Brouwer et al, 1995
CH <sub>4</sub>	825	kg/ million kg fireworks	Brouwer et al, 1995
H <sub>2</sub> S	1195	kg/ million kg fireworks	Brouwer et al, 1995
SO <sub>2</sub>	1935	kg/ million kg fireworks	Brouwer et al, 1995
N <sub>2</sub> O	1935	kg/ million kg fireworks	Brouwer et al, 1995
PM <sub>10</sub>	42700	kg/ million kg fireworks	Croteau et al. 2010, OVK 2014, Manders et al. 2014
NO <sub>x</sub>	260	kg/ million kg fireworks	EMEP/EEA, 2019

For the emissions of heavy metals, studies by Brouwer et al. (1995), Plinke et al. (2001), both for Germany and Sweden, and Croteau et al. (2010) were combined to derive an average emission factor. The metal content of ornamental fireworks described by Plink et al (2001) is a metal content per kg pyrotechnic mixture. To calculate a metal content per kg fireworks, it is assumed that fireworks consist of 40% pyrotechnic ingredients (comparable to Brouwer et al.).

The following table shows the metal emission factors of 'ornamental fireworks' from the several studies and the emission factor that is currently used for emissions from 'ornamental fireworks' in the Netherlands. The values in this table are based on the metal content of the fireworks (in g/kg fireworks) and form an emission factor for pollutants to all compartments (atmosphere, surface water, sewer and soil).

<b>Pollutant</b>	<b>Brouwer 1995</b>	<b>Plinke 2001 (Germany)</b>	<b>Plinke 2001 (Sweden)</b>	<b>Croteau 2010</b>	<b>EF used in NL</b>
Strontium	7.74	2.48	1.16	5.96	4.33
Barium	22.61	6.71	15.60	8,85	13.44
Copper	7.43	0.34	3.08	16,30	6.79
Antimony	0.92	0.01		2,34	1.09
Zinc			0.52	0.84	0.68

There is a difference between firecrackers and ornamental fireworks. the uncoloured 'fire crackers' do not contain these heavy metals and have a

different emission profile. Therefore, the emission factors are weighted for the contribution of the different types of fireworks, with a ratio of 15% firecrackers and 85% ornamental fireworks.

According to Brouwer (1995), only 10% of the heavy metals is emitted to air. This is more or less in-line with Croteau et al. (2010) and the standard emission factors in the EMEP/EEA Guidebook 2016.

The emission factor for particulate matter was previously also based on solid combustion products having an airborne fraction of 10%. This resulted in a PM<sub>10</sub> emission factor of ca. 14 g/kg fireworks and the emission factors for atmospheric emission of metals, that are currently used (see emission factor table at the end of this section).

The emission factor for particulate matter and particle bound components have been the subject of validation/revision, based on comparisons with measurements. At this stage a new emission factor for particulate matter is derived, whereas the emission factors for metals are still in the process of being verified.

The old PM<sub>10</sub> emission factor was verified based on comparing ambient PM<sub>10</sub> levels observed on new year's eve between 24:00h and 1:00h with PM<sub>10</sub> levels modelled with the Lotos-Euros CTM model using the old emission factor (Manders et al. 2014). It was found that the model underestimated actual concentrations by a factor of three. Furthermore, OVK (2014) and Croteau (2010) suggest a PM<sub>10</sub> emission factor in the range of 25 – 100 mg PM<sub>10</sub>/g fireworks, also pointing to a significant underestimation (the old emission factor is around 14 g/kg). As a result of this the PM<sub>10</sub> emission factor was increased by a factor of three, resulting in a new PM<sub>10</sub> emission factor of 42,7 g/kg.

For the part of metal emissions that are not emitted to air 14% is assumed to be emitted to the sewer system and 56% is emitted to the soil, which is explained below. Note that 20% of the emissions are currently assumed to be emitted to other compartments besides the sewer system and soil. This will be one of the subjects of the coming review of the fate of metal released from fireworks.

The amount of metals that enter the sewer system is estimated based on the amount of paved area and the amount of precipitation entering the sewer system. In 2012, the amount of paved and sewer area was  $4.29 * 10^9$  m<sup>2</sup>. This includes both built-up areas and traffic areas in the Netherlands. As fireworks are mainly used in built-up areas and on the streets in cities on New Year's eve, it is assumed that the emissions from fireworks are released in the built-up areas and the traffic areas. With an average amount of precipitation of 850 mm per year, this would result in  $3.65 * 10^9$  m<sup>3</sup> precipitation falling on the paved area. In 2015, the sewer system received an influent of  $0.58 * 10^9$  m<sup>3</sup> of precipitation (estimation by Liefing et al, 2017). This implies that only a fraction ( $0.58 / 3.65 = 16\%$ ) of the precipitation on paved area enters the sewer system. In the study by Liefing (2017), approximately 20% of the influent to waste water treatment plants is not accounted for by waste water from companies and households and by precipitation. This fraction could enter the sewer system via other routes, but it is also possible

that the estimation of the amount of precipitation in the sewer system is too low. If we assume that 10% of the water that is not accounted for is also precipitation, then  $0.58 * 10^9 + 0.20 * 10^9 = 0.78 * 10^9 \text{ m}^3$  precipitation enters the sewer system. This would result in a fraction of  $0.78 / 3.65 = 21\%$  of the precipitation on paved areas that enters the sewer system. On average, we assume that 20% of the precipitation on paved areas enters the sewer system. Most of the fireworks are used in built-up areas and on traffic areas in cities, which means that the main part of the emissions also falls on the paved areas. We assume that the fraction of fireworks that enter the sewer system is equal to the amount of precipitation that enters the sewer system. Thus, 20% of the emissions on the ground is assumed to enter the sewer system. The remaining 80% of the emissions that falls to the ground is assumed to emit to soil. This results in an emission fraction of  $(100\% - 10\% - 20\%) * 20\% = 14\%$  to the sewer system and  $(100\% - 10\% - 20\%) * 80\% = 56\%$  to soil.

This results in the following emission factors to the several compartments (kg/million kg fireworks):

Substance	Atmosphere	Sewer system	Soil
CO <sub>2</sub>	43250		
CO	6900		
CH <sub>4</sub>	825		
H <sub>2</sub> S	1195		
SO <sub>2</sub>	1935		
N <sub>2</sub> O	1935		
PM <sub>10</sub>	42700		
PM <sub>2.5</sub>	42700		
Strontium*	368	515	2061
Barium*	1142	1599	6397
Copper*	577	808	3232
Antimony*	93	130	519
Zinc*	58	81	324

### 18.3 Uncertainty and Quality checks

In the Netherlands, the emissions of fireworks and candles are reported on an aggregated level under CRF 2G. For this aggregated level, Olivier (2009) reported uncertainties for CO<sub>2</sub> (20%), CH<sub>4</sub> (50%) and N<sub>2</sub>O (50%). The uncertainty in activity data for fireworks is estimated to be 50% (Olivier, 2009).

The uncertainty of the emissions of other substances have not been studied. Instead, the reliability of the data is qualitatively indicated in the table below with codes A-E (see Appendix A). The codes are based on expert judgement.

**Quality codes**

Substance	Activity data	Emission factor	Emission
CO <sub>2</sub>	D	D	D
CO	D	D	D
CH <sub>4</sub>	D	D	D
H <sub>2</sub> S	D	D	D
SO <sub>2</sub>	D	D	D
N <sub>2</sub> O	D	D	D
Strontium	D	C	D
Barium	D	C	D
Copper	D	C	D
Antimony	D	C	D
Zinc	D	C	D
PM <sub>10</sub>	D	D	D
PM <sub>2.5</sub>	D	D	D
NO <sub>x</sub>	D	D	D

**Quality checks**

No sector-specific quality checks were performed. For the general QA/QC, see chapter 2.

**18.4 Spatial allocation**

The emissions of consumers are spatially allocated in the Netherlands based on population density.

Emission source/process	Allocation-parameter
Fireworks	Population density

Details available via

[http://www.emissieregistratie.nl/erpubliek/misc/documenten.aspx?ROO T=Algemeen \(General\)\Ruimtelijke toedeling \(Spatial allocation\)](http://www.emissieregistratie.nl/erpubliek/misc/documenten.aspx?ROO T=Algemeen (General)\Ruimtelijke toedeling (Spatial allocation))

**18.5 References**

- Brouwer J.G.H., J.H.J. Hulskotte, J.A. Annema, 1995, Afsteken van vuurwerk, WESP rapportnr C3, RIVM rapportnr 772414005.
- Croteau, G., Dills, R., Beaudreau, M. en Davis, M., 2010. Emission factors and exposures from ground-level pyrotechnics. Atmospheric Environment 44, 3295-3303.
- EMEP/EEA, 2019. EMEP/EEA Air Pollutant Emission Inventory Guidebook 2019. Chapter 2G.
- Liefting, E. and de Man, H., 2017. EmissieRegistratie Afvalwaterketen. Achtergrondrapport bij de in 2017 geactualiseerde factsheet 'Effluenten RWZIs, regenwaterriolen, niet aangesloten riolen, overstorten en IBA's'. 15 juni 2017.

- Plinke, E., Wolff, G. en von Arx, U., 2001. Feuerwerkskörper. Umweltauswirkungen und Sicherheitsaspekte. Umwelt-Materialien nr 140. Bunderamt für Umwelt, Wlt und Landschaft (BUWAL).
- National Bureau of Statistics, [www.statline.CBS.nl](http://www.statline.CBS.nl)
- Noordijk, H., 1994, Luchtverontreiniging door vuurwerk tijdens de jaarwisseling 1993 - 1994, RIVM-rapport 722101007
- Manders, A. M. M., Visschedijk, A. J. H., Denier van der Gon, H. A. C., Hoogerbrugge, R., & Berkhout, H. (2014). Verbetering van luchtkwaliteitsverwachting rond de jaarwisseling. Een nieuwe berekeningsmethode voor de smogverwachting door het afsteken van vuurwerk. Tijdschrift Lucht Nr 4/2014
- Olivier, J.G.J., Brandes, L.J. and te Molder, R.A.B., 2009, Uncertainty in the Netherlands' greenhouse gas emissions inventory, PBL publication 500080013.
- OVK (2014), Emissionen von Feuerwerke: Gesundheitsgefährdung, Umweltbelastung, Sicherheitsaspekte. Österreichischer Verein für Kraftfahrzeugtechnik (OVK), author B. Illini.

## 18.6 Version, dates and sources

Version: 1.4

Date: January 2020

Contact:

Administrator	Organisation	E-mail address
A.J.H Visschedijk	TNO	antoonantoon.visschedijk@tno.nl



## 19 Human ammonia emissions from transpiration and breathing

This section describes the emissions of NH<sub>3</sub> from human transpiration and breathing.

Process description	Emission source code	NFR code	Sector
Human transpiration and breathing	0801600	6A	Consumers

### 19.1 Description emission source

This emission source describes ammonia emissions produced by humans when sweating and breathing. Through the consumption of food, nitrogen (N) is introduced in our system, and then disposed. Most nitrogen is released into the sewer system; the ammonia released through sweating and breathing is calculated within this emission source.

#### *Contribution to the national emission*

The contribution of this source to the total national NH<sub>3</sub> emission is around 1% (based on 2014).

### 19.2 Calculation

For the complete time series, the emissions are calculated as follows.  
Emission = Activity data x Emission factor

Activity data = the number of Dutch inhabitants

Emission factor = kg emission per inhabitant

#### *a) Activity data*

The number of inhabitants in the Netherlands is derived from CBS Statline on an annual basis. The number of people living in the Netherlands at the end of June in a specific year is taken as activity data for that year.

#### *b) Emission factor*

With the food humans consume, nitrogen (N) is consumed. It is estimated that a human excretes 5 kg N (NH<sub>3</sub>) per year in different ways (urine, sweat, faeces etc.) (*Battye et al 1994*). Most N or NH<sub>3</sub> is released with the urine and faeces, and it is assumed this goes through the sewer system.

The first emission factor used by the Dutch emission inventory was based on *van der Hoek (1994)* who reported a total emission factor of 0.7 kg NH<sub>3</sub> per inhabitant per year, combining 0.3 kg NH<sub>3</sub> from sweating and breathing, use of ammonia as cleaning product (1 litre of ammonia solution per household) and the ammonia emissions of cats and dogs.

In another report by *Bouwman et al (1997)* an emission factor of the same magnitude is reported for human emission of NH<sub>3</sub>. In this study the emissions calculated are used for a global emission inventory. The author mentions that it is difficult to come to a good estimation of the

emission factor, but describes that this source should not be neglected. Therefore, he assumes 0.5 kg NH<sub>3</sub> per person per year, independent of sanitary arrangements and includes domestic pets (cats/dogs). Since the Dutch standard includes a good sewer system and the Netherlands reports the emissions of domestic pets separately, this emission factor is considered to be too high for the Netherlands.

*Joshua Fu et al (2010)* report that, perspiration, respiration, untreated waste, cigarettes, household ammonia use, diapers and homeless people are sources of ammonia emissions directly caused by humans. They report an emission factor of 0.44 kg NH<sub>3</sub> per person per year for all these emission sources. No separate emission factors are presented, though the distribution of the emission of the different sources is reported. Both perspiration and respiration are reported to contribute about 40% each. The emissions of untreated waste, household ammonia use, and homeless people contribute about 4-6% each. Cigarettes, (untreated) waste, and household ammonia are sources that are included as separate sources in the Dutch emission inventory. Other studies also report that the emissions from breathing are less than those from sweating. Therefore, the emissions in this document could be too high for the Netherlands.

*Battye et al (1994)* consider different references, varying from 0.25-1.3 kg NH<sub>3</sub>/human/year from breathing and sweating. Although they mention that further research is needed, they recommend using the emission factor of 0.25 kg NH<sub>3</sub> p.p.p.y.; this emission factor was retrieved from a NAPAP report. The most interesting aspect is the reference to a measurement of NH<sub>3</sub> in a home. They report that an emission factor of 1 kg NH<sub>3</sub> should result in a concentration of about 431 µg/m<sup>3</sup>, while the concentrations measured are between 32 and 39 µg/m<sup>3</sup>. It could therefore be concluded, although this is not done in their study, that the emission factor is around 0.1 kg NH<sub>3</sub> per person per year.

One of the most comprehensive studies on the emissions of ammonia from non-agricultural sources was conducted by *Sutton et al (2000)*. In this report, the emissions of sweating are calculated with a range of emission factors from 2.08 g NH<sub>3</sub> till 74.88 g NH<sub>3</sub> (as g N per person and year). For breathing the range is 1.0-7.7 g NH<sub>3</sub> (as N per person per year). They reference a number of reports and explain their assumptions. One of the most important assumptions made is the amount of NH<sub>3</sub> that volatilizes from sweat (10-30%). If no volatilisation is assumed, the high-end emission factor is about 0.25 kg NH<sub>3</sub> per person per year. This is equal to that reported by *Battye et al (1994)* and a reference used by *Sutton et al (2000)*.

Furthermore, studies by *Chang (2014)*, *Zheng et al (2012)* and *Klimont&Brink (2004)* use the emission factors presented by *Sutton et al (2000)*.

Some countries other than the Netherlands also report the emissions of human sweating and breathing, for example Switzerland, Canada and the UK (in the past). The three countries used the 'best' emission factors provided by *Sutton et al (2000)* of 0.017 kg NH<sub>3</sub> p.p.p.y. This is less than the ammonia emission factor noted in the *guidebook 2013* of 0.05 kg NH<sub>3</sub> per person per year.

Only one study (*Sutton et al 2000*) reports an emission factor for the ammonia emissions from diapers. Depending on the age and some assumptions, the emission factor ranges from 2.4-68 g NH<sub>3</sub> per infant per year. A first estimate for children (age 0-3 year) in the Netherlands gives an emission of 2 to 50 tonnes NH<sub>3</sub> a year. Since this is only one reference and it forms a relatively low contribution to the national total, the decision was made not to include this emission (separately) in the Dutch emission inventory.

#### ***Emission factor used in the Netherlands emissions inventory***

The high-end emission factors by *Sutton et al (2000)* are used in our calculations, resulting in a total emission factor of 0.0826 kg NH<sub>3</sub>-N per person per year (sum of 74.88 and 7.7 gram p.p.p.y. for sweating and respiration respectively). For the Dutch national emission inventory, this was recalculated to 0.1004 kg NH<sub>3</sub> per person per year. Because the emission factors in other reports are higher, it was decided to choose the *Sutton et al (2000)*\_high-end emission factors, instead of the 'best' emission factors. This reduces the risk of underestimating the human ammonia emissions and emission sources not calculated (homeless people and diapers) can be neglected.

### **19.3 Uncertainty and Quality checks**

The uncertainty in the number of inhabitants in the Netherlands is considered to be very small, therefore the uncertainty is qualified as A. The uncertainty in the emission factor is estimated to be relatively high as emission factors vary between different sources and the amount of ammonia volatilized is based on an assumption. Hence the uncertainty is qualified as D.

#### ***Quality codes***

<b>Substance</b>	<b>Activity data</b>	<b>Emission factor</b>	<b>Emission</b>
NH <sub>3</sub>	A	D	C

#### ***Quality checks***

No sector-specific quality checks were performed. For the general QA/QC, see chapter 2.

### **19.4 Spatial allocation**

The ammonia emissions of humans are spatially allocated in the Netherlands based on the inhabitants.

<b>Emission source/process</b>	<b>Allocation-parameter</b>
Human ammonia emission; sweating and breathing	inhabitants

Details available via

[http://www.emissieregistratie.nl/erpubliek/misc/documenten.aspx?ROO T=Algemeen \(General\)\Ruimtelijke toedeling \(Spatial allocation\).](http://www.emissieregistratie.nl/erpubliek/misc/documenten.aspx?ROO T=Algemeen (General)\Ruimtelijke toedeling (Spatial allocation).)

## 19.5 References

- Battye R. et al, 1994, Development and selection of ammonia emission factors, EC/R Incorporated, Durham, North Carolina 27707
- Bouwman et al, 1997, A global high-resolution emission inventory for ammonia, global biochemical cycles, Vol. 11, No. 4, pages 561-587
- Chang Y.H., 2014, Non-agricultural ammonia emissions in urban China, Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., 14, 8495–8531, retrieved 2016 from <http://www.atmos-chem-phys-discuss.net/14/8495/2014/acpd-14-8495-2014.pdf>
- Dutch Bureau of Statistics, [Statline](#), annual data on the number of inhabitants
- EMEP/EEA emission inventory guidebook 2013, other sources and sinks activities 110701 – 110703
- Hoek, K.W. van der, 1994, Berekeningsmethodiek ammoniakemissie in Nederland voor de jaren 1990, 1991 en 1992, RIVM report 773004003
- Joshua Fu et al, 2010, Quality Improvement for Ammonia Emission Inventory, Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, University of Tennessee, Knoxville, TN 37996-2010
- Zheng J.Y. et al, 2012, Development and uncertainty analysis of a high-resolution NH<sub>3</sub> emissions inventory and its implications with precipitation over the Pearl River Delta region China, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 12, 7041–7058, retrieved 2016 from <http://www.atmos-chem-phys.net/12/7041/2012/acp-12-7041-2012.pdf>
- Klimont Z. and Brink C., 2004, Modelling of Emissions of Air Pollutants and Greenhouse Gases from Agricultural Sources in Europe, International Institute for Applied Systems Analysis, Austria, Interim Report IR-04-048
- Sutton M.A. et al, 1999, Ammonia emissions from non-agricultural sources in the UK, Atmospheric Environment 34 (2000) 855-869

## 19.6 Version, dates and sources

Version: 1.2

Date: May 2017

Contact:

Administrator	Organisation	E-mail address
A.J.H.Visschedijk	TNO	antoon.visschedijk@tno.nl

## 20 Leather maintenance products and office supplies

This section describes the emissions of NMVOC from office supplies and leather maintenance products.

Process description	Emission source code	NFR code	Sector
Leather maintenance products	0802800	2D3a	Consumers
Office supplies	0820600	2D3a	Consumers
Office supplies	0820601	2D3i	Trade and services

### 20.1 Description emission source

Leather maintenance products consist of polishing wax, furniture polish, furniture cleaners, shoe polish, etc. All emissions from leather maintenance products are ascribed to consumers. Office supplies consist of tip-ex, ballpoints, fibre-tip pens, text markers, etc. The emissions from office supplies are ascribed to consumers (50%) and trade and services (50%). All these products contain NMVOC which emits to the air on use.

#### *Contribution to the national emission*

The contribution to the national total is less than 1% for both the consumers and trade and services groups.

### 20.2 Calculation

The emission of NMVOC in leather and furniture products is estimated to be 30 g per inhabitant (Arcadis, 2010). The annual NMVOC emission from leather and furniture products is calculated by multiplying the number of inhabitants of the Netherlands with 30 g per person.

The market share of VOC containing products in office products was monitored by InfoMil on a regular basis in the KWS2000 project. They performed producer and supplier surveys in 1997. The NMVOC total is divided into the individual substances, using an average profile established by TNO in 1992.

### 20.3 Quality codes

Substance	Activity data	Emission factors	Emission
NMVOC	D	Not relevant	D

### 20.4 Spatial allocation

Details are available at:

[http://www.emissieregistratie.nl/erpubliek/misc/documenten.aspx?ROO T=Algemeen \(General\)\Ruimtelijke toedeling \(Spatial allocation\)](http://www.emissieregistratie.nl/erpubliek/misc/documenten.aspx?ROO T=Algemeen (General)\Ruimtelijke toedeling (Spatial allocation))

The emissions NMVOC are allocated in the Netherlands based on:

<b>Emission source/process</b>	<b>Allocation-parameter</b>	<b>Source data</b>
Office supplies	Floor area commercial and industrial buildings	LISA
Office supplies	Population density	Bridgris (ACN)
Leather maintenance products	Population density	Bridgris (ACN)

## 20.5 References

Arcadis, 2010, NMVOC emissions through domestic solvent use and the use of paints in the Brussels Capital Region. Brussels Instituut voor Milieubeheer (BIM/IBGE), Version E 02-11-2010  
 KWS2000/InfoMil, 1999, Jaarverslagen 1996-2000, InfoMil, Den Haag.

## 20.6 Version, dates and sources

Version: 1.2  
 Date: January 2020  
 Contact:

<b>Administrator</b>	<b>Organisation</b>	<b>E-mail address</b>
J. Meesters	RIVM	Joris.Meesters@rivm.nl

## 21 Meat preparation and charcoal use (CRF 1.A.4.b)

This section describes emissions of charcoal use from barbecues and meat preparation.

Process description	Emission source code	CRF code	NFR code	Sector
Meat preparation	0801800	1A4bi	1A4bi	Consumers
Charcoal use	0801801	1A4bi	1A4bi	Consumers

### 21.1 Description emission source

NMVOC is produced during meat preparation as result of the heating and burning of grease. During charcoal grilling on the barbecue, various compounds are emitted, for example particulate matter, CO, CH<sub>4</sub> and NMVOC. PM and NMVOC emissions are mostly the result of volatilisation of fat/grease, while CO and CH<sub>4</sub> mostly originate from the charcoal combustion.

#### *Contribution to the national emission*

This emission is not a key source of greenhouse gases.

The contribution of NMVOC emissions from meat preparation to the total national NMVOC emission was 0.5% in 2009.

The emission of CO<sub>2</sub> is booked as a memo item under the Kyoto protocol as charcoal is considered as biomass. The amount of CO<sub>2</sub> emissions caused by charcoal burning is negligible in relation to the national total of CO<sub>2</sub> emissions from burning biomass.

The contribution to national emissions of PM<sub>10</sub>, PM<sub>2.5</sub>, CO, CH<sub>4</sub>, NO<sub>x</sub>, N<sub>2</sub>O, SO<sub>2</sub> and NMVOC as a result of charcoal burning is negligible.

### 21.2 Calculation

Emissions are calculated as follows:

Emission = Activity data x Emission factor

This is a tier 1 methodology. The methodology is consistent with the IPCC 2006 Guidelines.

#### *a) Activity data*

Since no actual data is available for the use of charcoal in Dutch households, all emissions are calculated based on the amount of meat used. From 1990 until 2012 data on the number of inhabitants and the amount of meat consumed annually per inhabitant, were gathered from the national statistics bureau (CBS). Combined, these result in a total amount of meat consumed in the Netherlands.

From 2012, on the latest data on the annual meat consumption per inhabitant was being copied. In 2017 a new series of activity data is drafted up by WUR for 2005 – 2018, based on more detailed import and

export data. For the overlapping period 2005-2012, the statistics by WUR are 8.5% lower than the statistics from Statistics Netherlands. In order to have a good match/transition between the newly produced activity data (2005-2018 by the WUR) and the previous activity data (1990-2004 by CBS) the CBS data have been decreased by 8.5%.

The trend in charcoal consumption is linked to the trend in meat consumption. The ratio between meat consumption and charcoal use was calculated based on an estimated charcoal consumption in the period 2001-2004 of 270 TJ per year and the reported meat consumption for these years.

*b) Emission factor*

The emission factors for NMVOC, PM<sub>10</sub>, SO<sub>2</sub>, NO<sub>x</sub> and CO are derived from Brouwer et al. (1994). The emission factor for CO<sub>2</sub> (memo item), CH<sub>4</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>O are derived from the 2006 IPCC guidelines; default emission factors are used.

Substance	EF	Unit
<b>Meat preparation</b>		
NMVOC	0.58	g/kg meat
<b>Barbecuing</b>		
NMVOC	250	kg/TJ charcoal
SO <sub>2</sub>	10	kg/TJ charcoal
N <sub>2</sub> O	1	kg/TJ charcoal
NO <sub>x</sub>	50	kg/TJ charcoal
CO	6000	kg/TJ charcoal
CH <sub>4</sub>	200	kg/TJ charcoal
PM <sub>10</sub>	150	kg/TJ charcoal
PM <sub>2.5</sub>	75	kg/TJ charcoal
CO <sub>2</sub> (memo item)	112	ton/TJ charcoal

### 21.3 Uncertainty and Quality checks

The activity data for burning charcoal in households are estimated based on the amount of meat consumed yearly. This in turn is based on the assumption that barbecuing is solely responsible for charcoal usage in households. Since the amount of charcoal used in the Dutch households is based on meat consumption combined with estimated charcoal sales, the uncertainty is estimated at 50%, based on expert judgement. The emission factors (and corresponding uncertainties) used for charcoal burning are derived from 'IPCC guidelines 2006'. Therefore, the uncertainty bandwidth for N<sub>2</sub>O ranges from -62.5% to 275%. For CH<sub>4</sub>, the uncertainty bandwidth is -66.6% to 200%. The corresponding uncertainty of CO<sub>2</sub> (memo-item) is reported as 20%.

The other emission factors are estimated based on a single report from the US; these emission factors are therefore not very reliable; The reliability of the data is qualitatively indicated in the table below with codes A-E (see Appendix A). The valuations are based on expert judgement.



**Quality codes**

Substance	Activity data	Emission factor	Emission
NM VOC (meat)	B	C	C
NM VOC (BBQ)	B	C	C
SO <sub>2</sub>	B	C	C
N <sub>2</sub> O	B	B	B
NO <sub>x</sub>	B	C	C
CO	B	C	C
CH <sub>4</sub>	B	B	B
PM	B	C	C
CO <sub>2</sub>	B	B	B

**Quality checks**

No sector-specific quality checks are performed. For the general QA/QC, see chapter 2.

**21.4 Spatial allocation**

Consumer emissions are spatially allocated in the Netherlands based on population density.

Emission source/process	Allocation-parameter
Meat preparation	Population density
Charcoal use in barbecue	Population density

Details available via:

[http://www.emissieregistratie.nl/erpubliek/misc/documenten.aspx?ROO T=Algemeen \(General\)\Ruimtelijke toedeling \(Spatial allocation\)](http://www.emissieregistratie.nl/erpubliek/misc/documenten.aspx?ROO T=Algemeen (General)\Ruimtelijke toedeling (Spatial allocation))

**21.5 References**

CBS statline

Brouwer J.G.H., J.H.J. Hulskotte, C.H.A. Quarles van Ufford, 1994, Vleesbereiding, inclusief gebruik barbecue, WESP-rapport C-2, RIVM-rapportnr 773009003.

ER, 2009. Netherlands Emission Registry. Data on 2009 available from [www.emissieregistratie.nl](http://www.emissieregistratie.nl)

IPCC 2006 guidelines. <http://www.ipcc-nggip.iges.or.jp/public/index.html>

**21.6 Version, dates and sources**

Version: 1.3

Date: January 2019

Contact:

Administrator	Organisation	E-mail address
A.J.H. Visschedijk	TNO	antoon.visschedijk@tno.nl



## 22 Paint

This section describes the non-methane volatile organic compounds (NMVOC) emission from paint.

Process description	Emission source code	NFR code	Sector
Car paint – use of paint and lacquer	8920800	2D3d	Trade and services
Paint - construction	0802200	2D3d	Construction
Paint - consumers	0802201	2D3d	Consumers
Road paint	0119800/ 0129800	2D3d	Construction

### 22.1 Description emission source

Paint may contain NMVOC which evaporates to the air during and after use. Paint includes products like coating, (wall) paint, lacquer, varnish, plaster, glue, stripper and filler and thinner. The Netherlands Association of Paint Producers (Vereniging Van Verf en drukinkt Fabrikanten (VVF)) provides annual sales data for the calculation of NMVOC emission.

#### *Contribution to the national emission and sector totals*

An overview of the contribution of NMVOC by paints to the sector and the national total can be found in the following table.

Sub market VVF	Sector	Contribution to sector (%)	Contribution to national total (%)
Car repair lacquer	Trade and services	10	1.3
Construction	Construction	94	3.7
Consumers	Consumers	7	1.5

### 22.2 Calculation

The annual national paint sales, including information on NMVOC content, are provided by the VVF annual paint sales statistics, representing about 95% of the Dutch total market. The remaining 5% consists of directly imported paint. The VVF divides different sub markets as shown in the table below. The ENINA task force covers the industry sector and therefore this is not addressed in this report.

Sub market VVF	Sector
Car repair lacquer	Trade and services
Construction (including steel preservation and road marking)	Construction
Consumers	Consumers
Industry and carpentry factories	Industry
Ship building	Industry

### **Car repair lacquer**

The total NMVOC emission from this source is calculated as follows:

$$EM_{\text{totalcrl}} = EmN + EmI$$

EmN = NMVOC content national paint sales

EmI = NMVOC content directly imported paint

It is assumed that:

- all paint sold will be used the same year and that the NMVOC emitted is 100% and the imported paint has the same NMVOC percentage as the paint sold by VVVF;
- 5% is directly imported paint.

### **Construction (including steel preservation and road marking)**

The total NMVOC emission from this source is calculated as follows:

$$EM_{\text{totalconstruction}} = EmN-C + EmI-C + EmN-SP + EmI-SP$$

EmN-C = NMVOC content national paint sales of construction

EmI-C = NMVOC content directly imported paint of construction

EmN-SP = NMVOC content national paint sales of steel preservation

EmI-SP = NMVOC content directly imported paint of steel preservation

It is assumed that:

- all paint sold will be used the same year and that the NMVOC emitted is 100%;
- the NMVOC percentage of the imported construction paint is 2%;
- the imported paint of steel preservation has the same NMVOC percentage as the paint sold by VVVF;
- for construction, 35% is directly imported paint, and for steel preservation, 10% is directly imported paint.

The total NMVOC emission from the construction sector is divided into road markings and others, based on the amount of road markings.

### **Consumers**

The total NMVOC emission from this source is calculated as follows:

$$EM_{\text{totalconsumers}} = EmN + EmI$$

EmN = NMVOC content of national paint sales

EmI = NMVOC content of directly imported paint

It is assumed that:

- all paint sold will be used the same year and that the NMVOC emitted is 100% and the imported paint contains the same amount of NMVOCs as the paint sold by VVVF,
- 0% is directly imported paint.

#### **a) Activity data**

Total NMVOC emission is subdivided into individual substances based on paint profile statistics provided by the VVVF (VVVF 1997).

Substance in paint profile	Factor*
Additional Nonhalogenated volatile hydrocarbons	0,119
Additional Alif nonhalogenated hydrocarbons	0,264
Additional Aromatic nonhalogenated hydrocarbons	0,045
Methylenechloride	0,004
Ethanol	0,015
Esters boiling point <150°C	0,224
Ketone	0,075
Propyleneglycomethylether	0,045
Propyleneglycomethylether acet	0,045
Toluene	0,030
Xylene	0,134

\*Based on VVVF statistics 1997

### 22.3 Uncertainty

The uncertainties of the emission calculation were quantified by Utrecht University (J. vd Sluys) in 2002.

#### **Quality codes**

Substance	Activity data	Emission factor	Emission
NM VOC			C

### 22.4 Spatial allocation

The emissions of consumers and trade and services are allocated in the Netherlands based on population density. The emission of road paint is allocated based on road density.

### 22.5 References

- Instituut voor toegepaste milieu-economie (TME)  
2003. Kosteneffectiviteit VOS maatregelen 2010. eindrapportage.
- Instituut voor toegepaste milieu-economie (TME) 2003.  
Kosteneffectiviteit VOS maatregelen 2010. achtergronddocument  
verf, bouw en doe het zelf.
- Ministerie van VROM 2005. Nationaal Reductieplan NMVOS industrie,  
HDO en bouw, bijdrage van de sectoren aan het realiseren van het  
NEC-plafond in 2010.
- Sluijs vd J., et al., 2002. Uncertainty assessment of VOC emissions from  
paint in the Netherlands. Utrecht University, NW&S E-2002-13.
- Staatsblad 2005/632. Besluit organische oplosmiddelen in verven en  
vernissen (BOOVV).
- Vereniging Van Verf en drukinktFabrikanten (VVVF) 1999.  
Grondstoffenverbruik in 1997 in de Nederlandse verfindustrie.
- Vereniging Van Verf en drukinktFabrikanten (VVVF) 2002.VOS-  
reductieplan 2010 voor de verf-en drukinktindustrie.
- VVVF Annual reports on [www.vvfv.nl](http://www.vvfv.nl)

## 22.6 Version, dates and sources

Version: 1.1

Date: March 2015

Contact:

Administrator	Organisation	E-mail address
J. Meesters	RIVM	Joris.Meesters@rivm.nl

## 23 PCP pressure treated wood

This section describes the emissions of PCP and dioxins from resident façade boarding treated with PCP. The section does not describe the NMVOC emission.

Process description	Emission source code	NFR code	Sector
PCP pressure treated wood	0010300	2D3i	Consumers

### 23.1 Description emission source

In the past, wooden façade boarding of residences was treated with PCP (pentachlorophenol). Over time, PCP and dioxins are emitted from the wood to the air. Dioxin emissions occur because wood was treated with contaminated paint.

#### *Contribution to the national emission*

PCP from façade boarding is the only source within the ER. The contribution of dioxin is ~46% of the national total.

### 23.2 Calculation

#### *Dioxin*

In 1990, Bremmer et al. estimated the dioxin emission from façade boarding in the RIVM report 'emissies van dioxines in Nederland' (Bremmer et al 1993). The use of PCP was prohibited in 1989 and therefore it is assumed that there has been a linear decrease of emissions. Bremmer et al. estimated emission of ~25 g I-TEQ (1990), ~20 g I-TEQ (2000) and ~10 g I-TEQ in 2020.

#### *Pentachlorophenol*

In a PCP base document, Slooff et al. (1990) calculated an emission of 35 tons in 1987. The PCP emission was set to 34 tons for the year 1990. According to Slooff et al and Bremmer et al. the emission will be reduced to 50%, therefore, an annual emission of 3.3% is assumed.

The emissions of PCP and dioxin are also reduced because façade boarding has been replaced. Assumptions for reductions in the total amount of façade boarding are 1% each year between years 10 to 20, 2% for each year between year 20 to 30, 3% for each year between years 30 to 40, and 4% for each year after year 4.

The emission values for PCP and dioxin presented by Slooff et al and Bremmer et al include the reduction of the amount of façade boarding reduction after 10 years.

#### *Measures influencing the calculation*

The use of PCP was prohibited in 1989.

### 23.3 Uncertainty

The uncertainties of the emission calculation are quantified in the reports by Bremmer et al and Slooff et al.

Bremmer calculated an average of 16 g I-TEQ dioxin in 1990 and stated in the report that the maximum could not exceed 25 g I-TEQ dioxin. For 1990, the ER assumed an emission of 25 g I-TEQ. This would mean that there uncertainty is mainly in the low end of the value. The 95% confidence interval is skewed. As a rule in the ER, the highest uncertainty value is used and the 95% confidence interval is normally distributed around the 25 g I-TEQ.

Slooff et al reported the average PCP emission. The 95% confidence interval is normally distributed around the average.

#### **Quality codes**

Substance	Activity data	Emission factors	Emission
Dioxins	D	Not relevant	D
PCP	D	Not relevant	E

### 23.4 Spatial allocation

The dioxin and PCP emissions are allocated in the Netherlands based on population density. Details are available at:

[http://www.emissieregistratie.nl/erpubliek/misc/documenten.aspx?ROO T=Algemeen \(General\)\Ruimtelijke toedeling \(Spatial allocation\)](http://www.emissieregistratie.nl/erpubliek/misc/documenten.aspx?ROO T=Algemeen (General)\Ruimtelijke toedeling (Spatial allocation))

### 23.5 References

Bremmer, H.J., L.M. Troost, G. Kuipers, J. de Koning, A.A. Sein, 1993, Emissies van dioxinen in Nederland, RIVM rapportnummer 770501003.

Slooff et.al, Basisdocument PCP, RIVM, november 1990.

### 23.6 Version, dates and sources

Version: 1.1

Date: November 2013

Contact:

Administrator	Organisation	E-mail address
J. Meesters	RIVM	Joris.Meesters@rivm.nl



## 24 Petrol stations

This section describes the NMVOC emissions from petrol stations.

Process description	Emission source code	NFR code	Sector
NACE 47.3: gas stations, spills tank refill	8920900	1B2aiv	Trade and service
NACE 47.3: gas stations, vapour expel - tank refill	8920901	1B2aiv	Trade and services
NACE 47.3: gas stations, vapour expel - storage tanks	8920902	1B2aiv	Trade and services

### 24.1 Description emission source

The term 'Petrol stations' includes the distributions points for road traffic as well as petrol stations on company grounds (meant for company cars). The NMVOC emissions of petrol and LPG are reported. LPG is reported as butane and propane (50/50). Emission occurs during the filling of tanks and results from two sources: the loss due to leakages of the fuel (petrol) and loss due to expulsion while filling car tanks and storage tanks (petrol and LPG). In the Netherlands, there are between 40 and 45 filling stations for cars using natural gas as fuel. However, it is assumed that the losses occurring during filling of natural gas are negligible. Before disconnecting the filling pistol, the natural gas in the dead space between pistol and tank is recovered; no gas is emitted into the air.

#### *Contribution to the national emission*

The contribution of NMVOC from petrol station is around 5.5% of the national total NMVOC emission and approximately 18% of the sector trade and services (ER, 2009).

### 24.2 Calculation

Emissions are calculated as follows:

Emission = Activity data x Emission factor

Activity data = amount of fuel used in the Netherlands for road transportation

Emission factor = emission per litre fuel used

#### *a) Activity data*

##### *Leakage losses*

During filling car tanks spillage of petrol can occur.

On average, it is assumed that the minimal spillage is at least 1-2 ml and the average tank amount per filling is 40 litres. The density of petrol is 0.72 kg/litre. It is therefore assumed that a million litres of petrol produce 720 tons of VOC.

In the last decade, the Netherlands used 5.5 billion litres petrol for transportation by road (statline.CBS.nl). Based on these assumptions and official data, we calculated a VOC emission of around 300,000 kg. Since the amount of petrol has been constant over time, the VOC emission due petrol spillage has not changed.

After disconnecting the LPG filling pistol, LPG (a mixture of butane and propane 50/50) will be-emitted into the air. The average dead volume of the pistol and connection nipple of the tank is 12.5 ml (personal communication LPG installation branch).

It is assumed that, on average, the tank is filled with 40 litres LPG. CBS (statline.CBS.nl) in the Netherlands provides data for the amounts of LPG used for transportation by road. Based on these assumptions and the official data, we calculated an LPG spillage of 97 tons.

#### *Expulsion losses car tanks*

At the start of refuelling with petrol, the tank is filled with petrol vapour. When petrol flows in the tank, the petrol vapour is emitted (Bernouille-principal). Therefore, during refuelling, petrol is emitted into the air. In the Netherlands, measures were implemented to reduce the emission of petrol. These stage 1 and stage 2 measures were implemented in 2000 and 2005 respectively. From 2005, the expulsion losses have been settled at 1.27 kt. Because the amount of petrol for transportation has not changed (5.5 billion litres according to statline.CBS.nl) the expulsion losses have been constant for years.

#### *Measures influencing the calculation*

Although both the stage 1 and the stage 2 measures have been implemented since 2005, a rest emission of 25% of pre-implementation emission will remain. No further emission reduction measures are foreseen. Although mobility is increasing, the sale of petrol remains constant. The sale of diesel however has increased.

#### *b) Emission factors*

The factors for the emission by petrol leakage are unknown.

NMVOC (kT)	Amount Petrol (billion litre)	Expulsion losses		Spillage refueling cars	Total losses	Realisation
		storage	car's			
year						Stage I and II
1980	?	5.1	4.9	0.6	10.6	
1990	?	4.9	4.9	0.6	10.4	
2001	5.5	0.0	1.9	0.4	2.5	Stage 1
2005	5.5	0.0	1.3	0.3	1.6	Stage II
2011	5.7	0.0	1.3	0.3	1.6	
2012	5.4	0.0	1.3	0.3	1.6	

Substance in LPG	Emission factor
propane	0.5
butane	0.5

Butane/propane (kT)	Amount Petrol (billion litres)	Losses storage	Spillage refueling cars (kT)		
			LPG total	wv butane	wv propane
year					
1985	1.5	0.0	0.26	0.13	0.13
1990	1.5	0.0	0.24	0.12	0.12
2001	1.0	0.0	0.16	0.08	0.08
2005	0.7	0.0	0.11	0.06	0.06
2011	0.5	0.0	0.09	0.045	0.045

### 24.3 Uncertainty

The uncertainties of the emission calculation are not quantified.

#### Quality codes

Substance	Activity data	Emission factor	Emission
NMVOG	B	C	C

### 24.4 Spatial allocation

The emissions of the petrol stations are assigned to the locations of the petrol stations (SBI 47.3) in the Netherlands according the ratio of employees at the petrol stations. Details are available at: [http://www.emissieregistratie.nl/erpubliek/misc/documenten.aspx?ROO T=Algemeen \(General\)\Ruimtelijke toedeling \(Spatial allocation\).](http://www.emissieregistratie.nl/erpubliek/misc/documenten.aspx?ROO T=Algemeen (General)\Ruimtelijke toedeling (Spatial allocation).)

### 24.5 References

CBS, Statline [www.statline.CBS.nl](http://www.statline.CBS.nl)

Comprimo (briefrapport 18 november 1994) over schattingen voor lekverliezen van benzine.

ER, 2009. Netherlands Emission Registry. Data on 2009 available [www.emissieregistratie.nl](http://www.emissieregistratie.nl)

VRM, Besluit 'tankstations en milieubeheer' en 'herstelinrichtingen voor motorvoertuigen en milieubeheer' en het wijzigingsbesluit daarop (Stb 1996, 228).

### 24.6 Version, dates and sources

Version: 1.1

Date: November 2013

Contact:

Administrator	Organisation	E-mail address
J. Meesters	RIVM	Joris.Meesters@rivm.nl



## 25 Residential combustion, Wood stoves and Fireplaces (CRF 1.A.4.b)

This section describes the emissions from wood combustion by consumers.

Process description	Emission source code	CRF code	NFR code	Sector
Residential wood combustion	T012200	1A4bi	1A4bi	Consumers

### 25.1 Description emission source

In the Netherlands, residential combustion of wood is mainly for creating a homely ambiance. Although wood combustion in stoves is sometimes considered more environmentally friendly, relatively few homes in the Netherlands use wood combustion as their main heat source.

Wood combustion in stoves and fireplaces leads to various emissions. The emissions of CO<sub>2</sub> are the result of biomass burning and therefore reported as such. In addition to CO<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>4</sub>, N<sub>2</sub>O, NO<sub>x</sub>, particulate matter, VOCs and other compounds are emitted.

#### *Contribution to the national emission*

This emission is not a key source of greenhouse gases.

Wood stoves and fireplaces are the main contributors to emissions of particulate matter accounting for about 6% of the national total; this is particulate matter without condensable organic carbon. If condensables are included in the particulate matter emissions, the contribution increases to about 14% of the national total.

All contributions to the national emissions are related to the emissions in the year 2009.

### 25.2 Calculation

The emissions from fireplaces and different types of woodstoves are calculated using a model described in Jansen (2011), Jansen et al. (2016) and Visschedijk et al. (2019).

The emissions are calculated as follows for each type of stove;  
Emission = Activity data x Emission factor

For the greenhouse gases this is a tier 1 methodology as there is no difference in the emission factors. The methodology is consistent with the IPCC 2006 Guidelines.

#### *a) Activity data*

For the year 2009, this results in a total wood use of about 1200 million kilograms in all stoves and fireplaces. This is the equivalent of 17000 TJ

wood burned in the stoves and fireplaces. The amount of wood is based on Van Middelkoop (2019) and on earlier studies on wood burning in stoves for the Netherlands, and is distributed over appliance types in the emission model (for more details see Visschedijk et al. 2019). Total use of fuel wood in The Netherlands has been relatively constant in the past decades.

#### b) Emission factor

All emission factors are reported in the 2011, 2016 and 2019 reports about the emission model for woodstoves (Jansen 2011; 2016; Visschedijk et al. 2019).

The emission factors for some substances are listed in the following table.

Pollutant	EF (type of stove, g/GJ, CO <sub>2</sub> kg/GJ)					
	Fire place	Conventional	Approved	DIN-plus	Eco-design	Pellet stove
CO <sub>2</sub>	112					
CH <sub>4</sub>	300					
N <sub>2</sub> O	4					
PM <sub>10</sub>	161	194	97	52	49	30
PM <sub>cond</sub>	484	323	129	80	46	30

The default emission factors from the IPCC 2006 guidelines are used for greenhouse gas emission.

### 25.3 Uncertainty and Quality checks

The activity data for wood burning is calculated yearly, based on 5-yearly questionnaires. The uncertainty might therefore fluctuate over time and is estimated at 35% (ND) based on expert judgement in combination with the data in *Van Middelkoop, 2019*.

For the emission factors the uncertainty has been determined on a substance by substance basis. The result is shown in the table below:

Pollutant	EF uncertainty	Reference
CO <sub>2</sub>	±15%	IPCC Guidelines
CH <sub>4</sub>	Factor 3	IPCC Guidelines
N <sub>2</sub> O	Factor 3.5	IPCC Guidelines
NO <sub>x</sub>	±50%	EEA Guidebook 2016
CO	Factor 2.5	EEA Guidebook 2016
PM <sub>10</sub>	Factor 2.5	Expert judgement TNO
PM <sub>2.5</sub>	Factor 2.5	Expert judgement TNO
PM <sub>cond</sub>	Factor 3	Expert judgement TNO
SO <sub>2</sub>	Factor 3 up; -20% down	EEA Guidebook 2016
NH <sub>3</sub>	Factor 5	Expert judgement TNO
NM VOC	Factor 2.5 up; factor 4 down	EEA Guidebook 2016

Note that in many cases the uncertainty of emission factors has a asymmetric (mostly lognormal) distribution.

**Quality checks**

No sector-specific quality checks are performed. For the general QA/QC, see chapter 2.

**25.4 Spatial allocation**

The emissions due to wood combustion are spatially allocated based on the distribution of various types of houses, with each type having a specific average number of wood combustion appliances.

Emission source/process	Allocation-parameter
Burning wood in Stoves	Various types of residential homes

Details available via

[http://www.emissieregistratie.nl/erpubliek/misc/documenten.aspx?ROO T=Algemeen \(General\)\Ruimtelijke toedeling \(Spatial allocation\)](http://www.emissieregistratie.nl/erpubliek/misc/documenten.aspx?ROO T=Algemeen (General)\Ruimtelijke toedeling (Spatial allocation))

**25.5 References**

- Jansen, B., 2016, Vernieuwd Emissiemodel Houtkachels, TNO rapport TNO 2016 R10318
- Jansen, B., R. Dröge, 2011, Emissiemodel Houtkachels, TNO-060-UT-2011-00314
- Oldenburger et al., 2012, Houtstromenstudie, Probos, The Netherlands
- Van Middelkoop, M., R. Segers, (2019 Houtverbruik huishoudens WoONonderzoek 2018, CBS sector Leefomgeving (SLO)
- Visschedijk, A.J.H., 2019, Aanpassing houtkachelmodel ten behoeve van monitoring en prognoses van emissies uit huishoudelijke houtkachels, TNO CAS Notitie

**25.6 Version, dates and sources**

Version: 1.3

Date: January 2020

Contact:

Administrator	Organisation	E-mail address
A.J.H. Visschedijk	TNO	antoon.visschedijk@tno.nl





## 26 Shooting

This section describes the emissions of lead to the soil from shooting.

Process description	Emission source code	Sector
Shooting	E800200	Trade and services

### 26.1 Description emission source

The emission source is related to clay-pigeon shooting. Hunting and traditional shooting is not included. Since 2008, the use of lead has been prohibited in both disciplines and has been replaced by steel. The emission of steel (iron) is reported by the agriculture group. Only official clay-pigeon shooters (match) had an exemption from the minister until 2016 to shoot with lead, but this may be continued because lead is still used in international competition. Clay-pigeon shooting with lead is only performed at one location in the Netherlands, in Emmen. Currently the number off match shooters is small.

#### *Contribution to the national emission*

The lead emission contribution from clay-pigeon shooting to the national total is ~3%. (ER 2012).

### 26.2 Calculation

The use of lead-shot has not been permitted since December 2004. According to the information from the KNSA (Koninklijke Nederlandse Schutters Associatie) there are currently only 3 competitive clay-pigeon shooters. Official clay-pigeon shooters (match) were permitted to use lead by the minister until 2016. A competitive shooter trains on a regular basis and shoots 14000 times per year. A shell contains 24 grams lead. Thus, a shooter uses 336 kg lead. In 2012, there were 3 competitive shooters in the Netherlands, thus, the emission of lead due to clay-pigeon shooting was ~1 ton. According to the KNSA, the lead is not removed from the shooting range.

### 26.3 Quality codes

Substance	Activity data	Emission factors	Emission
Lead	B	A	C

### 26.4 Spatial allocation

There is only one location in the Netherlands, Schietsportcentrum Emmen in Emmer-Compasuum.

### 26.5 References

Bon, 1988, Bon, J. van en J.J. Boersema, feb 1988, Metallisch lood bij de jacht, de schietsport en de sportvisserij, IVEM rapport nr 24, Groningen.

Booij, 1993, Booij, H ,et al, sept 1993, Alternatieven onder schot, RIVM rapport nr 710401026, RIVM, Bilthoven.

De straat, 1996, De Straat Milieu-adviseurs, 1996, Beperking van de milieubelasting bij kleiduivenschietsen, Projectnummer B2112, De Straat Milieu-adviseurs, Delft.

KNSA, 2011/2013, Telefonisch onderhoud met Dhr. Duisterhof van de KNSA. <http://www.knsa.nl>

Staatscourant 2004/ 237, Besluit kleiduivenschietsen WMS, 19 mei 2004.

Staatscourant 2013, Beschikking van 11 juni 2013, Ontheffing verbod op gebruik van loodhagel bij het kleiduivenschietsen voor topsporters. Staatscourant nr 17795.

VROM, 1999, Traditioneel Schieten, Circulaire, VROM/DGM/SVS, 1 november 1999.

VROM, 1995, VROM/DGM, dir. Stoffen, Veiligheid, Straling, afd. Stoffen, 1995, Circulaire Beperking loodbelasting van de bodem bij traditioneel schieten, VROM/DGM, Den Haag.

## 26.6 Version, dates and sources

Version: 1.1

Date: November 2013

Contact:

Administrator	Organisation	E-mail address
J. Meesters	RIVM	Joris.Meesters@rivm.nl

## 27 Wholesale Business in fuel and remaining mineral oil products

This section describes NMVOC emissions from Wholesale business in fuel and remaining mineral oil products. Prior to 2010, this emission source was addressed using the terms "petrol distribution points" or petrol distribution chain".

Process description	Emission source code	NFR code	Sector
Wholesale business in fuel and remaining mineral oil products.	8921100	1B2aiv	Trade and services

### 27.1 Description emission source

The petrol distribution points are viewed as the link between petrol stations and the refinery. In the KWS2000 project this was termed "petrol distribution chain". The emissions resulting from loading tank-lorries at refineries and filling large storage tanks are incorporated in the emission trend of the distribution chain. Since 2001, the expulsion losses when filling tank-lorries and storage tanks have no longer been reported individually, but as a total and imply emissions resulting from petrol only.

#### *Contribution to the national emission*

The NMVOC emissions from the petrol distribution chain decreased after a light increase in 1996. The decrease was mainly due to reduced NMVOC emission from storage tanks.

The contribution of NMVOC from the wholesale business and remaining mineral oil products is <1% of the total national NMVOC emission and approximately 7% of the NMVOC emission from HDO (ER, 2009).

### 27.2 Calculation

Until 1999, emission data was based on data for 1997 and overall estimates of branch developments in the following years. In 2001, the petrol storage facilities were sent questionnaires in order to gain information about the implementation of measures and the residual emissions in 2000. As the response to this questionnaire was limited, it was not possible to use this questionnaire in order to obtain a good picture of the VOC emissions and the degree of implementation. The individual companies have therefore not gained any insights in their VOC emissions. With regard to the degree of implementation of measures, the questionnaire data show that the major petrol storage facilities have implemented these measures, but the situation at the smaller storage facilities remains unclear (KWS 2000, 2002).

In 2004, the VPNI (Vereniging Nederlandse Petroleum Industrie: Association of the Dutch Petrol Industry) developed a reduction plan for VOC emissions for the years 2000-2010. The VPNI produced emission calculations based on measures mentioned in KWS 2000.

*Measure affecting the calculation*

Due to the KWS2000, the following precautions were undertaken for the distribution chain:

- storage tanks with internal floating deck;
- treatment of expulsion air.

These measures were established in the departmental regulation "Storage, transfer and distribution of petrol environmental management" in December 1995. No further reductions were presented in the reduction plan VOS 2000-2010 for petrol distribution (VPNI). Since 2003 the emissions from distribution points are kept constant.

**27.3 Uncertainty**

The uncertainties of the emission calculation are not quantified. Two general measures were taken (labelling and environmental car), however the effects of these measures are unknown.

**Quality codes**

Substance	Activity data	Emission factor	Emission
NM VOC	C	C	C

**27.4 Spatial allocation**

The emissions of the Wholesale Business in fuel and remaining mineral oil products are assigned to the locations of the petrol distribution points (SBI 46.71) in the Netherlands according to the ratio of employees at the distribution point. Details are available at:

[http://www.emissieregistratie.nl/erpubliek/misc/documenten.aspx?ROO T=Algemeen \(General\)\Ruimtelijke toedeling \(Spatial allocation\).](http://www.emissieregistratie.nl/erpubliek/misc/documenten.aspx?ROO T=Algemeen (General)\Ruimtelijke toedeling (Spatial allocation).)

**27.5 References**

ER, 2009. Netherlands Emission Registry. Data on 2009 available from [www.emissieregistratie.nl](http://www.emissieregistratie.nl)

VNPI, P.Houtman, mrt 2004 Reductieplan VOS 2000-2010 voor de aardolieketen.

VROM Ministeriele regeling Op-, overslag en distributie benzine milieubeheer, 27 december 1995.

**27.6 Version, dates and sources**

Version: 1.1

Date: November 2013

Contact:

Administrator	Organisation	E-mail address
J. Meesters	RIVM	Joris.Meesters@rivm.nl

Note: Since 1999, no new data is available on emission variables and emission factors. Therefore, the data might be outdated.

## 28 Smoking of cigarettes and cigars

This section describes the emissions caused by smoking cigars and cigarettes.

Process description	Emission source code	NFR code	Sector
Smoking of cigars	0801001	2D3i	Consumers
Smoking of cigarettes	0801002	2D3i	Consumers

### 28.1 Description emission source

When tobacco products are burned, the fumes contain a mix of different substances. These substances are inhaled and exhaled by the smoker or emitted to the air directly. Since smoking has been found to be unhealthy, the number of people smoking has declined almost yearly, with a comparable reduction in the consumption of tobacco products.

#### *Contribution to the national emission*

The contribution of particulate matter for smoking to the total national PM10 emission is <5%.

For other important (PRTR) substances the contribution to the national total emission is <0.5%.

### 28.2 Calculation

Emissions are calculated as follows:

Emission = Activity data x Emission factor

Activity data = Number of cigars (or cigarettes) smoked

Emission factor = kg emission per number of cigars (or cigarettes) smoked

#### *a) Activity data*

##### *Cigarettes*

For the number of cigarettes, most data are provided by the branch organisation for the cigarette industry (Stichting Sigarettenindustrie). The data cover the years 2005-2014 for the number of cigarettes only. For rolling tobacco, information is retrieved from the branch organisation for rolling tobacco 'VNK' (Vereniging Nederlandse Kerftabakindustrie). For rolling tobacco, the VNK presents information for the years 2003-2013.

For the years 1990-2010, the Dutch Centre for Statistics (CBS) provided information for the total amount of rolling tobacco and cigarettes.

All of the above data match for the years covered by multiple data sources, however it seems that the data is not independent but comes from the same source.

In recent years, starting in 2012, data provided by the Accijnsmonitor: Overzicht accijnsmonitor 2012-2018: verkoopvolume, banderolwaarde, accijns en BTW (Excise Monitor: Overview 2012 - 2018: sales volume, banderole value, duty and VAT). This is a Dutch online platform by

which the public is informed on tax revenues from alcohol and tobacco sales.

### *Cigars*

For the years 1990 to 2006, the Dutch Centre for Statistics (CBS) presented information on the consumption of cigars in the Netherlands. This information was the average number of cigars smoked per inhabitant. However, from 2006 this information is no longer available from the CBS, so in 2014 contact was made with the Dutch Cigar Manufacturers Association (NVS). The NVS was willing to provide data annually starting with the year 2013. The number of cigars consumed in the years between 2006 and 2013 are interpolated. After 2017 cigar consumption data were no longer available, and for 2018 the same consumption as for 2017 was been assumed.

### *b) Emission factor*

The emission factors for smoking are based on Brouwer et al 1994, written specifically for the WESP taskforce. For cigars, the emission factors are based on a report from the American National Cancer Institute.

Substance	Cigarettes		Cigars	
	EF	Unit	EF	Unit
NO <sub>x</sub>	2552	kg/ milliard pieces	0.5	mg/ piece
NH <sub>3</sub>	9478	kg/ milliard pieces	0.07	mg/ piece
CO (till 2001) from 2002	64389 10000	kg/ milliard pieces	119 161	mg/ piece
CO <sub>2</sub> (memo)	294242	kg/ milliard pieces	305	mg/ piece
PM <sub>10</sub>	52293	kg/ milliard pieces	63	mg/ piece
PM <sub>2.5</sub>	52293	kg/ milliard pieces	63	mg/ piece
NMVOC	22767	kg/ milliard pieces	Not reported	

## 28.3 Uncertainty

The activity data is reported by reliable third parties. However, due to inconsistency between years, the uncertainty with respect to illegal imported tobacco products, and the data from branch organisations, the activity data is rated with an B.

The emission factors are based on old reports; for cigars and cigarettes an average weight is assumed. Therefore, the emission factors are rated with a C.

Overall, the emissions are rated with an C.

Substance	Activity data	Emission factors	Emission
All	B	C	C

## 28.4 Spatial allocation

The emissions of consumers are regionalised in the Netherlands based on population density.

Source	Allocation-parameter
Smoking	Population density

Details are available via :

[http://www.emissieregistratie.nl/erpubliek/misc/documenten.aspx?ROO T=Algemeen \(General\)\Ruimtelijke toedeling \(Spatial allocation\)](http://www.emissieregistratie.nl/erpubliek/misc/documenten.aspx?ROO T=Algemeen (General)\Ruimtelijke toedeling (Spatial allocation))

## 28.5 Reference

Brouwer J.G.H., J.H.J. Hulskotte, H. Booij, 1994, Roken van tabaksproducten, WESP Rapportnr. C4, RIVM-rapportnr. 773009006.

## 28.6 Version, dates and sources

Version 1.1

Date: February 2018

Contact:

Administrator	Organisation	E-mail address
A.J.H. Visschedijk	TNO	antoon.visschedijk@tno.nl





## 29 Service stations, anti-corrosive treatment

This section describes the emissions caused by the anti-corrosive treatment of cars.

Process description	Emission source code	NFR code	Sector
Service stations, anti-corrosive treatment	8920700	2D3i	Trade and Services

### 29.1 Description emission source

When old cars lose their corrosive protection, they are treated with anti-corrosive products. This is mainly true for older cars because newly produced cars are generally equipped with a chassis of anti-corrosive materials such as plastics or galvanized steel. This treatment is mainly done by specialised service stations (garages). Due to decreasing demand, the number of workshops providing this service is decreasing. The anti-corrosive products used are a source of NMVOC, the NMVOC emissions are released in a short period after the product is applied.

#### *Contribution to the national emission*

The contribution of NMVOC from anti-corrosive treatment to the total national NMVOC emission is <1%.

### 29.2 Calculation

Between 1990 and 1999, the sale of anti-corrosive products was estimated by the company with the largest market share of the anti-corrosive product (KWS2000).

Starting with the year 2000, the emissions are calculated as follows:  
Emission = Activity data x Emission factor

Activity data = Number of cars treated

Emission factor = kg emission per treated car

#### *a) Activity data*

It is assumed that only cars produced in 1985 or earlier need an anti-corrosive treatment. The number of old cars in the Netherlands is based on data from Statistics Netherlands (CBS). They provide the number of cars still in use in the Netherlands which were manufactured before 1986. Not all those cars have to be treated each year. Under normal circumstances the treatment is only needed once every ten years. However, it is thought that most old-timers are treated more frequently, hence it is assumed that these cars are treated each eight year, or that 12.5% of the old-timers are treated.

#### *b) Emission factor*

For each car treated, the emission of NMVOC is estimated at 8 kilograms. This is based on the EMEP/EEA air pollutant emission inventory guidebook (2.D.3.d. table 3-6). However, this emission factor

is not specific for this type of treatment, but for a general coating application.

The emission of NMVOC is distributed to individual substances based on an emission profile created by TNO in 1992.

### 29.3 Uncertainty

Up until 1999, the amount of NMVOC emission was estimated by expert judgement. This results in an uncertainty qualified with an C.

The total number of cars is reported by a reliable third party starting from the year 2000. However, the number of cars treated is based on literature study combined with expert judgement. Therefore, the activity data should be rated with a B.

The emission factor for NMVOC is based on the EMEP/EEA guidebook, with the concession that the emission factor is not specific for this type of treatment. Therefore, the emission factor is rated with a D.

Overall the emissions for the whole time-series are rated with a C.

Substance	Activity data	Emission factors	Emission
NMVOC	B	D	C

### 29.4 Spatial allocation

The emissions of consumers are regionalized in the Netherlands based on the amounts of employees within the service stations (SBI 50: garages).

Source	Allocation-parameter
Anti-corrosive treatment	Employee density within SBI 50

Details are available via :

[http://www.emissieregistratie.nl/erpubliek/misc/documenten.aspx?ROO T=Algemeen \(General\)\Ruimtelijke toedeling \(Spatial allocation\)](http://www.emissieregistratie.nl/erpubliek/misc/documenten.aspx?ROO T=Algemeen (General)\Ruimtelijke toedeling (Spatial allocation))

### 29.5 Reference

CBS statline. <https://opendata.cbs.nl/statline/#/CBS/nl/>

Dutch Emission Inventory.

<http://emissieregistratie.nl/erpubliek/bumper.en.aspx>

European Environment Agency.

<https://www.eea.europa.eu/publications/emep-eea-guidebook-2016>

KWS2000, multiple years, Annual reports. InfoMil, Den Hague.

### 29.6 Version, dates and sources

Version 1.1

Date: February 2018

Contact:

Administrator	Organisation	E-mail address
A.J.H. Visschedijk	TNO	<a href="mailto:antoon.visschedijk@tno.nl">antoon.visschedijk@tno.nl</a>

## 30 Manure from domestic animals

This section describes the emissions of NH<sub>3</sub> caused by domestic animals.

Process description	Emission source code	NFR code	Sector
Manure of domestic animals	0802000	6A	Consumers

### 30.1 Description emission source

This emission source is calculated using the emissions from domestic animals. Domestic animals are defined as animals not used as livestock in the agricultural industry, with the exception of horses and ponies, which are calculated by the agriculture and nature task force. When animals consume food, the nitrogen (N) from the food is (partly) re-released. Most N is released through the excretion of faeces and urine, which results in the emission of ammonia. Emissions of other substances caused by domestic animals are considered irrelevant and therefore are not calculated.

#### *Contribution to the national emission*

The contribution of this source to the total national NH<sub>3</sub> emission is >5%.

### 30.2 Calculation

For the complete time series, the emissions are calculated as follows:  
Emission = Activity data x Emission factor

Activity data = Amount of households

Emission factor = kg NH<sub>3</sub> per household

#### *a) Activity data*

The number of households is derived from Statistics Netherlands.

#### *b) Emission factor*

The emission factor used by the Dutch emission inventory is based on Booij 1995, who calculated a total emission of 1220 tons of NH<sub>3</sub> from domestic animals (cats, dogs, rabbits and birds) for the year 1990. The emission factors for cats and dogs calculated by Booij 1995 are 0.18 and 0.36 kg NH<sub>3</sub> per animal and per year respectively. The emission calculated for cats and dogs by Booij 1995 is about 70% of the total NH<sub>3</sub> emission from pets. With the total emission in 1990 and the number of households in 1990, an emission factor of 0.2 kg NH<sub>3</sub> per household was calculated.

Some other authors, Joshua Fu et al 2010 and Bouwman et al 1997, report emission factors of around 0.7 kg NH<sub>3</sub> per year for cats and around 2 kg NH<sub>3</sub> per year for dogs. This is high when compared to Booij 1995. Furthermore, most other reports seem to base their emission factor on the work of Sutton et al (2000). The emission factor presented

in Sutton et al (2000) is 0.61 kg NH<sub>3</sub> for dogs and 0.11 kg NH<sub>3</sub> for cats; each per animal and per year.

### 30.3 Uncertainty and Quality checks

The number of cats and dogs is based on a survey commissioned by DIBEVO. A sample survey generally has a relatively high uncertainty due to the number of respondents and because not all animals are taken into account. Since the data are not available annually and incomplete, these data are only used as a check.

Combining data from the DIBEVO survey with the emission factors in Sutton et al (2000) results in a NH<sub>3</sub> emission approximately 20% lower than the NH<sub>3</sub> emission calculated with the emission factor of 0.2 kg per household. However, the DIBEVO survey only includes cats and dogs and the emission factors are derived from Sutton et al (2000).

In Sutton et al (2000), the uncertainty range provided is 50%. However, emission factors can be higher than this, according to the other studies.

The uncertainty in the activity data is small; rated as an A.

The emission factor depends on the share of different domestic animals, which varies in time. In addition, the emission factor is relatively uncertain, therefore the emission factor is qualified with an E.

The uncertainty of the total emissions is similar to the uncertainty in the emission factor. Therefore the uncertainty for the total emission is qualified as E.

#### **Quality codes**

Substance	Activity data	Emission factor	Emission
NH <sub>3</sub>	A	E	E

#### **Quality checks**

No sector-specific quality checks were performed. For the general QA/QC, see chapter 2.

### 30.4 Spatial allocation

The emissions of ammonia from the manure of domestic animals are spatially allocated in the Netherlands based on inhabitants.

Emission source/process	Allocation-parameter
Manure of domestic animals	Inhabitants

Details available via:

[http://www.emissieregistratie.nl/erpubliek/misc/documenten.aspx?ROO T=Algemeen \(General\)\Ruimtelijke toedeling \(Spatial allocation\)](http://www.emissieregistratie.nl/erpubliek/misc/documenten.aspx?ROO T=Algemeen (General)\Ruimtelijke toedeling (Spatial allocation))

### 30.5 References

- Booij H., 1995, Gezelschapsdieren, WESP-rapport C6, RIVM-rapport 772414003.
- Bouwman et al, 1997, A global high-resolution emission inventory for ammonia, global biochemical cycles, Vol. 11, No. 4, pages 561-587
- DiBeVo, <https://dibevo.nl/>
- Feiten en cijfers gezelschapsdierensector 2015, August 2015, HAS Hogeschool
- Joshua Fu et al, 2010, Quality Improvement for Ammonia Emission Inventory, Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, University of Tennessee, Knoxville, TN 37996-2010
- Neijenhuis F. and Niekerk T., 2015, 'Als de kat van huis is...', WUR report 316
- NU.nl, <http://www.nu.nl/algemeen/699151/nederlanders-houden-steeds-meer-huisdieren.html>, 2006, viewed May 2015.
- Sutton M.A. et al, 2000, Ammonia emissions from non-agricultural sources in the UK, Atmospheric Environment 34 (2000) 855-869

### 30.6 Version, dates and sources

Version: 1.0  
 Date: February 2018  
 Contact:

Administrator	Organisation	E-mail address
A.J.H. Visschedijk	TNO	antoon.visschedijk@tno.nl



## 31 Pesticides, domestic and non-agricultural use

This section describes the emissions caused by the use of domestic pesticides; it excludes any agricultural use.

Process description	Emission source code	NFR code	Sector
Domestic pesticides	0802400	2D3a	Consumers
Pesticides (non-agricultural use)	0812400	2D3i	Trade and Services

### 31.1 Description emission source

During domestic use of pesticides, emissions of NMVOC occur as a result of the propelling agent and/or other additives needed to distribute the pesticides in the appropriate dosage. Domestic pesticides are mainly used against unwanted indoor bugs (flies, mosquitos, ants etc.).

The non-agricultural use of pesticides is mainly subscribed to the professional treatment of woodworm. The active pesticides are mixed with additives containing volatile organic compounds (NMVOC) which are emitted.

Pesticides used for agricultural purposes are reported by the Agriculture taskforce.

#### *Contribution to the national emission*

The contribution of NMVOC for non-agricultural and domestic pesticides use to the total national NMVOC emission is <1%.

### 31.2 Calculation

During the KWS2000 project, annual domestic and non-agricultural use of pesticides was estimated for the period 1990-2000. After 2001, the emissions were no longer updated.

For pesticides used for the pest control of woodworm, the estimate was based on information from the largest product reseller.

For domestic pesticides, the emission estimation was based on information provided by four companies responsible for the majority of the market share.

In 2017, TNO investigated possibilities to improve the NMVOC emissions in the WESP taskforce. However, no easy solution could be found to improve estimates of emissions for domestic and non-agricultural pesticide use due to the lack of activity data. Therefore, the emissions are still based on data from the KWS2000 project.

The NMVOC is divided into single substances according to emission profiles. For domestic pesticides, the NMVOC profile is based on the composition of propellant agents in aerosol cans. For the non-agricultural (woodworm) pesticides, the NMVOC emission profile is based on the permitted pesticide register ('Toelatingsregistratie Bestrijdingsmiddelen') (Klein, 1996).

### 31.3 Uncertainty

As the data are outdated, the uncertainty level for current emissions and the emission profiles is unclear. Up until 2000, the emission data and emission factors could be rated with an C. Currently, the emission data and emission factors should be rated with an E.

Substance	Activity data	Emission factors	Emission
NMVOS	B	C	C

### 31.4 Spatial allocation

The emissions of non-agricultural and domestic pesticides are regionalised in the Netherlands based on population density.

Source	Allocation-parameter
Domestic pesticides	Population density
Non-agricultural pesticides	Population density

Details are available via :

[http://www.emissieregistratie.nl/erpubliek/misc/documenten.aspx?ROO T=Algemeen \(General\)\Ruimtelijke toedeling \(Spatial allocation\)](http://www.emissieregistratie.nl/erpubliek/misc/documenten.aspx?ROO T=Algemeen (General)\Ruimtelijke toedeling (Spatial allocation))

### 31.5 References

Dutch Emission Inventory.

<http://emissieregistratie.nl/erpubliek/bumper.en.aspx>

Klein A.E. 1996, Risico-evaluatie van hulpstoffen in niet-landbouwbestrijdingsmiddelen, TNO rapport R 96/319.

KWS2000, multiple years, Annual reports. InfoMil, Den Hague.

### 31.6 Version, dates and sources

Version 1.1

Date: February 2018

Contact:

Administrator	Organisation	E-mail address
A.J.H. Visschedijk	TNO	antoon.visschedijk@tno.nl



## 32 Dry cleaning of clothing and textiles

This section describes the emissions caused by the dry cleaning of clothes and textiles. This section includes smaller consumer-focussed companies (<10 employees). Industrial cleaning of clothing and textiles is covered in section 33.

Process description	Emission source code	NFR code	Sector
Chemical cleaning of clothing and textile	8922200	2D3f	Trade and Services

### 32.1 Description emission source

The dry cleaning of clothing and textiles leads to emissions of solvents. Hundreds of smaller companies (<10 employees) provide dry cleaning of clothing and textiles as service to consumers. The emissions calculated in this section only relate to the emissions of perchloroethylene (PER). Although the number of dry cleaning installations using PER has been declining since 1998, no alternative emission substances have been identified and/or calculated. Alternative solvents are, for example, CO<sub>2</sub>, petroleum-based hydrocarbons, or specialised detergents in water.

When the dry cleaning process is started, the clothing is put in a special washing machine containing PER and detergents. The PER is heated and vaporised; after cleaning the clean PER vapours are cooled and reused. A part of the PER forms a residue with the detergents and is wasted. A part of the PER vapour is emitted to the air. Another part of the PER goes into the 'contact' water, this contact water is filtered with active carbon filters and released to the sewage system.

#### *Contribution to the national emission*

The contribution of NMVOC for dry cleaning to the total national NMVOC emission is <0.1%.

The contribution of PER for dry cleaning to the total national emission is about 20%.

### 32.2 Calculation

Emissions are calculated as follows:

Emission = Activity data x Emission factor

Activity data = Tonne of clothing and textile cleaned with PER

Emission factor = kg emission per tonne dry cleaned

#### *a) Activity data*

Between 1994 and 1998, the amount of clothing was estimated based on inhabitants and the amount of clothing and textiles each inhabitant needed to have dry cleaned.

It is assumed that the activity data for 1990-1993 is equal to 1994.

From 1999 to 2015, the amount of clothing cleaned is based on the statistics from NETEX, a branch organisation for dry cleaning in the Netherlands. In their annual reports the distribution of the turn-over and

the percentage of PER cleaning was mentioned, although not all years give insights in the percentage of PER used as cleaning method. The use of PER as a cleaning method was declining since 1998 up until 2008 after which it seemed to stabilise (data available until 2015 ). After 2015 no NETEX data are available anymore and emissions have been assumed constant. For the period for which NETEX data were available, the turnover distribution was corrected for inflation using the data from Statistics Netherlands. For the years that no percentage of PER cleaning is mentioned in the NETEX annual report, the emissions have been calculated with an interpolated value of the percentage PER used.

#### *b) Emission factor*

Since 1980, there has been a constant push to reduce the emissions of PER from dry cleaning. First the machines had to be closed, later the vapours cooled and filtered. This has led to a significant reduction in the emission factor over time. In 1990, the emission factor started with 40 kilograms PER for each tonne of clothing and textiles cleaned. Between 2000 and 2007, the emission factor decreased from 40 kg PER to 20kg PER for each tonne of cleaned product (as per the legal limit value). From 2007 onwards, the emission factor has been constant. Communication with NETEX in 2014 suggested that the emission factor could be as low as 10 kilograms. However, there was no reference to support this lower emission factor. Both the 40 kilogram PER and 20 kilogram PER for each tonne of clothing and textiles match the EMEP Guidebook emission factors.

### 32.3 Uncertainty

The activity data is calculated by using data from different sources, therefore the activity data is rated with an E. The emission factors are based on reliable reports, but the market share of the technique used is unknown. Also, NETEX has doubts about the emission factor used. Therefore, emission factors are rated with a D. Overall the emissions are rated with an E.

Substance	Activity data	Emission factors	Emission
NMVOC/PER	E	D	E

### 32.4 Spatial allocation

The emissions of consumers are regionalised in the Netherlands based on population density.

Source	Allocation-parameter
Dry cleaning	Companies within SBI 93.01 (empl. <10)

Details are available via :

[http://www.emissieregistratie.nl/erpubliek/misc/documenten.aspx?ROO T=Algemeen \(General\)\Ruimtelijke toedeling \(Spatial allocation\)](http://www.emissieregistratie.nl/erpubliek/misc/documenten.aspx?ROO T=Algemeen (General)\Ruimtelijke toedeling (Spatial allocation))

### 32.5 References

EMEP/EEA air pollutant emission inventory guidebook 2016, 2.D.3.f Dry cleaning.

NETEX annual reports, multiple years. <https://www.netex.nl/>

### 32.6 **Version, dates and sources**

Version 1.2

Date: January 2020

Contact:

<b>Administrator</b>	<b>Organisation</b>	<b>E-mail address</b>
A.J.H. Visschedijk	TNO	<a href="mailto:antoon.visschedijk@tno.nl">antoon.visschedijk@tno.nl</a>



## 33 Industrial cleaning of clothing and textiles

This section describes the emissions caused by cleaning clothes and textiles. This section includes industrial cleaning companies (>10 employees). Dry cleaning of clothing and textiles for consumers is covered in section 32.

Process description	Emission source code	NFR code	Sector
Chemical cleaning of clothing and textiles	8922100	2D3f	Trade and Services

### 33.1 Description emission source

The industrial dry cleaning of clothing and textiles leads to emissions from solvents. The major companies considered for this emission source clean, for example, company clothing and cleaning cloths. The emissions calculated in this section contain the emissions of perchloroethylene (PER) and trichloroethene (TRI). Although in recent years the number of installations using PER and TRI are thought to be declining, no alternative emission substances have been identified and/or calculated. Alternative solvents used are, for example, CO<sub>2</sub>, petroleum-based hydrocarbons or specialised detergents in water.

When the dry cleaning process starts, clothing is put in a special washing machine containing solvents (PER or TRI) and detergents. The PER is heated and vaporised, after the cleaning the clean PER vapours are cooled and reused. A part of the PER or TRI forms a residue with the detergents and is wasted. A part of the vapour is emitted to the air. Another part of the solvent goes into the 'contact' water, this contact water is filtered with active carbon filters and released to the sewage system.

#### *Contribution to the national emission*

The contribution of NMVOC for industrial cleaning to the total national NMVOC emission is <0.1%.

### 33.2 Calculation

Emissions are calculated as follows.

For the year 1991, the emissions of PER were calculated by the amount of PER sold to the market for cleaning purposes. This was (according to VHCP) an amount of 1690 tonnes. The amount of chemical waste containing PER was 600 tonnes, containing about 225 tonnes of PER. This resulted in a total emission of  $1690 - 225 = 1465$  tonnes of PER for industrial cleaning and dry cleaning for consumers together. In 1991, the PER emissions caused by dry cleaning for consumers was estimated at 965 tonnes. This results in an emission of 500 tonnes PER from the industrial cleaning of textiles and clothing.

All other estimates for this emission source were made based on expert judgement by H. vd Berg from TNO (industrial cleaning techniques). For 1995, the PER emission of industrial cleaning was estimated at 400

tonnes. The emissions of TRI were estimated at 11.9 tonnes in 1991 and 9.5 tonnes in 1995; no new estimates are available. Thus, the amount of emissions of both PER and TRI has been assumed to be constant from 1995 onwards.

Most of the PER and TRI are emitted to the atmosphere. The extra emissions to water are estimated to be about 0.015% of the emissions to air.

The emission estimates for industrial cleaning of clothing and textiles are outdated. The expectation is that the amount of PER and TRI used for cleaning has declined. It is also possible that the washing techniques have improved, resulting in less emissions.

### 33.3 Uncertainty

Since the data is outdated and based on expert judgement, the uncertainty is qualified with an E.

Substance	Activity data	Emission factors	Emission
All	E	E	E

### 33.4 Spatial allocation

The emissions of industrial cleaning companies are regionalised in the Netherlands based on company location.

Source	Allocation-parameter
Dry cleaning	Companies within SBI 93.01 (empl. >10)

Details are available via :

[http://www.emissieregistratie.nl/erpubliek/misc/documenten.aspx?ROO T=Algemeen \(General\)\Ruimtelijke toedeling \(Spatial allocation\)](http://www.emissieregistratie.nl/erpubliek/misc/documenten.aspx?ROO T=Algemeen (General)\Ruimtelijke toedeling (Spatial allocation))

### 33.5 References

Berg H. van den, expert judgement by oral communication, TNO  
 Cleaning techniques, Delft  
 CFK-project centre, 1991-1995, Tilburg  
 Dutch emission inventory,  
<http://emissieregistratie.nl/erpubliek/bumper.en.aspx>

### 33.6 Version, dates and sources

Version 1.0  
 Date: February 2018  
 Contact:

Administrator	Organisation	E-mail address
A.J.H. Visschedijk	TNO	Antoon.visschedijk@tno.nl

## 34 Fumigation of transports

This section describes the emissions caused by fumigation of transports.

Process description	Emission source code	NFR code	Sector
Fumigation of transports	E800000	2D3i	Trade and Services

### 34.1 Description emission source

Until 2008, containers and some bulk cargo ships were treated with methyl bromide for overseas transport. Treatment of overseas transport occurs in order to comply with the import legislations. For the Dutch market this is mainly done for grain, rice, cacao, nuts and animal feed. Fumigation occurs by putting pellets or tablets within the cargo container; during transport the humidity and oxygen react with the pellet to form toxic fumes containing methyl bromide. During transport and at the port, the methyl bromide is released to the air.

#### *Contribution to the national emission*

In the last reporting years, the only source of methyl bromide was the fumigation of transports.

### 34.2 Calculation

The emissions up to 2004 are based on numbers provided by the inspection of VROM (Ministry of housing, spatial planning and environment) called the 'Inspectie Milieuhygiëne' (IMH, stands for inspection environmental hygiene). The IMH checks incoming transports which have been chemically treated in order to supervise safe degassing of the transport in question. However, this is only the case for bulk transport. Container transport is not monitored, as it is not mandatory to report incoming containers which have been fumigated. Thus, only bulk transport was reported by IMH.

Between 2004 and 2008 no new data were provided by IMH. Since 2008, the use of methyl bromide has been prohibited. Although it is expected that other substances are used, no information can be found regarding amounts and substances used.

KPMG used to monitor the use of methyl bromide by questioning relevant companies with regards to the CFK action program. The data obtained by KPMG were higher than the data provided by IMH. This was due to illegal use; i.e. not reporting actual consumption to IMH as well as the lack of checks by IMH. However, the data provided by IMH are considered more consistent in time, so the KPMG data have not been used.

### 34.3 Uncertainty

As this emission source is outdated, it is hard to quantify the uncertainty.

However, due to the difference between the KPMG data and the IMH data, it is assumed that the uncertainty is high and rated with an E.

#### 34.4 Spatial allocation

The emissions caused by the fumigation of transports were regionalised in the Netherlands based on the location where they take place.

Source	Allocation-parameter
Fumigation of transports	Location of activity

Details are available via :

[http://www.emissieregistratie.nl/erpubliek/misc/documenten.aspx?ROO T=Algemeen \(General\)\Ruimtelijke toedeling \(Spatial allocation\)](http://www.emissieregistratie.nl/erpubliek/misc/documenten.aspx?ROO T=Algemeen (General)\Ruimtelijke toedeling (Spatial allocation))

#### 34.5 References

Dutch emission inventory,

<http://emissieregistratie.nl/erpubliek/bumper.en.aspx>

VROM, IMH, department pest control, written and oral communication.

#### 34.6 Version, dates and sources

Version 1.1

Date: February 2018

Contact:

Administrator	Organisation	E-mail address
A.J.H. Visschedijk	TNO	antoon.visschedijk@tno.nl



## 35 Preserved wood

This section describes the emission from preserved wood.

Process description	Emission source code	NFR code	Sector
Solvent and other product use: creosote pressure treated wood, new	0804000	2D3i	Consumers
Solvent and other product use: creosote pressure treated wood, new	0804001	2D3i	Trade and services
Solvent and other product use: creosote pressure treated wood, new	0804002	2D3i	Agriculture
Solvent and other product use: creosote pressure treated wood, new	0804003	2D3i	Transport
Solvent and other product use: creosote pressure treated wood, stock	0804100	2D3i	Consumers
Solvent and other product use: creosote pressure treated wood, stock	0804101	2D3i	Trade and services
Solvent and other product use: creosote pressure treated wood, stock	0804102	2D3i	Agriculture
Solvent and other product use: creosote pressure treated wood, stock	0804103	2D3i	Transport
CCA pressure treated wood: constructions	0804200	-	
CCA pressure treated wood: garden furniture	0804201	-	
Leaching CCA pressure treated wood at waterline borders, stock	0804300	-	

### 35.1 Description emission source

Emissions from preserved wood originate from three types of preserved wood:

- wood treated with Wolman salts (CCA pressure treated wood);
- wood treated with creosote (creosote pressure treated wood);
- carbonized wood (addressed separately in Chapter 11).

Wolman salts can cause emissions of arsenic, chromium and copper. Creosote preserved wood is a source of emissions of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAH).

### 35.2 Calculation

Emissions are calculated for each substance by multiplying an activity rate (AR), in this case the quantity of preserved wood, by an emission factor (EF) expressed in kg of the specific substance per m<sup>2</sup> of preserved wood. This method of calculation is explained in the 'Handreiking Regionale aanpak diffuse bronnen'[1]. For creosote treated wood, this is calculated using the following equation:

$$\text{Emission} = \text{AR}_I \times \text{EF}_I + \sum (\text{AR})_S + \text{EF}_S$$

Where:

AR<sub>I</sub> = Creosote treated wood (added last year) (m<sup>2</sup>),  
 EF<sub>I</sub> = Emission factor creosote treated wood added last year  
 (kg/m<sup>2</sup>) AR<sub>S</sub> = Creosote treated wood (standing from previous years) (m<sup>2</sup>)  
 EF<sub>S</sub> = Emission factor creosote treated wood standing from  
 previous years (kg/m<sup>2</sup>)

A distinction is made between new application of creosote treated wood and standing quantities of creosote treated wood. "New application" refers to the amount of wood placed in the past year. "Standing" creosote treated wood refers to the amount of creosote treated wood placed in previous years and which is still a source of emissions.

For wood treated with Wolman salts, the emissions caused by standing wood from past years is calculated and totalled separately, as with the following formula:

$$\text{Emission} = \sum (\text{AR}_J * \text{EF}_J)$$

Where:

AR<sub>J</sub> = Amount of wood treated with Wolman salts placed in year J  
 (m<sup>3</sup>)  
 EF<sub>J</sub> = Emission factor for wood treated with Wolman salts placed in  
 year J (kg/m<sup>3</sup>) The emission calculated in this way is referred  
 to as the total emission.

#### *a) Activity data*

The activity data is the amount of preserved wood. The activity data for creosote treated wood, wood treated with Wolman salts and carbolized wood are determined by different methods.

#### *Creosote treated wood*

For creosote treated wood, the assumption is that the emissions in the first year are higher than those in the years thereafter. This is why there are separate calculations for the new application (placed in the past year) and the standing (placed in previous years) wood.

The activity data are determined in the following manner:

The new application in 1985 and 1992 was determined by Hulskotte [2]. The quantities in 1990, 1995 and 2000 are interpolated. From 2001 on, no new creosote treated wood was placed, pursuant to the governmental regulation called PAK-besluit [3].

The standing wood in 1992 was calculated by multiplying the new application from 1980 (500,000 m<sup>2</sup>) by the lifetime (25 years) minus 10 years and then adding the new application of 1992 (250,000 m<sup>2</sup>) times 10 years [2]. Because we do not count the new application from the last year with the standing wood, we subtract the 1992 figure for new application from the standing wood calculated. This results in a figure of 9,750,000 m<sup>2</sup> for standing wood 1992. This figure is used for the further calculation of the standing wood in the other years. Figures for standing wood in other years is calculated in the same manner:

Standing Wood reporting year = Standing Wood 1992 - a \* New App 1980 + New App 1992 - reporting year

Where:

Standing Wood reporting year = Standing Wood in 1985, 1990, 1995, 2000, 2004 or 2005, (m<sup>2</sup>)  
 Standing Wood 1992 = Standing Wood in 1992, (9,750,000 m<sup>2</sup>)  
 a = Number of years between reporting year and 1992  
 New App 1980 = New Application in 1980, (500,000 m<sup>2</sup>)  
 New App 1992–reporting year = Sum of the new application in the years 1992 until reporting year, (m<sup>2</sup>).

#### *Wood treated with Wolman salts*

Calculating the emissions by wood treated with Wolman salts requires knowing how much wood was placed in previous years. These quantities are based on [4]. Because the emission factor declines as the wood ages, counting the total amount of standing wood does not lead to a correct result. Instead, the emission per year of new application must be determined.

Wood treated with Wolman salts has only been used since 1979. In the years prior to that, creosote treated wood was most commonly used. For the years before 1979, the amount of Wolman salts treated wood placed is set at 0 m<sup>3</sup>. Its lifetime is set at 40 years [4]. From 2001 on, no new wood treated with Wolman salts was used in bank revetments, because no further WVO permits (permits under the Act on Water Pollution) were issued for the product after that time.

#### *b) Emission factors*

The emission factor is the emission per quantity of preserved wood in bank revetments. The emission factors for creosote treated and wood treated with Wolman salts are determined using different methods.

#### *Creosote treated wood*

For creosote treated wood, emission factors are formulated as being higher in the first year than in the years thereafter. This is why different emission factors are used for the new application of creosote treated wood and standing creosote treated wood. First, the emission factor for fluoranthene is determined. The emission factors for other substances are determined using a substance profile at leaching of the PAH. For new application of creosote treated wood, the emission factor for fluoranthene is calculated using the following assumptions:

Emission is highest for the first 31 days. For pine wood, the assumed emission factor is  $4.0 \cdot 10^{-6}$  kg fluoranthene/m<sup>2</sup>/day, and for fir, the assumed emission factor is  $1.9 \cdot 10^{-6}$  kg fluoranthene/m<sup>2</sup>/day [5]. For days 32-365 of the first year, the assumed emission factor is  $0.9 \cdot 10^{-6}$  kg fluoranthene/m<sup>2</sup>/day for both woods [5]. Additionally, it is assumed that pine makes up approximately 75% of the wood used, with 25% being fir

[6]. When combined, this information results in an emission factor of  $4.1 * 10^{-4}$  kg fluoranthene/m<sup>2</sup>/year.

To calculate the emission factor for fluoranthene for standing creosote treated wood, the assumption is that this emission factor is the same as the emission factor for days 32-365 in the first year. This is an emission factor of  $0.9 * 10^{-6}$  kg fluoranthene/m<sup>2</sup>/day for both woods [5]. This results in an emission factor of  $3.3 * 10^{-4}$  kg fluoranthene/m<sup>2</sup>/year. The emission factors for phenanthrene, anthracene and pyrene are determined using data from a report by TNO [7]. This report presents the substance profile in the leaching fluids from two reports [5, 8]. Based on the substance profile, we estimate the ratios at leaching for phenanthrene, anthracene, fluoranthene and pyrene at 65%, 5%, 15% and 15% respectively.

The emission factor for naphthalene is taken from [9], in which the quantity of naphthalene is equal to the quantity of phenanthrene. This is why the same emission factor is used for these two substances.

Table 3: Emission factors for PAH-compounds from creosote treated wood, ( $10^{-3}$  kg/m<sup>2</sup>) [5].

	<b>New application</b>	<b>Standing</b>
Phenanthrene	1.78	1.43
Anthracene	0.14	0.11
Fluoranthene	0.41	0.33
Pyrene	0.41	0.33
Naphthalene	1.78	1.43

### **Wood treated with Wolman salts**

The emission factors for wood treated with Wolman salts depend on the type of preservative compound used to treat the wood and the leaching over the lifetime of the wood. More details about the calculation of the emission factors is available in [4]. Tables 4-6 show the emission factors for arsenic, chromium and copper, depending on the year of placement of the wood.

Table 4: Emission factors for arsenic from wood treated with Wolman salts based on [4], (10<sup>-3</sup> kg/m<sup>3</sup>)

Year of new application	Emission factor in reported year															
	1985	1990	1995	2000	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016
1979	8.19	7.61	7.15	6.83	6.44	6.44	6.4	6.3	6.2	6.2	6.1	6.0	6.0	5.9	5.9	5.9
1980	8.11	7.48	7.04	6.66	6.28	6.22	6.2	6.2	6.1	6.03	5.97	5.91	5.84	5.78	5.72	5.72
1981	7.95	7.28	6.86	6.49	6.13	6.07	6.0	6.0	5.9	5.88	5.82	5.76	5.70	5.64	5.58	5.52
1982	7.9	7.14	6.67	6.32	5.97	5.91	5.9	5.8	5.8	5.73	5.67	5.62	5.56	5.50	5.44	5.38
1983	7.83	6.99	6.53	6.14	5.8	5.75	5.7	5.6	5.6	5.58	5.52	5.46	5.41	5.35	5.30	5.24
1984	7.85	6.83	6.34	5.96	5.69	5.58	5.5	5.5	5.4	5.36	5.36	5.31	5.25	5.20	5.15	5.09
1985	8.37	6.71	6.19	5.82	5.51	5.46	5.4	5.3	5.3	5.20	5.15	5.15	5.10	5.04	4.99	4.94
1986		6.81	6.24	5.88	5.56	5.51	5.5	5.4	5.3	5.25	5.20	5.15	5.15	5.10	5.04	4.99
1987		7.02	6.34	5.93	5.62	5.56	5.5	5.5	5.4	5.30	5.25	5.20	5.15	5.15	5.10	5.04
1988		7.23	6.45	6.03	5.67	5.62	5.6	5.5	5.5	5.36	5.30	5.25	5.20	5.15	5.15	5.10
1989		7.54	6.55	6.08	5.72	5.67	5.6	5.6	5.5	5.46	5.36	5.30	5.25	5.20	5.15	5.15
1990		4.51	3.61	3.33	3.14	3.08	3.1	3.0	3.0	2.97	2.94	2.88	2.86	2.83	2.80	2.77
1991			3.67	3.36	3.16	3.14	3.1	3.1	3.0	3.00	2.97	2.94	2.88	2.86	2.83	2.80
1992			3.78	3.42	3.19	3.16	3.1	3.1	3.1	3.02	3.00	2.97	2.94	2.88	2.86	2.83
1993			3.89	3.47	3.25	3.19	3.2	3.1	3.1	3.05	3.02	3.00	2.97	2.94	2.88	2.86
1994			3.05	2.65	2.46	2.44	2.4	2.4	2.4	2.31	2.29	2.27	2.25	2.23	2.21	2.16
1995			3.1	2.48	2.29	2.25	2.2	2.2	2.2	2.16	2.12	2.10	2.08	2.06	2.04	2.02
1996				2.29	2.1	2.08	2.0	2.0	2.0	1.98	1.96	1.93	1.91	1.89	1.87	1.86
1997				2.13	1.92	1.89	1.9	1.8	1.8	1.80	1.78	1.76	1.73	1.72	1.70	1.69
1998				1.95	1.74	1.71	1.7	1.7	1.6	1.62	1.60	1.58	1.57	1.54	1.53	1.51
1999				1.69	1.47	1.45	1.4	1.4	1.4	1.37	1.35	1.33	1.32	1.31	1.28	1.27
2000				1.5	1.2	1.18	1.2	1.1	1.1	1.11	1.09	1.08	1.06	1.05	1.05	1.03
2001-2016					0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Table 5: Emission factors for chromium from wood treated with Wolman salts based on [4], (10<sup>-3</sup> kg/m<sup>3</sup>)

<b>Year of new application</b>	<b>1985</b>	<b>1990</b>	<b>1995</b>	<b>2000</b>	<b>2005</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2008-2017</b>
1979	0.200	0	0	0	0	0		
1980	0.202	0	0	0	0	0		
1981	0.204	0	0	0	0	0		
1982	0.206	0	0	0	0	0		
1983	0.208	0.208	0	0	0	0		
1984	0.420	0.210	0	0	0	0		
1985	1.855	0.212	0	0	0	0		
1986		0.212	0	0	0	0		
1987		0.212	0	0	0	0		
1988		0.212	0.212	0	0	0		
1989		0.424	0.212	0	0	0		
1990		2.065	0.236	0	0	0		
1991			0.236	0	0	0		
1992			0.236	0.000	0	0		
1993			0.236	0.236	0	0		
1994			0.432	0.216	0	0		
1995			1.523	0.218	0	0		
1996				0.219	0	0		
1997				0.221	0	0		
1998				0.222	0.222	0		
1999				0.431	0.215	0.215		
2000				1.461	0.209	0.209	0.209	0
2001-2016					0	0	0	0

Table 6: Emission factors for copper from wood treated with Wolman salts ( $10^{-3}$  kg/m<sup>3</sup>) based on [4].

Year of new application	Emission factor in reported year															
	1985	1990	1995	2000	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016
1979	2.0	1.2	0.8	0.6	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2
1980	2.2	1.4	0.8	0.6	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2
1981	2.4	1.4	1.0	0.6	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2
1982	2.6	1.6	1.0	0.6	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2
1983	2.8	1.8	1.2	0.8	0.6	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2
1984	3.4	2.0	1.2	0.8	0.6	0.6	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2
1985	22.0	2.2	1.4	0.8	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.2	0.2	0.2
1986		2.4	1.4	1.0	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.2	0.2
1987		2.6	1.6	1.0	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.2
1988		2.8	1.8	1.2	0.8	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4
1989		3.4	2.0	1.2	0.8	0.8	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4
1990		17.6	1.8	1.1	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3
1991			1.9	1.1	0.8	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.3	0.3	0.3
1992			2.1	1.3	0.8	0.8	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.3	0.3
1993			2.2	1.4	1.0	0.8	0.8	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.3
1994			2.6	1.5	0.9	0.9	0.8	0.8	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5
1995			5.2	1.8	1.1	1.0	1.0	0.8	0.8	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5
1996				2.0	1.2	1.2	1.0	1.0	0.8	0.8	0.7	0.7	0.6	0.5	0.5	0.5
1997				2.2	1.3	1.2	1.2	1.0	1.0	0.8	0.8	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.5	0.5
1998				2.5	1.5	1.4	1.2	1.2	1.0	1.0	0.8	0.8	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.5
1999				3.2	1.7	1.5	1.4	1.2	1.2	1.0	1.0	0.8	0.8	0.7	0.7	0.7
2000				6.4	1.9	1.7	1.5	1.4	1.2	1.2	1.0	1.0	0.8	0.8	0.7	0.7
2001-2016					0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

Until 1989, treatment compound CCA type B was used. In 1990, this was replaced by preservative type C [4] (see appendix 1). Preservative CCA type C contains less arsenic than CCA type B. The replacement of Type B with Type C resulted in an emission reduction of arsenic.

From 2001 on, no new creosote treated wood was placed, pursuant to the governmental regulation called PAK-besluit [3]. Likewise, from 2001 on, no new wood treated with Wolman salts was used in bank revetments because no further WVO permits (permits under the Act on Water Pollution) were issued for the product after that time. Application of preserved wood in, along or above water is obliged to obtain a WVO permit. One consideration in any permitting procedure is that creosote treated wood and wood treated with Wolman salts are a source of environmental problems, even though alternatives are available. Consequently, these wood preservatives are no longer allowed in bank revetments.

From 2001 on, only emission occurs from preserved wood that was placed prior to that year.

### ***Release into environmental compartments***

The assumption for wood treated with Wolman salts is that all emissions go directly to surface water [4]. For creosote treated wood, the assumption is that half of the wood comes into direct contact with water, and consequently that half of the emissions goes to the soil and half to the surface water [5].

### **35.3 Uncertainty**

The activity data for creosote treated wood, wood treated with Wolman salts are both based on extrapolation of estimates. This is assigned a classification of D. The emission factors are determined using measurements supplemented with assumptions and estimates. This is assigned a classification of C (for creosote treated, wood treated with Wolman salts).

### **35.4 Spatial allocation**

The emissions of preserved wood are allocated in the Netherlands based on length of bank revetments. Details are available at [http://www.emissieregistratie.nl/erpubliek/misc/documenten.aspx?ROO T=Algemeen \(General\)\Ruimtelijke toedeling \(Spatial allocation\)](http://www.emissieregistratie.nl/erpubliek/misc/documenten.aspx?ROO T=Algemeen (General)\Ruimtelijke toedeling (Spatial allocation))

### **35.5 References**

- Bentum, F. van, e.a., 1996. Doelgroepstudie Bouwmaterialen, een analyse van de problematiek in aquatisch milieu. Project Watersysteemverkenningen. RIZA, notanr. 96.023.
- Berbee, R., 1989. Onderzoek naar uitloging in oppervlaktewater van PAK en koper, chroom, arseen uit geïmpregneerd hout. RIZA notanr. 89.049.
- Besluit PAK-houdende coatings, Wet milieugevaarlijke stoffen. Besluit van 4 juni 1996, houdende regelen met betrekking tot het beperken van het gehalte polycyclische aromatische koolwaterstoffen (PAK) in coatings (Besluit PAKhoudende coatings Wet milieugevaarlijke stoffen [Versie geldig vanaf: 26-05-1998])



- CIW/CUWVO werkgroep VI, februari 1997. Handreiking Regionale aanpak diffuse bronnen. Bijlage 1, par 2.2.
- Dortland, R.J., 1986. Creosootolie en milieu. Milieutechniek 4, 65-66
- Hulskotte, J.J.H., 1995. Diffuse bodembelasting met PAK in de provincie Zuid-Holland. TNO- rapport R95-036, Apeldoorn.
- Molder, R. te, 2007. Notitie ruimtelijke verdeling binnen de emissieregistratie. Een overzicht.
- Most, P.F.J. van der, van Loon, M.M.J., Aulbers, J.A.W. en van Daelen, H.J.A.M., juli 1998. Methodes voor de bepaling van emissies naar lucht en water. Publicatiereeks Emissieregistratie, nr. 44.
- Rijsdijk, J.F. en J.D.P. de Ruiter. Uitloging van gecreosoteerd hout. VHN, rapport 1,2 en 3.
- TNO rapport B-91-0082, Evaluatie van onderzoek naar de uitloogkarakteristieken van verduurzaamd hout, 1991
- Zee, M.E. van der, 2002. Emissies van koper, chroom en arseen uit verduurzaamd hout. SHR rapport 1.513, Wageningen.

### 35.6 **Version, date and sources**

Version: 1.0

Date: January 2018

Contact:

<b>Emission expert</b>	<b>Organisation</b>	<b>E-mail adress</b>
J. Meesters	RIVM	joris.meesters@rivm.nl



## 36 Refrigerator foam

This section describes the emissions caused by CFC in refrigerator foam.

Process description	Emission source code	NFR code	Sector
Diffuse emission insulation foam refrigerator	0890400	2D3i	Waste disposal
Diffuse emission insulation foam refrigerator	0890401	2D3a	Consumers

### 36.1 Description emission source

When refrigerator foam is made with CFCs as blowing agent, the CFCs are released during the use after disposal of the refrigerator. Hence since the Montreal protocol prohibited the use of CFCs, the production of foam with CFC-11 has ceased. Since 1995, no new refrigerators with CFC-11 insulation foam have been produced for the Dutch market. The emissions are distributed evenly between the sectors consumers and waste disposal. From 2013 on, the emissions are supposed to have been reduced to zero.

#### *Contribution to the national emission*

The contribution is no longer relevant as no emissions are possible.

### 36.2 Calculation

Emissions are calculated as follows:

Emission = Activity data x Emission factor

Activity data = Number of refrigerators

Emission factor = kg emission per refrigerator

#### *a) Activity data*

The number of refrigerators is based on the year 1992, in which a total of 6.35 million refrigerators was estimated. From 1994, the number of refrigerators containing CFCs has declined by 350,000 annually. This has resulted in zero refrigerators in 2013.

#### *b) Emission factor*

The emission factor is based on the year 1992. The emission calculated for this year was divided by the total number of refrigerators. This results in an emission to air of 17 grams trichlorofluoromethane per refrigerator. This emission factor was distributed between both emission sources, giving an emission factor of 8.5 gram trichlorofluoromethane per refrigerator per emission source.

### 36.3 Uncertainty

The activity data was based on a single report from 1995, thus the uncertainty is high, especially for later years, resulting in an E

The emission factor is also based on the same report. Together with the amount of CFC diffusing from foam declining over time; these factors make the uncertainty high and are rated with an E.

Substance	Activity data	Emission factors	Emission
All	E	E	E

### 36.4 Spatial allocation

The diffuse emissions of refrigerators are regionalised in the Netherlands based on population density.

Source	Allocation-parameter
Refrigerator diffuse emissions from insulation foam	Population density

Details are available via:

[http://www.emissieregistratie.nl/erpubliek/misc/documenten.aspx?ROO T=Algemeen \(General\)\Ruimtelijke toedeling \(Spatial allocation\)](http://www.emissieregistratie.nl/erpubliek/misc/documenten.aspx?ROO T=Algemeen (General)\Ruimtelijke toedeling (Spatial allocation))

### 36.5 Reference

Brouwer J.G.H. et al, 1995, Verwerking afgedankte koelapparatuur, WESP-report H-2, RIVM report 772414004, Bilthoven.

### 36.6 Version, dates and sources

Version 1.1

Date: February 2018

Contact:

Administrator	Organisation	E-mail address
A.J.H. Visschedijk	TNO	antoon.visschedijk@tno.nl

## 37 Tanker truck cleaning

This section describes the emissions of substances from tanker truck cleaning.

Process description	Emission source code	NFR categorycode	Sector
Tanker truck cleaning	0811200	2D3i	Trades and services

### 37.1 Description emission source

The process is defined as the cleaning of tanker trucks by specialised cleaning companies (20 in number) united in the ATCN. There is a large variation in the loads carried by tanker trucks, e.g. orange juice, chalk powder, formaldehyde, glycol, phosphoric acid, natron leach, kerosene, wine, etc. In many cases the tanks still contain vapour or a rest load of volatile substances which are released during cleaning. This was the case for 41,000 tanker trucks in 1999.

### 37.2 Calculation

Emissions from cleaning tanker trucks were measured by TNO in 1999 and reported in 2000 (TNO, 2000). All post-1999 annual emissions have been set equal to these measured emissions. Annual emission estimations for the years prior to 1999 are based on estimations from the tanker truck branch and the KWS project (Infomil, 2002).

In a meeting held in 2000 with the tanker truck branch, the steps to be taken were discussed. The meeting's outcome led to some interventions to reduce emissions; these are reported in the NIR of 2001. However, it is unknown to what extent these interventions have been implemented. A letter was sent to the ATCN in 2005, but no reaction was received. Currently, emissions are assumed to be equal to those of the year 2000.

### 37.3 Uncertainty

Substance	Activity data	Emission factors	Emission
NMVOG			D

### 37.4 Spatial allocation

Spatial allocation of emissions is based on population density.

### 37.5 References

Infomil, 2002. KWS 2000 eindrapportage, Infomil, Den Haag 52  
 TNO. 2000. Vervolgonderzoek naar emissies van VOS bij tankautoreiniging in Nederland, sept 2000, TNO-MEP r2000/280, Apeldoorn

### 37.6 **Version, date and sources**

Version: 1.0

Date: January 2018

Contact:

<b>Emission expert</b>	<b>Organization</b>	<b>E-mail adress</b>
J. Meesters	RIVM	joris.meesters@rivm.nl

## 38 Accidental fires

This section describes the emissions caused by accidental fires of cars and houses.

Process description	Emission source code	NFR code	Sector
Accidental fires, houses	0801200	5E	Consumers
Accidental fires, cars	0801300	5E	Consumers

### 38.1 Description emission source

Due to accidents and/or purposeful actions, cars and houses are lost in a fire. The smoke resulting from the fire is a source of emissions. When a house or car burns, the amount of material lost in the fire is dependent on the response time of (professional) fire fighters.

#### *Contribution to the national emission*

The contribution of particulate matter for accidental fires to the total national PM<sub>10</sub> emission is <0.1%.

### 38.2 Calculation

Emissions are calculated as follows:

Emission = Activity data x Emission factor

Activity data = Number of accidental fires (house or car)

Emission factor = kg emission per accidental fire

#### *a) Activity data*

The number of buildings and cars exposed to fire, was collected by all fire brigades in the Netherlands and reported yearly via Statistics Netherlands, until the year 2013. Those numbers are used for the timeseries 1990-2013.

After 2013 data by the Dutch Verbond van Verzekeraars (Dutch Association of Insurers) are used for car fires. These data only include insured cars for which the insurance company paid for the damage. Another one third of the car fires are estimated to comprise uninsured vehicles, so the raw numbers reported by the Association of Insurers are increased by a factor of 1.5 to estimate the total number of car fires.

For the number of indoor fires from 2014 onward, no accurate data are available from Statistics Netherlands. Before 2014 the reported number of indoor fires seemed roughly proportional to the total number of buildings. On an annual basis a relatively constant fraction of all buildings was affected by a fire incident. Based on this percentage an estimate of the number of indoor fires occurring from 2014 to 2018 was made, indicating about 14,000 – 15,000 indoor fires annually.

*b) Emission factor*

For the car fires the emission factors have been derived from the EMEP/EEA guidebook (EMEP/EEA, 2019) (chapter 5.E. table 3.2).

The emission factors of house fires in the EMEP/EEA guidebook (chapter 5.E. table 3.3 till 3.5) seems inappropriate for the Dutch situation. The emission factor in the guidebook is based on a Norwegian study. However, the houses built in Norway contain more wood and Norway is more rural.

To get an estimate on the amount of combustible materials in an average Dutch household, a study on the Dutch house stock by TNO [TNO, 2017] is used, leaving out the 90% non-combustible materials like concrete, bricks and mineral insulation materials. Without the interior of the house, this results in about 10.3 tonne of combustible material (8,6 tonne wood/triplex and 1,7 tonne plastics). Based on expert judgement the (combustible) interior is estimated to be around 4,5 tonne (a.o. cabinets, floor coverings, beds, etc.), making a total of 14.8 tonne. According to multi-year statistics on the number of fatal housefires in the Netherlands (IFV-BWA-Jaaroverzichten-FataleWoningbranden), in about 55% of the cases studied the destruction by fire is limited to the same room, in 17% the destruction is limited to the same floor and in 28% of the cases the complete house is burned down.

On bases of this information an estimate has been made on the amount of combustible materials being burned in an average house fire, based on an average Dutch situation of a one-family home made up of 3 floors and 4 rooms per floor.

<b>Destruction by fire (limited to)</b>	<b>combustible materials burned (%)</b>	<b>combustible materials burned (tonne)</b>
same room	10	1.48
same floor	33	4.9
Complete house	100	14.8

When these data on fire destruction and occurrence are combined, this results in the following amount of combustible materials burned:  
 $1.48 \times 55\% + 4.9 \times 17\% + 14.8 \times 28\% = 5,8$  tonne.

It is estimated that half of the interior consists of wood, the other half is believed to consists of a mixture of different plastics.

The emissions of all pollutants (except dioxin) from the combustible materials of the construction and the combustible materials of the interior materials are calculated with the emission factors in table 3.39 on small combustion in chapter 1A4 of the guidebook. The emissions of dioxin are calculated using the EF from Aasestad (2017) of 170  $\mu\text{g}$  I-TEQ per tonne burned material.

### **38.3 Uncertainty and quality checks**

The uncertainty in the activity data for the years before 2014 is relatively low as the data are reported by a reliable source. From 2014



onwards, the activity data is not reported annually or had to be estimated. Therefore, the uncertainty is rated with a C.

The emission factor for car fires is reported within the guidebook, with a relatively high bandwidth with only 1 source mentioned. Therefore, the emission factor is rated with a B.

For house fires, the emission factors are based on expert judgment in combination with reliable sources. Therefore, the emission factor is rated with a B.

Source	Activity data	Emission factors	Emission
House fires	C	B	C
Car fire	C	B	C

### Quality checks

The number of house fires reported by the CBS is not in-line with the number of house fires reported in a report from the Dutch association of insurance companies. The insurance companies report about 7 times more house fires. However, it is believed that the insurance companies report every fire-related incident, for example an incident with burn stains in a kitchen.

## 38.4 Spatial allocation

on population density.

Source	Allocation-parameter
Accidental fires, houses and cars	Population density

Details are available via :

[http://www.emissieregistratie.nl/erpubliek/misc/documenten.aspx?ROO T=Algemeen \(General\)\Ruimtelijke toedeling \(Spatial allocation\)](http://www.emissieregistratie.nl/erpubliek/misc/documenten.aspx?ROO T=Algemeen (General)\Ruimtelijke toedeling (Spatial allocation))

## 38.5 References

<https://www.verzekeraars.nl/publicaties/actueel/risicomonitor-woningbranden-2017>

Aasestad K., 2007. Norwegian Emission Inventory 2007. Documentation of methodologies for estimating emissions of greenhouse gases and long-range transboundary air pollutants. Report 2007/38, Statistics Norway.

EMEP/EEA air pollutants emission inventory guidebook, 2019, <https://www.eea.europa.eu/publications/emep-eea-guidebook-2019>

CBS statline

<https://opendata.cbs.nl/statline/#/CBS/en/>

Brandweerstatistiek 2013

IFV-BWA-Jaaroverzichten-FataleWoningbranden2010-2018 (Annual analyses on the cause(s), development and effects of all the fatal indoor fires in the Netherlands).

TNO, 2017, KIP Waste and Resource Platform, March 2017, TNO 2017 R10373, Utrecht.

### 38.6 **Version, dates and sources**

Version 1.2

Date: January 2020

Contact:

<b>Administrator</b>	<b>Organisation</b>	<b>E-mail address</b>
A.J.H. Visschedijk	TNO	antoon.visschedijk@tno.nl

## 39 Bonfires (CRF 5.C.2)

In this paragraph the emissions caused by bonfires are described.

Process description	Emission source code	CRF-code	NFR code	Sector
Bonfires*	0801400	5C2	5C2	Consumers

\*) this includes all known bonfires per year, spontaneous (small) bonfires and non-registered fires have not been included.

### 39.1 Description emission source

According to tradition, a number of holidays are brightened up with bonfires.

These bonfires have a strong regional background and as a result, most of them are only celebrated in specific parts/regions in the Netherlands. The actual number of bonfires in the Netherlands fluctuates per year mainly depending on how strong the tradition is respected and the local weather circumstances at the moment.

The bonfires are composed of waste wood (pallets) or pruning wood, this is regulated and ensured by local enforcing authorities.

The listing below gives an overview of the commonly known bonfires incorporated in this method with the date/period of occurrence and the geographical occurrence.

Name	Date/period	Location(s)
New Year's eve	First day of new Year	Scheveningen
Christmas tree burning	First day of new Year	Netherlands
Easter fires	Easter (March/April)	Northern and eastern part the Netherlands
Meierblis	30 April	Texel (the largest island of the Dutch Wadden Islands)
Luilak	On Saturday before Whitsunday (May/June)	North-west part of the Netherlands
Saint-Maarten	11 November	The most Northern Provinces and the most southern province.

\*) spontaneous (small) bonfires and non-registered/regulated fires have not been included.

### 39.2 Calculation

Emissions are calculated as follows:

Emission pruning wood = Activity data x density x Emission factor

Emission pallets = Activity data x density x Heating value x Emission factor

Activity data = Total amount of wood burned (number of bonfires x volume)

Density = Weight per m<sup>3</sup> of pruning wood or pallets

Heating value = Energy (GJ) per kg of pallet

Emission factor (pruning wood) = kg emission per Mg pruning wood

Emission factor (pallets) = g emission per GJ pallets burned

#### a) Activity data

The activity data largely originates from specific websites, local newspapers and news articles.

The yearly amount of pallets and pruning wood burned in bonfires is partly based on actual registered volumes of material, supplemented with estimates. Of the large scale bonfires on New Year's Eve in Scheveningen and large part of the Easter fires, exact amounts of burned material are being registered. This is due to the fact that there is a fierce competition between several villages and/or neighbourhoods for building the biggest and highest woodpile.

Of the other bonfires the total amount of material being burned is not registered. Because they are subjected to local regulations on location, volume and type of materials to be burned and in combination with expert judgement an estimate can be made on the total amount of material being burned.

Detailed analysis of a three year period

#### Easter fires

The total amount (m<sup>3</sup>) of pruning burned in the 4 large Easter fires can be found on the following website, <http://www.paasvuurdijkerhoek.nl/wordpress/uitslagen>, and is presented below.

	<b>Dijkerhoek</b>	<b>Espelo*</b>	<b>Beuseberg</b>	<b>Holterbroek</b>
2015	5,308	5,783	2,289	1,634
2016	6,611	5,714	2,384	2,260
2017	7,960	5,767	3,477	2,351
2018	6,052	5,509	2,947	1,811

\*) the pile of Espelo is registered twice as a World Record by the Guinness book of World Records.

All other Easter fires in the Netherlands are much smaller and the occurrence of these bonfires is very dependent on local initiative's and organisation. In the majority of the Netherlands no further permits are needed in case the volume of the bonfire is below 1000m<sup>3</sup>. As a result, the number of (small) Easter fires and the volumes of these fires are not registered and can only be estimated on basis of local newspapers and the number of inhabitants per Province.

The average volume of the smaller Easter fires is estimated to be 250m<sup>3</sup>, The number of Easter fires is estimated to be roughly 400 and is linked to the number of inhabitants per Province.

For earlier years, the activity data has been based on the trend in inhabitants (a 10% increase in inhabitants results in a 10% increase in amount of pruning burned).

### New Year's Eve

The volume of pallets burned in Scheveningen on New Year's Eve is measured accurately because of the fierce competition between 2 neighbourhoods.

Based on measurements of the height and the footprint of the pile, the annual volume of the wood piles was calculated for a three year period and is presented below.

	<b>Duindorp</b>	<b>Scheveningen*</b>
2015	9,453	8,695
2016	9,616	8,848
2017	9,782	9,000

\*) Just as with the Easter fires both the pile of Scheveningen and Duindorp have been officially registered as the largest bonfire by the Guinness book of World Records, for different years.

As with the Easter fires all other bonfires on New Year's Eve in the Netherlands are much smaller and the occurrence of these bonfires is very dependent on local initiative's and organisation. In the majority of the Netherlands no further permits are needed in case the volume of the bonfire is below 1000m<sup>3</sup>.

As a result the number of (small) Easter fires and the volumes of these fires are not registered and can only be estimated on basis of local newspapers.

As a result the total volume of wood burned in New Year's Eve's is estimated to be 25,000m<sup>3</sup> (around 19,000m<sup>3</sup> for Scheveningen and Duindorp + 6,000m<sup>3</sup> for the other smaller non-registered bonfires). This volume is used for the complete time series.

### Meierblis

This bonfire is solely celebrated on Texel (the largest island of the Dutch Wadden Islands). Based on local newspapers it is estimated that around 7 large fires and around 65 smaller fires are lit every year.

It is estimated the large bonfires account for about 3,500m<sup>3</sup> together and the smaller bonfires amount to 16,250m<sup>3</sup> total. This volume is used for the complete time series.

### Luilak

This is a folkloristic celebration characterised by the loud noises in the early morning by the participants.

Based on local newspapers its estimated that the number of bonfires is about 10 and the amount of wood burned is restricted to 16m<sup>2</sup> max. thus resulting in a total amount of about 640m<sup>3</sup>. The number of Luilak-fires decreased. It is assumed that the total amount of pruning decreased from 2000 to 500 m<sup>3</sup> in the period 1990-2017.

### Saint-Maarten

This celebration is restricted to specific areas in the Netherlands. Based on regional newspapers and expert judgement it is estimated that the volume of wood burned is 5,000m<sup>3</sup>. This volume is used for the complete time series.

### Christmas tree burning

This celebration takes place in all of the Netherlands. Based on regional newspapers and expert judgement it is estimated that the volume of wood burned is 5,000m<sup>3</sup>. This volume is used for the complete time series.

#### *b) density*

The density of pruning wood is based on a Belgian report from the Flemish government on waste from 2014 ([www.lne.be](http://www.lne.be)) and is equal to 0.15 ton/m<sup>3</sup>. The density of pallets is based on a standard pallet size of 0.8 x 1.2 x 0.144 meter and a standard pallet weight of 25 kg, resulting in a density of 0,18 ton/m<sup>3</sup>.

#### *c) Heating value of pallets*

The heating value of pallets has been derived from the kachelmodel Jansen B.I., 2010, Emissiemodel houtkachels, TNO, The Netherlands. This is equal to 15.6 MJ/kg.

#### *d) Emission factor*

A distinction in emission factor is made for the burning of pallets and the burning of pruning wood. The emission factors for the burning of pallets have been derived from EEA LRTAP NFR Category 1A4 - Guidebook 2016, July 2017 (table 3.39 open fireplaces burning wood) and from the IPCC 2006 Guidelines (Volume 2, chapter 2, table 2.5). The emission factors for the burning of pruning wood have been derived from EEA LRTAP NFR Category 5C2 - Guidebook 2016 (table 3.2 Open burning of agricultural wastes/forest residue) and from the IPCC 2006 Guidelines (Volume 5, chapter 5).

For greenhouse gases, the following emission factors have been used:

<b>Gas</b>	<b>EF pallets (kg/GJ)</b>	<b>EF pruning wood (kg/ton)</b>
CO <sub>2</sub>	112	719
N <sub>2</sub> O	0.3	6.5
CH <sub>4</sub>	0.004	0.375

### 39.3 Uncertainty and Quality checks

The uncertainty in the activity data is relatively high, since only part of the data is being measured, the remainder of the data is being estimated on basis of newspapers and websites from the applicable bonfire organisation. The uncertainty of the activity data is estimated at 100%.

The emission factors for pallet burning and for the burning of pruning wood are reported in the EMEP/EEA guidebook and the IPCC Guidelines, with a relatively high bandwidth. Therefore, uncertainty in the emission factors is estimated at 300%.

### 39.4 Spatial allocation

The emissions of bonfires are regionalized in the Netherlands based on population density.

Source	Allocation-parameter
Bonfires	Number of bonfires per province

Details are available via:

[http://www.emissieregistratie.nl/erpubliek/misc/documenten.aspx?ROO T=Algemeen \(General\)\Ruimtelijke toedeling \(Spatial allocation\)](http://www.emissieregistratie.nl/erpubliek/misc/documenten.aspx?ROO T=Algemeen (General)\Ruimtelijke toedeling (Spatial allocation))

### 39.5 Reference:

<https://vreugdevuur-scheveningen.nl/historie/>  
<https://www.lne.be/sites/default/files/atoms/files/Overzichtstabel%20soortelijk%20gewicht%20afvalstromen.pdf> (2014)  
<https://houtrookvrij.nl/blog/rivm-paasvuren-aanzienlijke-ongerapporteerde-bron-fijnstof/>  
<http://www.paasvuurdijkerhoek.nl/wordpress/uitslagen/>  
<https://www.immaterieelergoed.nl/nl/page/828/meierblis-on-texel>  
[https://nl.wikipedia.org/wiki/Sint-Maarten\\_\(feest\)](https://nl.wikipedia.org/wiki/Sint-Maarten_(feest))  
<https://nl.wikipedia.org/wiki/Kerstboomverbranding>  
<https://www.eea.europa.eu/publications/emep-eea-guidebook-2016/part-b-sectoral-guidance-chapters/1-energy/1-a-combustion/1-a-4-small-combustion-2016/view>

Jansen B.I., 2016, Emissiemodel houtkachels update, TNO, The Netherlands

### 39.6 Version, dates and sources

Version 1.1

Date: January 2019

Contact:

Administrator	Organisation	E-mail address
A.J.H. Visschedijk	TNO	antoon.visschedijk@tno.nl





## 40 Degreasing new vehicles

This section describes the emissions caused by degreasing new vehicles. These emissions occurred until 1999.

Process description	Emission source code	Sector
Degreasing of new vehicles	8920701	Trade and Services

### 40.1 Description emission source

Until 1998, cars were sometimes protected with a paraffin coating (wax) to protect them during transport. At the dealer, the protective coating was removed with a solvent, resulting in emissions of NMVOC. Currently cars are protected by a plastic film or a water solvable coating. However, some car producers apply no protection at all, or only for a certain type of transport.

#### *Contribution to the national emission*

This emission source is no longer relevant.

### 40.2 Calculation

#### *a) Activity data*

Prior to 1999, emissions were calculated based on the number of imported cars (of certain brands) that were paraffin coated and dewaxed at the dealer. Most car brands had central depots with adequate air cleaning installations, so no significant emissions occurred. Between 1990 and 1993 fewer cars were dewaxed each year. As mentioned above, other options (centralized cleaning, other coatings) reduced the need for dewaxing a new car. Between 1994 and 1998, only one car brand used decentralized dewaxing.

#### *b) Emission factors*

An emission factor to air of 0.64 kg NMVOC per cleaned car was applied for each year. The emission factor to the sewer system was about 4.5 g NMVOC and the direct emissions to water were estimated to be 0.3 g NMVOC per treated car. These emission factors were estimated by TNO based on the reference year 1992. An emission profile for the NMVOC was also determined by TNO; the NMVOC emissions were supposed to be non-aromatic hydrocarbons.

### 40.3 Uncertainty

As this method is no longer used, it is hard to quantify the uncertainty. The TNO report on the emission profile is not (publicly) available, therefore it is difficult to qualify the value of the emission factors. If the uncertainty had to be rated, it would be qualified with an E.

#### 40.4 Spatial allocation

The emissions of consumers are regionalised in the Netherlands based on population density.

Source	Allocation-parameter
Degreasing of new vehicles	Car maintenance companies

Details are available via :

[http://www.emissieregistratie.nl/erpubliek/misc/documenten.aspx?ROO T=Algemeen \(General\)\Ruimtelijke toedeling \(Spatial allocation\)](http://www.emissieregistratie.nl/erpubliek/misc/documenten.aspx?ROO T=Algemeen (General)\Ruimtelijke toedeling (Spatial allocation))

#### 40.5 References

CREM, November 1998, 'Monitoring VOS-emissie van 9 sectoren 1997', Amsterdam (not publicly available).

InfoMil, KWS2000 Annual reports, multiple years, Den Hague.

#### 40.6 Version, dates and sources

Version 1.0

Date: February 2018

Contact:

Administrator	Organisation	E-mail address
A.J.H. Visschedijk	TNO	antoon.visschedijk@tno.nl

## 41 Quality indication

The quality and reliability of the emission data is expressed in a coding system using A, B, C, D and E scores. This corresponds to the method used in EPA emission inventories in the light of EMEP/CORINAIR. The quality scores are defined as follows:

- A. The data are gathered from very accurate (high precision) measurements.
- B. The data are gathered from accurate measurements.
- C. The data are gathered from a published source such as government statistics or industrial trade figures.
- D. The data are derived from extrapolation of other measured activities.
- E. The data are derived from extrapolation of foreign data.
- N. Not applicable or no data available.

The reliability of the emission factors can vary substantially over time and between substances. Therefore, no confidence interval can be linked to the quality indications used. However, it can be assumed that, for a specific substance, the relative confidence declines along the data quality classifications A to E.

**RIVM**

Committed to *health and sustainability* -